



Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board

Meeting Agenda

December 14, 2015, 2:30 p.m.

Dallas County Health & Human Services Bldg., Room 627

2377 N. Stemmons Freeway

Dallas, TX 75207

- I. Welcome and Introductions** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- II. Minutes Review/Approval**
- III. Presentations**
 - Reformatory Justice Unit – District Attorneys’ Office
 - Offender Reentry – Christina Crain
- IV. Committee Project Updates**
 - **Courts** – Judge Gracie Lewis
 - **Fair Defense Committee** – Lynn Richardson
 - **Law Enforcement/ Jurisprudence** – Chief Jim Spivey/ Ellyce Lindberg
 - **Jail Population/Pre-Trial Diversion** – Etho Pugh
 - **Justice of the Peace** - Judge Steve Seider
 - **Juvenile Justice** - Dr. Terry Snow Smith
 - **Reentry Committee** – Christina Crain
 - **Research Committee** – Dr. Robert G. Morris II
- V. Funding Opportunity Updates**
 - Stepping Up/Caruth Smart Justice
 - SAMHSA Drug Court Expansion
- VI. Action Items**
- VII. Announcements**
- VIII. Public Comments** – 2 minutes max.
- IX. Next Meeting**
 - March 21, 2016
- X. Adjournment**



Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board General Membership Minutes for Monday, September 21, 2015

Welcome & Introductions, Commissioner Dr. Elba Garcia called the meeting to order at 2:30 PM, and customary introductions were made by all in attendance.

Membership & Infrastructure:

Commissioner Dr. Garcia introduced Christina Gonzales; as the new CJAB Coordinator with a brief synopsis of her career. Commissioner Garcia thanked Mr. Steele for his years of hard work and dedication to the advisory board. Commissioner Dr. Garcia added that Councilman Medrano would not be in attendance due to an emergency. Chief Gil Garza introduced himself; he is representing Chief Cato at this meeting.

Meeting Minutes:

The minutes from the CJAB General Membership meeting held on June 15, 2015; were made a part of the packet. A motion was made to accept the minutes as printed. The motion was seconded and approved.

Presentation- Ms. Brittany Lash, Research Manager with the Council of State Governments (CSG) Justice Center:

Commissioner Dr. Garcia introduced Brittany Lash with a brief bio and thanked her for providing information on the Caruth grant and Stepping Up Initiative. Britney Lash represents the Mental Health Policy Institute, directing the committee members to pg. 10 of the packet. Mrs. Lash explained the goal of the Caruth grant is to create partnerships between the Meadows Foundation and Dallas County partners to help meet the needs of individuals with mental health needs that are involved in the criminal justice system.

The overall goal is to assess the system as a whole from the point where a person with mental health issues makes contact with first responders through book in and release from the criminal justice. The next step is to reach out to community stakeholders and have an open discussion on how improvement can be made as a community to identify and divert individuals with a mental health illness. This is a multitier process; currently we are in the evaluation phase gaining as much information as possible to complete the evaluation piece. Mrs. Lash reports working on a similar initiative in Bexar County and has seen a significant improvement there which can be duplicated here in Dallas County.

Utilizing the sequential intercept model, the preferred method of laying out a complicated system to identify points where improvements can be made to improve the current system. The sequential intercept model begins at police contact through the end of criminal justice involvement. There will be a brief community training on October 6 and CJAB attendees are invited.

Mr. Stretcher added many people involved with CJAB have assisted with pre-planning for this program. The initial focus has been from the time the individual books into the jail and is still very preliminary, but more information is being gathered. Since other law enforcement agencies are at CJAB we will reach out for assistance in understanding the decisions that are made when in the field and they are detaining someone.

This is a long term project; towards December we will have most of the parts mapped out and will note areas of the criminal justice system which can be improved. This initiative strives to integrate all LEAs'; there is a heavy focus on crisis intervention. By working together it is possible to learn and utilize what other agencies are doing, and integrate it into our current system. Going forward this will also help create more mental health programs outside of the jail. The goal and hope is to eventually be able to divert someone prior to placing them under arrest. Mike Laughlin stated he will provide an update at the next CJAB meeting in December.

Committee Project Updates:

Bail Bond:

Ron Stretcher provided an update directing the member's attention to pages 12 through 14 of the packet. The Bond Forfeiture Judgment Report reflected judgment totals from January through August 2015. The total judgments ordered by the courts for the aforementioned period was \$902,116.73 for 1376 cases. He states the projections for the collections amount are lower. It is difficult to determine if the collections amount will rise or fall, they currently tend to remain the same.

Fair Defense Committee:

Paul Blocker, representing Lynn Richardson gave a brief overview of the committee's activities. The PDs office continues to track court appointments; currently the county is doing much better at assigning counsel in conjunction with the requirements of the Fair Defense Act. In addition, there is continued work with Judge McVea and the Chief of Police of Richardson for a pilot project for remote book in and magistration to ensure counsel is appointed pursuant to the Fair Defense Act. Duane Steele also reported that Dallas County IT department has installed and tested the equipment in Richardson. Currently, Richardson is requesting an MOU which has delayed launching the program. Once the MOU is completed it will have to go through both councils of Richardson and Dallas County. He reports hopefully the MOU will be completely by the next CJAB meeting.

Law Enforcement:

Ellyce Lindberg, Assistant District Attorney reported for Chief Spivey. Ms. Lindberg reports they are working with various LEA's to create best practice guidelines for working with digital multimedia evidence. They are meeting with small groups and will combine the Law Enforcement and Jurisprudence sub committees to draft a proposal. They will focus on how to be more cost effective in the storage and handling of the evidence. With the current rise and continued increase of digital evidence, managing this information is a priority to Dallas County.

Public Policy:

Council Member Medrano was not in attendance; his committee will meet again close to the beginning of the New Year. Ron Stretcher provided a brief update on the following House Bills.

1. **HB 904** - Relating to the transfer of eligible inmates to TDCJ following pronouncement of the inmate's sentence. The bill went into effect on September 1, 2015, the transportation department is currently working to identify the inmates to transfer to TDCJ.
2. **HB 790** - Relating to offenders with administrative/technical violations having the ability to bond out of jail. Currently, the Criminal Justice staff continues to work with parole and other stakeholders through the logistics of creating policies and an implementation process.

Jail Population Update:

Etho Pugh reported on the Jail Population meeting held on September 11, 2015. Excerpts from that meeting can be found on pages 15 through 22 of the packet. Mr. Pugh stated that the current jail population for this date is 5,543. In comparison, last year's population on the same day was 6,182.

Justice of the Peace:

Judge Seider reported on HB 2398, currently the courts are working to attain compliance with the bill, which requires expunging all truancy cases which in Dallas go back 20 years. The bill states all truancy cases prior to 09/01/2015 must automatically be expunged. In addition to expunging truancy cases, they must also remove any other remedial punishment sanctions, for instance driver's license suspensions related to truancy cases. Currently, there are over 255 counties working on coming into compliance with HB 2398, DPS has been able to prepare 29,000 cases that are ready to go.

The Stew Pot Community Court was held a few weeks ago many participants were given a sentence of community service hours and were able to take care of their cases. This court helps indigent people get cases resolved quickly and enables them to apply for an identification card. Judge Seider did state he noticed an increase in the female offender population and was curious if this is a trend in other areas.

The Justice of the Peace, County and District Courts have recently completed an audit of the court collections and are waiting for the results, however there will most likely need to be a response for any concerns in the audit. It may be prudent to start pulling a group together to create a unified response. There is the possibility of being able to pull the group together along with the Office of Court Administration and complete a response. When the results are released Judge Sieder and Commissioner Garcia will review the information and discuss next steps.

Juvenile Justice

Rudy Acosta, representing Dr. Smith reports that Dr. Smith has submitted the possibility of raising the juvenile age from 10-13. Dr. Smith is also looking at the possibility of asking the Juvenile Justice Department to keep the certified 17 yo. in Juvenile detention instead of pushing them over to an adult jail. Dr. Smith is working with the Sheriff on the issues of the different ages, to eventually send the suggestions to the legislature.

The Letot girls' facility has opened since the last meeting, it is a 96-bed facility, and currently there are 10 females in the facility. There is a possibility of moving additional girls in and contracting out with various counties to place their juvenile females at the facility as well. It was noted the Juvenile Justice Department in Dallas will maintain management of the beds that are contracted out. All staff at the facility are Juvenile Justice staff, and it is staffed per census level.

Reentry

Mike Laughlin reports that Christina Crain is working with local and state-wide agencies to create a reentry and diversion strategic plan that would interact and collaborate with the Criminal Justice system. She is also starting the planning process for the 2016 Texas Reentry Symposium; more information will be provided as it is available.

Update HB1510 – Passed this year provides some relief to landlords from liabilities to leasing to someone with a criminal record. There are some offenses that are excluded such as 3g and sex offenders.

Update The Cottages - Currently staff is identifying and interviewing potential individuals for placement into the cottages. The opening has been delayed due to construction delays which were weather related. Placements will occur by phasing individuals in over a few days. Mr. Stretcher included that there are about 4-5 people currently living in tent city that are moving into the Cottages. This is beneficial as others can see by working with a case manager they too have the ability to move into housing.

Research

Duane Steele reported for Dr.Morris, everything has gone through Commissioners Court and data is being pulled to complete the recidivism study.

Announcements

Kendall Castello is the new Administrative Chief, he has been with the DA's office since 2003. He has worked with various departments and was recently the Administrative Chief of the Family Violence Department.

Public Comments

Yvette Gbalazeh – 5123 Echo, Dallas TX 75215: In response to Cite & Release Enforcement HB2391, reports she has spoken about this at the CJAB meeting about a year ago. Ms.Gbalazeh states it is supposed to be a county wide policy. Ms. Gbalazeh provided Commissioner Garcia with a USB drive containing a video of 5 or 6 different Chief of Police commenting on the different interpretation of the law and how there is conflict. She calls for one common way to deal with these arrests. Ms. Gbalazeh feels there must be a time where everyone comes to a consensus, the people of Dallas County need to find a way to push the policy fourth at this time. She has spoken with the heads of all 26 police departments some of this is on the video and she has notes about how people really feel about cite and release and it is long overdue. One item specifically for Mr. Pugh, Ms. Gbalazeh reports that Cite and release will not reduce jail population it will replace those beds with violent criminals. Commissioner Garcia thanked Ms. Gbalazeh for her comments, and reported that she would review the video provided.

Adjournment:

A motion was made to adjourn the meeting; it was seconded and approved at 3:45 PM.



**Jurisprudence
&
Law Enforcement
Subcommittee Meeting**

Welcome and Introduction 10:00 a.m.

The meeting was called to order by Chief Spivey; he thanked everyone for coming and working the meeting into their schedule. The main topics for today's meeting are as follows:

1. Managing digital evidence, protocols and standards.
2. Transition from JIS to JCMS.

Managing Digital Evidence

Ellyce Lindberg started a review of the Digital Media Evidence Best Practices, currently a working draft, which was created by the work group. She continued by thanking all the officers who provided information from an officer's point of view and stated this is a working draft, feedback and input are still needed. A list of digital resources has been provided on the last page of the 2nd packet. Some people have already provided feedback. To include any additional feedback, please reach out to Ellyce. Some of the items on the draft are general to electronic case filing and some are specific to filing adult cases electronically. The process of collecting digital multimedia evidence is changing how evidence from cases is collected and how the case is presented to juries. The goal is that media is easily played, given to the defense bar easily and shared with other agencies as needed without difficulty.

The State of Texas has mandated that all videos are formatted as an MP4. There is some concern within the committee of how LEA's can get all their video evidence formatted to MP4. It was suggested that as a committee LEA's collaborate and approach vendors for formatting features to be included in the price. Christi Mize reinforced that the evidence being formatted to MP4 is only that of evidence that the LEA's can control; such as body cams, dash cams and interview videos. Craig Morris from DCIT reported he has spoken with companies like Panasonic and L3, they are committed to providing formatting abilities with no problems.

Craig Morrissey stated there is legislation related to formatting issues. The Judicial Committee on Information Technology (JCIT), is handing down edicts that government sourced videos are submitted in MP4 formats. Ultimately the majority of counties will move towards these formats, it may be prudent to start a regional subcommittee that can come together and leverage the NTCOG to possibly drive standards for the region. One suggestion would be expand the group that NTCOG brought together for the body cam meeting. The foundation is already in place, thus be prepared to hear something about a regionalized meeting relative to this issue as well.

Chief Spivey asked if there are any major obstacles for the work group to come back together.

Juvenile Information Integration

The migration from JIS to JCMS is being driven by TechShare, and Rebecca Lewis from CUC provided the following information on the integration process to the committee. Ms. Lewis reported that as January 1, JIS will still be accessible as READ-ONLY, all entry capabilities will be turned off. In JCMS, officers will have access to every juvenile with a history throughout the state of Texas. Another difference with JCMS is that officers have to be connected through a virtual private network in order to submit information. Dallas County is supporting the network on behalf of all of their LEAs. There are many agencies that are not able to electronically file cases at this time and there is a general concern that officers will have to do double entry. Ms. Lewis stated that in order to avoid double entry an agency could create an interface from their RMS to up load into JCMS. There are 2 options for interface; one is web service and the other option is a flat file system which will dump data out of your system, run queries and then push up to the county.

Dr. Smith from the Juvenile department inquired how much time the LEA's would need in order to create the interfaces themselves. Many agreed that it is difficult to provide a time line because they have to go through the vendors which may have other priorities, and may need to have the items briefed by police and city councils.

A list of all RMS vendors will be gathered from the different LEAs and a meeting for the vendors to discuss system requirements for the interface will be set up. All chiefs will receive the information about requirements and the chief will be responsible for getting it to the IT departments. This will be beneficial going forward so LEA's can determine where they are currently at and what they will need to do to continue in the future.

Many agencies will need to file their cases manually until they create an interface. The question was posed to whether cases would be accepted if manually submitted. Dr. Terry Smith reported the decision to accept or deny a case would come from the DA's office. The DA's office reported the preference is for cases to be filed electronically, however they are not in a position to say cases not filled electronically will not be accepted.

Body Cams

The attached article and handout was provided at the meeting; Christi Mize provided the following information regarding body cams. When looking for body cams from different vendors, Mrs. Mize urges all departments to test the camera. Ask the vendor to provide samples of the body cams, find out what the officers prefer and review the videos and the functionality of each camera. The handout provides information on features that particular vendors are able to provide. Included is a resource page which has a body cam toolkit, a list of agencies that have body cams and their protocols. The handout also provides information on technology grants. A side note, grants do not pay for just the storage, in order to receive the grants most have requirement of purchasing a camera and storage. Ms. Mize summarized the article which discusses what cameras capture versus what the officer sees. The cameras do not catch exactly what the officer sees; it is a neutral party that may capture everything the officer sees it may also capture things the officer can't see. The camera is just a part of the story, what the officer saw is part of the story as well. It was suggested that when some vendors report that their cameras capture more accurately "exactly" what an officer sees, which is not necessarily the case.

Adjourned at 11:30 pm.

Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute

Caruth Smart Justice Planning Grant Project Status Update- November 2015

The Caruth Smart Justice Planning Grant continues to progress with Phase I community assessment functions, and the Grant Planning Team remains on track to provide the W.W. Caruth, Jr. Foundation at the Communities Foundation of Texas with the completed community assessment in January 2016. While the planning process will formally begin in February 2016, Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute (MMHPI), in partnership with the Justice Center and Dallas County Criminal Justice Division, will begin to design and implement an Executive Steering Committee and work groups to begin creating community plans focused on addressing identified needs as found in the community assessment. These work groups will hold their first meetings towards the end of December 2015, but shall begin in earnest in February 2016. Groups will be structured within three large functional areas of the justice system: Pre-Magistration, Magistration, Post-Magistration, with Information Sharing being addressed in its own work group parallel in relation to the others. Each of these areas will have sub-groups to address more specific concerns if needed.

During the planning process, community stakeholders and partners have requested that MMHPI host a series of “101” trainings to address key areas affecting community planning and implementation including: recidivism, best practices, NorthStar, and CCP 16.22/ CCP 17.032. Additionally, MMHPI plans to host an additional Sequential Intercept Model training on December 2nd for those who were unable to attend in October.

Sequential Intercept Model

Intercept 1 (Law Enforcement)- The Grant Planning Team has begun holding focus groups with various police organizations throughout Dallas County. In October we were able to convene groups with the Dallas Sheriff’s Office (DSO) patrol/traffic division, DSO warrants division, and supervisors within these divisions. In the first week of November, the Team will host seven focus groups for DART officers, including three for supervisors, and four with the South Central and South East Divisions of Dallas Police Department (DPD). Throughout the remainder of November 2015 and beginning December 2015, we shall continue to host focus groups with the remainder of DPD divisions and any other jurisdictions who are willing and able to participate.

Intercept 2 (Initial Detention/Initial Court Hearings)- On October 27, 2015, the “flow mapping” and “red flag” identifying process with Dr. Fabelo’s Justice Center team came to its conclusion in a very productive stakeholders meeting. During this meeting the final draft of the map and flags was vetted by community partners and stakeholders. The red flags will be used to inform the work groups (noted above) and some of the areas of concern. Also in the October 27th and 28th meetings, the Justice Center presented preliminary recidivism data. The report was the first of its kind to compare multiple large counties in Texas (Harris, El Paso, Dallas, Bexar) in a

meaningful way, using the same definitions and data measurements to get a true picture of recidivism rates and possible causes.

Intercept 3 (Jails/Courts)/ Intercept 4 (Re-Entry) / Intercept 5 (Community Corrections)-

During the month of October, the Grant Planning Team worked feverishly to schedule and coordinate key informant interviews with community partners and stakeholders. In the first week of November, five members of the Evaluation Team came to Dallas to assist in the interviews. Along with these interviews, we submitted formal data requests to each interviewee in regards to available capacity and utilized capacity for each service line. We will interview specialty court judges and coordinators, mental health and substance use community providers, community hospitals that provide mental health services, etc. These interviews will fill in the remaining information needed for the capacity assessment and gaps analysis of Dallas County.

Dallas County Attorney's Office
Bond Forfeiture Judgment Report
Statistical Reporting January Thruh November 2015

For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
January 5, 2015	124	\$2,262.14	\$31,170.00	\$48,776.00	\$82,208.14
January 12, 2015	68	\$792.86	\$15,077.00	\$13,847.00	\$29,716.86
January 20, 2015	10	\$476.56	\$2,780.00	\$16,650.00	\$19,906.56
January 26, 2015	24	\$8,460.21	\$6,672.00	\$5,000.00	\$20,132.21
January 2015 Total	226	\$11,991.77	\$55,699.00	\$84,273.00	\$ 151,963.77
February 2, 2015	15	\$199.56	\$4,170.00	\$10,000.00	\$14,369.56
February 9, 2015	92	\$789.98	\$24,477.00	\$29,640.00	\$54,906.98
February 16, 2015	43	\$1,561.58	\$11,854.00	\$56,893.00	\$70,308.58
February 23, 2015	7	\$60.47	\$1,946.00	\$500.00	\$2,506.47
February Total	157	\$2,611.59	\$42,447.00	\$97,033.00	\$ 142,091.59
March 9, 2015	15	\$599.99	\$4,170.00	\$6,350.00	\$11,119.99
March 16, 2015	75	\$1,908.56	\$18,518.00	\$16,689.00	\$37,115.56
March 23, 2015	59	\$523.71	\$15,208.00	\$9,694.00	\$25,425.71
March 30, 2015	21	\$421.74	\$5,838.00	\$7,000.00	\$13,259.74
March Total	170	\$3,454.00	\$43,734.00	\$39,733.00	\$ 86,921.00
April 6, 2015	20	\$7.21	\$4,435.00	\$36,460.00	\$40,902.21
April 13, 2015	85	\$1,797.83	\$22,607.00	\$13,416.00	\$37,820.83
April 20, 2015	104	\$755.61	\$27,288.00	\$32,152.00	\$60,195.61
April 27, 2015	7	\$277.20	\$2,359.00	\$12,500.00	\$15,136.20
April Total	216	\$2,837.85	\$56,689.00	\$94,528.00	\$ 154,054.85
May 04, 2015	10	\$176.49	\$176.49	\$176.49	\$529.47
May 11, 2015	5	\$621.81	\$1,599.00	\$34,000.00	\$36,220.81
May 18, 2015	128	\$2,975.83	\$33,746.00	\$37,638.00	\$74,359.83
May 25, 2015	44	\$1,020.95	\$11,441.00	\$6,796.00	\$19,257.95
May Total	187	\$4,795.08	\$46,962.49	\$78,610.49	\$ 130,368.06
June 1, 2015	16	\$424.59	\$5,728.00	\$1,780.00	\$7,932.59
June 8, 2015	145	\$1,397.31	\$38,999.00	\$36,873.00	\$77,269.31
June 22, 2015	6	\$91.96	\$2,300.00	\$0.00	\$2,391.96
June 29, 2015	27	\$580.86	\$9,158.00	\$13,730.00	\$23,468.86
June Total	194	\$2,494.72	\$56,185.00	\$52,383.00	\$111,062.72
July 6, 2015	17	\$411.11	\$5,663.00	\$32,585.00	\$38,659.11
July 13, 2015	127	\$962.36	\$34,498.00	\$34,920.00	\$70,380.36
July 20, 2015	13	\$733.61	\$4,791.00	\$3,000.00	\$8,524.61
July 27, 2015	16	\$1,877.66	\$6,160.00	\$0.00	\$8,037.66
July Total	173	\$3,984.74	\$51,112.00	\$70,505.00	\$125,601.74
August 3, 2015	8	\$270.51	\$3,070.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,340.51
August 10, 2015	73	\$565.43	\$18,822.00	\$17,139.00	\$36,526.43
August 17, 2015	42	\$747.34	\$13,745.00	\$15,597.00	\$30,089.34
August 31, 2015	22	\$2,093.16	\$8,460.00	\$500.00	\$11,053.16
August Total	145	\$3,676.44	\$44,097.00	\$36,236.00	\$84,009.44
September 7, 2015	12	\$1,841.00	\$4,615.00	\$10,000.00	\$16,456.00
September 14, 2015	121	\$1,686.48	\$32,029.00	\$29,298.00	\$63,013.48
September 21, 2015	21	\$272.92	\$5,857.00	\$50,000.00	\$56,129.92
September 28, 2015	11	\$659.46	\$4,230.00	\$0.00	\$4,889.46
September Total	165	\$4,459.86	\$46,731.00	\$89,298.00	\$140,488.86
October 5, 2015	21	\$372.39	\$8,075.00	\$14,500.00	\$22,947.39
October 12, 2015	14	\$490.09	\$5,390.00	\$5,500.00	\$11,380.09
October 19, 2015	101	\$1,526.18	\$29,420.00	\$39,598.00	\$70,544.18
October 26, 2015	21	\$11.97	\$6,725.00	\$17,315.00	\$24,051.97
October Total	157	\$2,400.63	\$49,610.00	\$76,913.00	\$128,923.63
November 9, 2015	142	\$2,015.95	\$39,342.00	\$34,108.00	\$75,465.95
November 16, 2015	64	\$729.43	\$17,976.00	\$11,992.36	\$30,697.79
November 30, 2015	21	\$1,786.12	\$7,685.00	\$3,585.00	\$13,056.12
November Total	227	\$4,531.50	\$65,003.00	\$49,685.36	\$119,219.86
Grand Total for Period	2017	\$47,238.18	\$558,269.49	\$769,197.85	\$1,374,705.52

Felony Account 62 History

All receipts applied to mainframe account 62 (bond forfeiture)

NEW REPORT FIGURES as of 11/30/2015

Month	2007 Count/Amount		2008 Count/Amount		2009 Count/Amount		2010 Count/Amount		2011 Count/Amount		2012 Count/Amount		2013 Count/Amount		2014 Count/Amount		2015 Count/Amount	
January	11	\$49,238	21	\$82,550	3	\$8,000	15	\$36,841	5	\$7,500	2	\$11,500	20	\$69,048	11	\$88,791	11	\$16,188
February	12	\$24,000	13	\$43,350	8	\$15,250	6	\$12,000	2	\$30,000	2	\$6,500	4	\$15,500	9	\$43,960	6	\$25,650
March	31	\$55,184	16	\$28,150	4	\$8,000	7	\$10,800	2	\$5,000	2	\$3,000	8	\$112,722	12	\$15,763	4	\$3,610
April	19	\$64,647	4	\$10,500	7	\$12,300	9	\$21,800	2	\$3,900	8	\$38,500	12	\$26,298	5	\$203,250	12	\$14,325
May	33	\$100,010	19	\$39,700	9	\$36,800	2	\$3,000	1	\$1,500	4	\$20,387	14	\$16,601	7	\$116,250	4	\$3,695
June	16	\$59,678	10	\$17,800	5	\$10,800	1	\$1,500	12	\$39,759	12	\$28,356	15	\$62,796	7	\$16,391	14	\$67,377
July	22	\$39,700	15	\$38,100	3	\$4,000	6	\$8,800	4	\$7,000	3	\$10,000	15	\$58,666	11	\$49,482	17	\$16,035
August	3	\$9,000	14	\$28,600	19	\$42,900	4	\$7,000	6	\$38,240	13	\$43,031	5	\$12,000	8	\$8,935	5	\$11,285
September	4	\$75,000	8	\$19,700	15	\$30,600	4	\$10,955	1	\$50,000	8	\$39,500	6	\$42,548	15	\$13,158	5	\$12,500
October	8	\$19,250	9	\$24,750	9	\$43,500	3	\$8,000	43	\$58,769	12	\$58,887	5	\$33,500	3	\$25,797	3	\$5,500
November	50	\$106,153	4	\$11,000	8	\$11,650	3	\$14,000	11	\$9,861	15	\$50,596	11	\$32,094	8	\$31,969	5	\$27,500
December	26	\$80,000	9	\$15,100	5	\$14,000	8	\$12,457	1	\$10,000	7	\$40,002	5	\$7,000	5	\$8,000		
Total	235	\$681,860	142	\$359,300	95	\$237,800	68	\$147,153	90	\$261,528	88	\$350,258	120	\$488,773	101	\$621,746	86	\$203,665

Grand Total	Count	1,025	Amount Collected	\$3,352,082
--------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------------------	--------------------

**Misdemeanor
Account 62 History
All receipts applied to mainframe account 62 (bond forfeiture)**

NEW REPORT FIGURES as of 11/30/2015

Month	2007 Count/Amount		2008 Count/Amount		2009 Count/Amount		2010 Count/Amount		2011 Count/Amount		2012 Count/Amount		2013 Count/Amount		2014 Count/Amount		2015 Count/Amount	
January	177	\$86,750	243	\$140,727	93	\$52,485	153	\$73,825	39	\$24,500	85	\$50,570	54	\$28,527	64	\$38,927	37	\$28,254
February	173	\$85,400	371	\$184,420	156	\$82,570	76	\$42,810	100	\$52,815	29	\$24,000	81	\$40,929	65	\$42,407	66	\$50,938
March	41	\$22,700	103	\$54,090	96	\$58,100	92	\$48,900	55	\$35,250	91	\$53,460	81	\$64,123	62	\$36,552	44	\$22,871
April	404	\$221,300	215	\$129,700	123	\$67,595	39	\$28,750	94	\$61,660	66	\$29,215	66	\$47,400	23	\$18,699	28	\$25,855
May	371	\$208,815	153	\$81,850	174	\$94,645	69	\$46,990	44	\$30,380	44	\$40,750	58	\$35,687	15	\$13,500	31	\$24,770
June	131	\$74,070	315	\$185,570	190	\$98,355	89	\$56,185	57	\$41,080	48	\$39,680	58	\$27,069	42	\$25,640	44	\$25,664
July	214	\$131,725	133	\$87,985	98	\$59,735	58	\$43,585	112	\$67,105	64	\$43,898	27	\$31,500	104	\$70,414	44	\$37,356
August	193	\$113,185	190	\$112,425	90	\$58,560	35	\$28,750	77	\$53,265	99	\$52,345	50	\$23,245	53	\$46,184	74	\$48,264
September	361	\$194,860	177	\$104,720	151	\$83,500	83	\$45,490	59	\$32,560	67	\$53,662	60	\$45,440	37	\$24,929	35	\$32,434
October	241	\$128,265	120	\$65,765	98	\$59,155	59	\$30,935	77	\$45,600	90	\$42,814	49	\$28,628	39	\$30,975	35	\$27,975
November	146	\$89,980	180	\$98,788	147	\$83,445	70	\$41,050	124	\$62,435	81	\$42,318	53	\$32,743	20	\$12,644	37	\$28,667
December	123	\$75,140	208	\$116,805	115	\$70,300	77	\$44,175	74	\$59,030	55	\$44,171	32	\$28,250	52	\$39,164		
Total	2575	\$1,432,190	2408	\$1,362,844	1531	\$868,445	900	\$531,445	912	\$565,680	819	\$516,883	669	\$433,541	576	\$400,035	475	\$353,048

Grand Total	Count	10,865	Amount Collected	\$6,464,110
--------------------	--------------	---------------	-------------------------	--------------------

Dallas County Jail Population Bookins 3-year statistical summary

JAIL POPULATION monthly averages					
2013	Jail Pop AVG	2014	Jail Pop AVG	2015	Jail Pop AVG
Jan-13	6128	Jan-14	6247	Jan-15	6036
Feb-13	6163	Feb-14	6250	Feb-15	5956
Mar-13	5962	Mar-14	6041	Mar-15	5824
Apr-13	5876	Apr-14	6214	Apr-15	5868
May-13	6016	May-14	6287	May-15	5768
Jun-13	6068	Jun-14	6232	Jun-15	5618
Jul-13	6246	Jul-14	6336	Jul-15	5772
Aug-13	6345	Aug-14	6168	Aug-15	5727
Sep-13	6485	Sep-14	6150	Sep-15	5590
Oct-13	6418	Oct-14	5959	Oct-15	5509
Nov-13	6054	Nov-14	5963	Nov-15	5342
Dec-13	6015	Dec-14	5885	Dec-15	
	6148		6144		5728

BOOKINS monthly totals					
2013	Total bookins	2014	Total bookins	2015	Total bookins
Jan-13	6905	Jan-14	6646	Jan-15	5939
Feb-13	6754	Feb-14	6115	Feb-15	5165
Mar-13	7016	Mar-14	6263	Mar-15	5978
Apr-13	6787	Apr-14	6590	Apr-15	6350
May-13	7268	May-14	6462	May-15	5900
Jun-13	6837	Jun-14	5837	Jun-15	5848
Jul-13	7109	Jul-14	6453	Jul-15	6357
Aug-13	7709	Aug-14	6229	Aug-15	6103
Sep-13	6794	Sep-14	5936	Sep-15	5694
Oct-13	6893	Oct-14	6072	Oct-15	5712
Nov-13	5772	Nov-14	5431	Nov-15	5042
Dec-13	5162	Dec-14	5168	Dec-15	
	6751		6100		5826
	81,006		73,202		64,088

**Dallas County Department of Criminal Justice/Jail Diversion
Alternative Sentencing Program (ASP) and Bond/Electronic Monitoring Program
Statistical Summary Report
NOVEMBER 2015**

CASELOAD INFORMATION

	11/15 ASP	11/15 Bond	11/15 Ch. Sup	11/15 TOTAL	ASP TOTAL	Bond TOTAL	Ch. Sup TOTAL	Total 9-01-09 - 11-30-15
Beginning Client Count	15	84	0	99	3	3	9(5/13)	15
Total Clients That Started The Program	20	29	0	49	1,489	1013	89	2,591
Total Cases Closed	23	13	0	36	1,480	916	98	2,494
Closed Successfully	22	9	0	31	1,451	591	74	2,116
Closed Unsuccessfully	1	4	0	5	29	325	24	378
Total Clients at End of Month	12	100	0	112				

PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION FOR CLOSED CASES

	ASP	Bond	Ch. Sup	TOTAL	ASP	Bond	Ch. Sup	TOTAL
Full House Arrest	1	1	0	2	188	71	14	273
House Arrest w/work/school release	22	0	0	22	1,245	0	1	1,246
GPS w/work/school release	0	12	0	12	47	845	83	975
MEMS-Alcohol Monitor	13	1	0	14	562	53	0	615

CASELOAD NON-COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

	ASP	Bond	Ch. Sup	TOTAL	ASP	Bond	Ch. Sup	TOTAL
Violation Reports Submitted	1	44	0	45	71	1,106	46	1,223
Unsuccessful Removal from Program	1	4	0	5	29	325	24	378
Failed to Start Program/Warrant Issued	0	0	0	0	12	17	1	30
Interviewed but Rejected for Program	0	0	0	0	3	33	2	38
New Offenses Committed while in Program	0	0	0	0	4	23	5	32

CASELOAD ACTIVITIES

	11/15	TOTAL
Orientation Interviews Conducted	49	2,637
Computer Checks for Warrants & New Offenses	193	3,422
Telephone Contacts with Clients	675	34,953
Telephone Contacts with Non Clients	249	10,389
In Person Contacts with Clients-Office & Field	442	24,315
In Person Contacts with Non Clients-Office and Field	106	3,793

DALLAS COUNTY FUNDS SAVED

	11/15	Total 9-01-09 - 11-30-15
ELM Days Served/Jail Bed Days Saved	3,407	167,019
Cost of Jail Bed Per Day	\$ 69.38	SEE ADDENDUM
TOTAL JAIL BED EXPENSES SAVED	\$222,501.66	\$ 9,895,043.37

ASP/BOND ELM
Jail Bed Cost Savings Addendum

Time Period	Cost per Day	Days	Total Cost Saved
9/09	\$55.60	393	\$ 21,850.80
10/09 to 9/10	\$48.49	7,589	\$ 367,990.61
10/10 to 09/11	\$57.49	16,277	\$ 934,212.50
10/11 to 09/12	\$53.13	23,536	\$ 1,250,467.68
10/12 to 09/13	\$56.29	30,368	\$ 1,709,414.72
10/13 to 9/14	\$62.46	41,130	\$ 2,568,979.80
10/14 to 9/15	\$63.11	40,706	\$ 2,568,955.66
10/15 to 11/15	\$69.38	7,020	\$ 473,171.60
		Total Days: 167,019	Total Savings \$9,895,043.37

Time Period	ASP	Bond	Total clients served during month	Total jail bed days saved	County pay clients	Clients who paid something	Clients that didn't pay (not county paid)	Fees collected by Sentinel
10/15	43	115	158	3,613	37	103	18	\$22,207.40
11/15	35	113	148	3,407	25	108	15	\$29,962.00

PRETRIAL RELEASE SERVICES MONTHLY REPORT

	Dec 14	JAN 15	FEB 15	MAR 15	APRIL 15	MAY 15	JUN 15	JUL 15	Aug 15	SEPT 15	OCT 15	NOV 15	12mo AVG
AVG BOOKINS per day	167	192	184	193	220	190	195	205	252	190	184	168	195
Interviews conducted	269	309	264	365	319	263	290	287	283	276	237	217	282
Cr. History reviewed	466	485	452	507	469	419	412	483	517	599	450	370	469
Bonds written	196	221	182	216	209	171	188	216	161	160	158	139	185
AVG BONDS per day	9.8	11.1	10.2	9.8	9.5	8.7	8.8	9.8	7.8	8.1	7	7.4	9.00
Bonds (collected)	163	176	139	169	163	130	143	163	107	137	130	130	146
Bonds (waived)	33	45	43	47	36	41	45	53	30	33	42	37	40
Bonds TOTAL	196	221	182	216	209	171	188	216	137	170	172	167	187
FEES (collected)	\$5,915	\$5,930	\$4,595	\$5,515	\$5,470	\$4,070	\$5,090	\$5,450	\$4,125	\$4,455	\$4,180	\$3,315	\$4,843
FEES (waived)	\$1,680	\$1,735	\$1,530	\$1,535	\$1,370	\$1,650	\$1,565	\$2,415	\$1,190	\$1,205	\$1,260	\$1,585	\$1,560
FEES TOTAL	\$7,595	\$7,665	\$6,125	\$7,050	\$6,840	\$5,720	\$6,655	\$7,865	\$5,315	\$5,660	\$5,440	\$4,900	\$6,403

AVERAGES - LATEST HISTORICAL STATISTICAL DATA	
	BKIN AVG
2012 AVERAGE	231
2013 AVERAGE	222
2014 AVERAGE	204
Jan-15	192
Feb-15	184
Mar-15	193
Apr-15	220
May-15	190
Jun-15	195
Jul-15	205
Aug-15	252
Sep-15	190
Oct-15	184
Nov-15	168

PRETRIAL RELEASE SERVICES ~ YEARLY AVERAGES			
	PTR Bond	Jail Pop Avg	Bkin Avg
2011	9	6430	238
2012	9	6310	231
2013	11	6015	222
2014	10	5846	215

Jail Competency Stats 2015

	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Totals
Active													
New Evals - Incompetent	48	61	39	38	43	41	46	51	41	44	55		507
Waiting for the hospital - End of month	31	43	73	84	79	71	55	58	52	52	60	0	
Less than 30 days	24	26	55	33	29	35	25	33	29	25	22		
30 to 60 days	5	12	13	38	24	16	12	9	8	17	22		
Greater than 60 days	2	5	5	13	26	20	18	16	15	10	16		
Returned to jail from the hospital	13	8	13	15	22	16	23	26	22	22	21	0	201
Felony	8	7	10	11	16	12	18	22	19	14	15		
Average length of stay (days)	105	102	80	85	100	79	101	124	100	121	95		
Misdemeanor	5	1	3	4	6	4	5	4	3	8	6		
Average length of stay (days)	91	56	84	80	71	54	64	66	75	89	76		
Previous return legal case pending	27	43	30	36	23	36	26	23	20	18	17	0	299
Less than 30 days	5	12	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
1 to 3 months	7	13	6	10	6	20	12	11	12	10	8		
3 to 6 months	10	11	8	5	7	4	5	4	2	4	5		
> 6 months	5	7	8	12	10	12	9	8	6	4	4		
Closed													
New Evals - Competent	28	38	37	36	33	33	39	27	40	35	41		387
Admitted to the state hospital	35	18	35	13	34	38	40	26	34	26	21	0	320
Felony	24	11	22	8	24	21	26	17	23	20	17		
Average wait for a hospital bed (days)	61	59	56	54	54	79	76	50	69	67	87		
Misdemeanor	11	7	13	5	10	17	14	9	11	6	4		
Average wait for a hospital bed (days)	39	39	49	42	42	60	45	39	37	46	42		
Hospital Return Legal Case Resolved	22	11	23	29	20	22	16	27	21	21	17	0	229
Less than 30 days	16	2	6	7	8	8	7	18	8	12	11		
1 to 3 months	5	1	9	14	9	11	5	3	9	4	6		
3 to 6 months	1	7	3	2	3	1	3	4	2	4	0		
> 6 months	0	1	5	6	0	2	1	2	2	1	0		
Case dismissed at the hospital	1	5	6	12	0	6	4	4	5	4	0	0	47
Felony	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Misdemeanor	1	5	5	11	0	5	4	4	5	4	0		