

DALLAS COUNTY AUDITOR

TO:

Honorable Derick Evans, Constable Precinct 1

FROM:

Virginia Porter, County Auditor Juquino Parter

SUBJECT:

GPS Tracking Network Reports

ISSUE DATE:

June 30, 2011

RELEASE DATE:

July 28, 2011

SCOPE

A review of the GPS Tracking Network Reports and the Kronos Time Detail Reports for the month of March 2011 was performed to determine if County vehicles exceeded a speed threshold at 75 MPH, to identify the assigned driver, and to determine if County employees were accurately recording time and attendance on Kronos.

Due to the serious nature of the incident, the report is being submitted for your immediate attention.

REVIEW PROCEDURES

The review included:

- GPS Tracking Network Speed Report (March 1 − 31, 2011)
- GPS Tracking Network Complete Report (March 1 − 31, 2011)
- GPS Tracking Network Stop Report (March 1 − 31, 2011)
- GPS Tracking Network Trip Report (March 1 − 31, 2011)
- Kronos Time Detail Report (March 1 31, 2011)
- Precinct Home and/or Remote Storage of Vehicles and Assignment Report
- Dallas County Policies and Procedures Section 90-94, Section 90-171 90-175

FINDINGS

Speeding

The GPS Tracking Network Speed Report identified twenty-seven (27) Precinct 1 employees that exceeded the 75 MPH threshold during the review month. Speeds were recorded as high as 109 MPH with 573 instances occurring while on duty and 232 instances occurring while off duty. 227 of the off duty instances occurred while the vehicles/employees were commuting to work prior to their scheduled shift and commuting home after their scheduled shift.

Time and Attendance

The GPS Tracking Network Reports and Certified Time Records identified six (6) Precinct 1 employees as not accurately recording their times on Kronos for arriving to work late and/or departing work early during the review month.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Speeding

Develop management plan to address exceeding posted speed limits, safety, as well as cost avoidance. Include disciplinary action for unjustified actions. Employees should only drive their assigned County vehicles during business hours and for commuting purposes. Employees should reimburse the County at the current mileage reimbursement rate for all miles driven while not on County related business.

Time and Attendance

Supervisors should re-educate their employees about how to properly record their time on Kronos and the County's attendance policies. Supervisors need to ensure that employee time records are accurate and that no abuses have occurred. Identified errors or omissions in the time record should be reviewed, approved by the supervisor for correction and sent to the "Payroll Hotline" for historical edits to properly record actual time worked.

CONSTABLE PRECINCT 1 RESPONSES

Constable Precinct 1 responses to the GPS Tracking Network Reports and the Kronos Time Detail Reports findings/observations are incorporated in the findings template.

SUMMARY

This review is intended to support management in evaluating compliance with Dallas County policies and procedures, laws, and regulations applicable to the department. Development of written internal procedures should be initiated to ensure compliance with recommended procedures, county policies, and state statutes.



Dallas County, Texas

Finding Number:

11-ARC-04-01 May 20, 2011

Date: Audit:

Precinct 1 Vehicle Speed Report

Auditor (s) Assigned:

JR

Finding:

PRECINCT 1

Review of the GPS Tracking Network Speed Report, which displays instances when the County vehicle is driven at or above the excessive speed threshold of 75 MPH, identified twenty-seven (27) Precinct 1 employees that drove at or above the excessive speed threshold of 75 MPH for the month of March 2011. An instance is defined as a GPS update (ping) that occurs regularly at 5 minute intervals while the vehicle is at or above the 75 MPH threshold.

The following Precinct 1 vehicles/employees met or exceeded the excessive speed threshold of 75 MPH for the month of March 2011:

Vehicle	Employee ID	# of instances	# of instances	# of instances	Maximum
Number#	Number#	"ON" Duty	"OFF" Duty	Total	Speed
A1004	14194	_26	1	27	83
A1008	8587	45	17	62	<u>92</u>
A1009	20592	3	0	3	76
CA790	17834-2	55	8	63	90
CA871	2320	0 ·	1	1	77
CA873	12731-2	. 2	35	37	96
CA874	5139-2	5	7	12	78
CA875	620	6	3	9	81
CA876	30315	5	. 5	10	80
CA902	27803	15	. 3	18	82
CA903	6096	47	0	47	92
CA904	8587	6	. 5	11	84
CA905	13941-2	8	0	8	89
CA906	20362	8	5	13	82
CA907	19458	3	1	4	79
CA909	2726	25	11	36	90
CA910	13591-3	33	18	51	81
CA911	14194	0	. 1	11	79
CA940	1015-2	8	. 14	22	89
CA941	3401	9	0	9	81
CA942	1005	103	54	157	<u>86</u>
CA944	6524	6	1	7	80
CA945	31604	15	18	33	84
CA946	31658	29	0	29	86
CA947	7160-2	31	. 1	32	<u>85</u>
CA948	31659	5	0	5	79
CA949	19952	75	23	98	109
-	TOTAL	573	232	805	

There were a total of 573 instances of vehicles/employees driving at an excessive speed threshold of 75 MPH during "ON" duty. There were a total of 232 instances of vehicles/employees driving at an excessive speed threshold of 75 MPH during "OFF" duty. 227 of the instances occurred 'OFF" duty while the vehicles/employees were commuting to



	work prior to their scheduled shift and commuting home after their scheduled shift. The 5
	remaining "OFF" duty instances occurred while the employee was either on vacation and/or
	sick leave. All 805 total instances appear to have occurred on interstate/state highways.
Workpaper Reference:	GPS Tracking Network Speeding Report (March 1 – 31, 2011)
(or other method by	Each employee's GPS Tracking Network speed reports are documented for review and
which finding was	verification. Report exception level was defined as excessive if driving at or above 75 MPH.
identified)	
,	Kronos Time Detail Report (March 1 31, 2011)
	Each employee's Kronos time detail reports certifying the hours worked and leave time taken
	are documented for review and verification.
**	
	Precinct Home and/or Remote Storage of Vehicles and Assignment Report.
:	
	Dallas County Policies and Procedures – Section 90-94 and Section 90-171.
Condition:	Vehicles are assigned and equipped with GPS units. Deputies serve writs/notices which
(Describe the current	require use of vehicle. Traffic program was disbanded in September 2010. Both home storage
condition)	and remote storage is authorized. Deputies are expected to follow local traffic laws which
,	denote speeders at various levels.
	Each employee certified actual hours worked for the month of March 2011.
Criteria:	GPS functionality:
(Describe the optimal	1) Standard update (ping) every 5 minutes when ignition is on, one hour when the
condition)	ignition is off.
condition)	2) Standard update (trip) for ignition on/off.
	3) Six (6) month archived records online capabilities.
	4) Standard reports developed for speeding, after hours, and out of county.
	5) Automated email alert when vehicle crosses county line.
	3) Automated email after when vehicle crosses country mic.
·	Traveling at the posted speed limits and using County issued vehicles only during work hours
	and only for County related business.
	and only for county rotated substances
	Section 90-94. – Special requirement for all operators of remote of home storage vehicles.
	Section 70-74. — Special regulation an operation of romote of nome storage ventered
•	(a) All vehicles approved for remote or home storage shall be equipped with a
	centralized county GPS tracking system. The information provided by this system
	will be adequate for use in civil litigation and criminal proceedings. The Purchasing
	Department, OBE, and county auditor office will have unrestricted access to the GPS
	and any of its data. As any other authorized equipment for county vehicles, the GPS
	system shall be installed and maintained by the ASC. The initial and future cost of
	law enforcement GPS will be paid from the department's drug forfeiture funds and
	other department's funding will be addressed on a case by case basis.
	(b) Employees that are authorized remote or home storage shall maintain a daily mileage
	log where the employee/driver will log in at the start and end of each day the starting
	and ending mileage and location. (c) At the end of each month the mileage log will be turned in to the employee's
	supervisor who shall review the log for inconsistencies and if none are found, sign
	and date the log. If a discrepancy is noted, the supervisor shall document the finding
· -	on the mileage log, address it with the employee and provide a copy of the
	discrepancy to the OBE and auditor's office. Mileage logs shall be retained for a
	minimum of two years.



		*					
	Section 90-1	71. – Instruction	n of operators; sa	fe driving record required.			
	equi ope	(a) Every official or employee of the county that operates county or personal equipment/vehicles on behalf of the county shall be instructed in the safe and proper operation of it before the equipment is operated. The responsibility for the instruction of operators rests with the elected officials or department head.					
	(b) All personnel, as a condition of employment if the employee will operate count personal equipment/vehicles on behalf of the county, must possess at all times record of safe operation of such equipment/vehicle.						
Cause: (Describe the cause of the condition if possible)	Twenty-seven (27) identified Precinct 1 employees driving at 75 MPH or greater significantly above posted speed limits while on/off duty as recorded on Kronos time and attendance system.						
Effect: (Describe or quantify any advers e effects)	Speeding increases the risk of serious accident, risk of serious injuries and fatalities, fuel consumption, vehicle emissions. Excessive speeds and/or inappropriate speeds are often important factors in single vehicle crashes such as hitting a fixed object and rolling over, particularly on highway roads. Excessive speeds and/or inappropriate speeds increase the risk of accidents through the loss of control and injuries by increasing reaction distance, braking distance, impact speed and crash energy.						
Recommendation: (Describe corrective action)	Develop management plan to address exceeding posted speed limits, safety, as well as cost avoidance. Include disciplinary action for unjustified actions. Verify the accuracy of information provided to Auto Service Center on vehicle assignment.						
Responsible Department or Organization:	Precinct 1						
Management's Response:		Disagree	Respondent:	Derick Evans, Constable Precinct 1	Date:	07/15/2011	
Comments:	Upon furthe determined tany deputy	there was no occord of this departm	casion that was	findings for the month of locumented by our departred edded the 75 mph thresholical issues.	nent's dis	patch logs for	
	This department currently has in place, departmental directives that address the issues that are outlined herein. In conjunction with the Dallas County Code, these procedures were previously presented to each deputy and were acknowledged by their signature. In an effort to curtail such future behavior, we have reiterated our directives and the policies of Dallas County through memorandum regarding the proper usage of all assigned county vehicles to include, but not limited to, the possibility of disciplinary action being taken for violations of these polices and directives. In addition, as part of a corrective action, we are seeking an opinion from the civil DA's Office and HR, on whether or not we may require the affected deputy to complete a defensive driving course.						
				·			



Dallas County, Texas

Please see below a breakdown of our findings. Our findings are as follows:

Vehicle A1004, Employee #14194

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle A1008, Employee #8587

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle A1009, Employee #20592

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA790, Employee #17834-2

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA871, Employee #2320

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA873, Employee #12731-2

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA874, Employee #5139-2

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA875, Employee #620

Comments: Our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011, However, CA-875 has been downed on several occasions over this past year for the same mechanical problem affecting the cluster control panel and speedometer. This can be verified through Mr. Darrell Bunch at the ASC Garland shop. As of July 2011, this continues to be an ongoing problem which intermittently re-occurs.

Form: Audit Finding Page: 4 of 7



Dallas County, Texas

Vehicle CA876, Employee #30315

Comments: Our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA902, Employee #27803

Comments: Our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA903, Employee #6096

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA904, Employee #8587

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA905, Employee #13941-3

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA906, Employee #20396

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA907, Employee #19458

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA909, Employee #2726

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA910, Employee #13591-3

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.



Dallas County, Texas

Vehicle CA911, Employee #14194

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Vehicle CA940, Employee #1015-2

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA941, Employee #3401

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA942, Employee #1005

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA944, Employee #6524

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA945, Employee #31604

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA946, Employee #31658

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.

Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County

Vehicle CA947, Employee #7160-2

Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/or law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month of March 2011.



	Vehicle CA948, Employee #31659 Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/o law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month o March 2011.
	Vehicle CA949, Employee #19952 Comments: Upon further investigation, our records do not reflect any emergency and/o law enforcement scenario that required speeds in excess of the posted limit for the month o March 2011. Deputy is no longer employed with Dallas County
Disposition:	X Audit Report



Dallas County,

Finding Number:

Date:

Audit: Auditor (s) Assigned: 11-ARC-04-02 May 20, 2011

Precinct 1 Time and Attendance

JR

F	in	Ч	in	σ	
1.	ELL	u	ш	ĸ	

PRECINCT 1

Review of the Kronos Time and Attendance Detail Reports which displays the actual hours recorded by the employees and the GPS Tracking Network Reports which displays vehicle/employee time updates received during the designated time period, identified six (6) Precinct 1 employees that did not accurately record times on Kronos for arriving to work late and/or departing work early during the month of March 2011.

The following Precinct 1 employees were identified as not accurately recording their times on Kronos for arriving to work late and/or departing work early during the month of March 2011:

2011.				Total # Minutes
Vehicle	Employee ID	# of instances	# of instances	Late Arrival/
Number#	Number #	Late Arrival	Early Departure	Early Departure
CA871	2320	.0	1	29
CA874	5139-2	2 .	. 2	260
CA876	30315	. 2	2	1,181
CA907	19458	0	1	15
CA941	3401	· 21	3	461
A1008	32979	0	2	40
	ТОТАЇ	. 25	. 11	1,986

Each employees commute time was used in assessing arrival and departure times. Average commute based on Yahoo Maps total time and total distance.

Workpaper Reference: (or other method by which finding was identified)

Kronos Time Detail Reports (March 1 – 31, 2011)

Each employee's Kronos time detail reports certifying the hours worked and leave time taken are documented for review and verification.

GPS Tracking Network Reports (March 1-31, 2011)

Each employee's GPS Tracking Network reports are documented for review and verification.

Precinct home and/or remote storage of vehicles and assignment report.

Condition: (Describe the current condition)

Dallas County Policies and Procedures – Article V – Section 82-171 through Section 82-175. A Kronos account is established for each employee as the official time and attendance entry system. Employees are expected to record the start and end of their workday on the time and attendance system in accordance with County policy.

Chief clerk inputs time from schedule and adjustments are made based on exceptions from the employee. Each employee certified actual hours worked for the month of March 2011.

Office policy allows flex commute time on training days.

The GPS Tracking Network Reports display vehicle/employee time updates received during the designated time period denoting ignition off/on.



Dallas County, Texas

Criteria: (Describe the optimal condition)

County policy designates Kronos as the official time and attendance entry system for all employees. Dallas County Policies and Procedures Article V Section 82-171 through Section 82-175 state the following:

"Sec. 82-171. Purpose of article.

The purpose of this article is to provide time and attendance reporting procedures for all county employees. All county employees are required to record their daily attendance. The county time and attendance tracking system includes three time entry methods: time clocks, on-line entry and time sheets. Employees shall use the time entry method designated by their elected official or department head.

Sec. 82-172. Nonexempt employee responsibilities.

(a) The county requires that every employee work 40 hours every week. Vacation leave, sick leave, authorized holidays, authorized time off, and accrued compensatory time count toward this 40-hour per week requirement. Except for the lunch period explained in subsection (c) of this section, employees are expected to be working for the benefit of the county from the time the employee's shift begins until the employee's shift ends.

(b) Nonexempt employees are strictly prohibited from working more than 40 hours per week, without prior approval from their supervisor. All of the time an employee works must be recorded on the county's time and attendance system. An employee is never to work without recording time. If an employee is ever asked to work without recording work time, the elected official or department head must be notified immediately. If the matter is not resolved by the

department, the employee must immediately notify the county personnel department.

(c) Each elected official or department head will designate a 30-minute, 45-minute or onehour lunch period for his nonexempt employees. The elected official or department head may not set the lunch period within the two hours after the employee's regular shift begins or in the two hours before the employee's regular shift ends. Whatever lunch period the elected official or department head designates for his employees will not be work time. The time and attendance system will automatically deduct the designated lunch period from the hours actually worked by the employee, and the employee will not be paid for this time. (d) The employee is not allowed to work during his lunch period. The lunch period is time for the employee to use for his benefit, not for the benefit of the county. It is time for the employee to use as the employee chooses, except that the employee may not choose to work during the lunch period. If any employee does work during a lunch period, that employee's supervisor is required to record that lunch period on the time and attendance system as having been worked. Working the required lunch period without prior approval on more than three occasions or failing to report the work done during the lunch period may subject the employee and the employee's supervisor to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

Sec. 82-173. Exempt employee responsibilities.

Exempt employees are expected to record the start and end of their workday on the time and attendance system in accordance with departmental policy.

Sec. 82-174. General provisions.

- (a) Employees leaving the premises during working hours for reasons other than county business shall clock out when leaving and clock in when returning to work.
- (b) Employees who do not record hours worked by the payroll deadline due to lack of preplanning for vacation, sick time, errors or accidental omissions must notify their supervisor as soon as possible.
- (c) Employees who forget to record their time shall notify their supervisor. Employees who consistently forget to record their time shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including termination. For example, more than three missed entries in one month may be considered excessive and may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination. If time worked, overtime, compensatory time, sick leave payment time, or any other payment is



		<u> </u>					
	(d) Employed time, errors supervisor fo	es shall not co and accidental r correction.	rrect employee t omissions. Erro	ill roll over to the next ime records to account rs in the time record information on an em	nt for vacation t shall be report	ed to the	
	employee's t well as possi	ime record shable criminal cha Supervisory res	ll result in discip arges.	olinary action that ma	y include termin	nation, as	
	(a) Supervisor (b) Supervisor (time clocks, Supervisors of assigned and	(a) Supervisory responsibilities fall to the elected official, department head or their designee. (b) Supervisors are responsible for informing their employees about which time entry method (time clocks, on-line entry or time sheets) they shall use to record their time and attendance. Supervisors shall educate their employees about how to use the time entry method they are assigned and about the time and attendance policies for their department. (c) Supervisors are responsible for ensuring employee time records are accurate and that no					
	abuses occur omissions.	. Only supervis	ors have the aut	employee time record nority to correct emploing employee vacation	oyee time record	l errors or	
	entering time (e) Supervis vacation time compliance	e for employees ors are respon ne, sick time, with their shift	who are working sible for checking compensatory time work schedule an	outside their department of daily start times, one and overtime to d the county's overtime	ent work area. meal periods, e ensure employe e policies. Super	end times, ees are in rvisors are	
	reporting pos (f) Supervise Monday by	ssible fraud to the ors are response 10:00 a.m.	he county auditor sible for approvi	ng all-time records for	or their departm	nent every	
			ble for reporting	lost, stolen or damage	d key cards and	arranging	
Cause: (Describe the cause of the condition if possible)	for replacement key cards." Six (6) identified Precinct 1 employees failed to accurately record their times on Kronos for arriving to work late and/or departing work early for the month of March 2011.						
Effect: (Describe or quantify any adverse effects)	Per Dallas County policy, falsifying information on an employee's own or another employee's time record shall result in disciplinary action that may include termination, as well as possible criminal charges.						
Recommendation: (Describe corrective			ate their employ endance policies	ees about how to proj	perly record the	ir time on	
action)	Supervisors need to ensure that employee time records are accurate and that no abuses have occurred.						
	supervisor f	for correction.	All adjustments	ecord should be revie must be forwarded t d/or record credit for e	o the Payroll H		
Responsible Department	Precinct 1						
or Organization:							



Dallas County, Texas

Comments:

Vehicle A-1008, Employee #32979

Discrepancy: 03/22/2011 – early departure 18 minutes.

Response: On 03/22/2011, employee #32979 attended a training seminar. Deputies attending any training are dressed casually. The time that the class ends is at the discretion of the instructor. After taking into account the time the employee would have to drive home and/or remote park his vehicle, change into uniform and travel back to the office, would have had a greater economic impact to the County, i.e. in fuel, and the possibility of earning compensatory time if he were unable to report to the Polk office before 4:30 p.m.

Employee #32979 is no longer employed with Dallas County.

Vehicle CA941, Employee #3401

Discepancy: 03/01/2011 - 03/31/2011; 21 late arrivals, 3 early departures

Response: Employee #3401 duty hours during the month of March 2011, were from 8:00 a.m. -5:00 p.m., Monday – Friday. Employee's time starts at 8:00 a.m. when she starts her vehicle at her approved remote parking location. She was to then immediately proceed to Downtown Dallas to pick up all Precinct I in-house mail. She was not required to report to Polk Street location first.

However, the times documented in the audit report reflecting the late and early departures by employee were unreported by her to supervisory personnel. No documentation currently exists showing why she arrived "late" to here assigned county vehicle, or why she accumulated "early departures", or parked her assigned county vehicle early.

Employee #3401 is no longer employed with Dallas County.

Vehicle CA874, Employee #5139-2

Discrepancy: 03/09/2011 - late arrival 31 minutes

Response: Employee #5139-2 regular assigned hours are from 7:30 a.m. -4:30 p.m. On this date, however, the "10-8" log sheet correctly reflected that employee's duty hours were from 8:00 a.m. -5:00 p.m., he arrived at the Polk Street office at 7:56 a.m. However, Kronos was inadvertently not amended to flect the change in his work schedule for that day.

Discrepancy: 03/14/2011 - early departure 83 minutes

Response: On 03/14/2011, employee #5139-2 attended a training seminar. Deputies attending any training are dressed casually. The time that the class ends is at the discretion of the instructor. After taking into account the time the employee would have to drive home and/or remote park his vehicle, change into uniform and travel back to the office, would have had a greater economic impact to the County, i.e. in fuel, and the possibility of earning compensatory time if he were unable to report to the Polk office before 4:30 p.m.

Discrepancy: 03/15/2011 – late arrival 31 minutes and early departure 115 minutes **Response:** Again, employee #5139-2 was scheduled for a training class.

Vehicle CA871, Employee #2320

Discrepancy: 03/22/2011 - early departure 29 minutes

Response: On 03/22/2011, employee #2320 attended a training seminar. Deputies attending any training are dressed casually. The time that the class ends is at the discretion of the instructor. After taking into account the time the employee would have to drive home and/or remote park his vehicle, change into uniform and travel back to the office, would have had a greater economic impact to the County, i.e. in fuel, and the possibility of earning compensatory time if he were unable to report to the Polk office before 4:30 p.m.



Dallas County, Texas

Comments:

Employee #2320 retired and is no longer employed with Dallas County.

Vehicle CA907, Employee #19458

Discrepancy: 03/25/2011 – early departure 15 minutes

Response: Employee #19458 duty hours were from 7:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. Employee assisted fellow deputies in the execution of a writ of attachment on a juvenile in Seagoville, Texas. According to GPS tracking records, employee cleared from his writ by approximately 4:07 p.m.

Employee remotely stores his County vehicle in Addison, Texas. By having employee report back to the Polk Street office after 4:07 p.m. from Seagoville, Texas, then travel back to Addison, Texas, would have caused a greater economic impact to Dallas County, i.e. the greater expenditure of fuel.

Vehicle CA876, Employee #30315

Discrepancy: 03/01/2011 - early departure 117 minutes

Response: Employee #30315 duty hours for this date were from 7:30 a.m. -4:30 p.m. It appears that no leave request was submitted by employee, nor any substantiated reason can be determined at this time as to why he left early on this date.

Discrepancy: 03/03/2011

Response: Employee #30315 duty hours for this date were from 7:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. It appears that no leave request was submitted by employee, nor any substantiated reason can be determined at this time as to why he left early on this date.

Discrepancy: 03/08/2011 - late arrival 480 minutes

Response: Employee #30315 submitted a leave request for the dates 03/04/2011 – 03/21/2011 which was granted in February 2011. However, the daily "10-8" log sheet reflects that on 03/08/2011 employee called in sick. Employee did not start his leave on the date that he was originally expected to. He reported to work until he called in sick on the March 8th. Employee did not submit a revised leave request for the new dates and it appears that that there was a miscommunication with Chief Clerk who records the time. At present, we cannot find that employee verbally communicated that he would not go out on leave until the following week or two. Currently the deputies do not clock in or out or utilize the web time keeper to record their time. The Chief Clerk updates their timecards based on information received from the sworn supervisory staff and the detail/debriefing sheet. Without a revised leave request indicating that he was not present on the dates in question, the Chief Clerk inadvertently recorded his time as having worked.

Discrepancy: 03/14/2011 - late arrival 480 minutes

Response: The daily "10-8" log sheet reflected that employee was out on a comp day. Again, the dates he originally requested were from 03/04/2011 - 03/21/2011. It appears that on this date, there was another oversight whereby Kronos was not updated according to reflect his absence.

Employee #30315 is no longer employed with Dallas County.

Disposition:

X Audit Report