



## **Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board**

### **Meeting Agenda**

March 20, 2017

2:30 p.m.

Dallas County Health & Human Services Bldg., Room 627

2377 N. Stemmons Freeway

Dallas, TX 75207

- I. Welcome and Introductions** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- II. Membership & Infrastructure\*** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- III. Minutes Review/Approval\***
- IV. Presentation**
  - **George Allen Protective Orders** – Judge Tena Callahan, Sharon Archie and Erika Williams
- V. Committee Project Updates**
  - **Bail Bond Committee** – Jeff Segura
  - **Fair Defense Committee** – Lynn Richardson
  - **Jail Population/Pre-Trial Diversion** – Etho Pugh
  - **Justice of the Peace** - Judge Steve Seider
  - **Juvenile Justice** – Rudy Acosta
  - **Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence** – Chief Jim Spivey, Ellyce Lindberg
  - **Public Policy** - Adam Medrano
  - **Reentry Committee** – Christina Crain
- VI. Program Update**
  - **SAMHSA Drug Court Expansion** – Laura Edmonds
  - **Caruth Smart Justice** – Mike Laughlin
  - **Local Data Advisory Board** – Jeff Segura
- VII. Public Comments**
- VIII. Announcements**
- IX. Next Meeting Schedule**
  - June 19, 2017
- X. Adjournment**



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board  
General Membership Minutes for Monday, December 19, 2016**

**Welcome & Introductions**, Commissioner Dr. Garcia, called the meeting to order at 2:30 PM. Customary introductions were made by all in attendance.

**Membership & Infrastructure:**

There were no changes to membership or infrastructure at this time

**Meeting Minutes:**

The minutes from the CJAB General Membership meeting held on September 19, 2016, were made a part of the packet. There was a motion made to accept the minutes as printed. The motion was seconded and approved.

**Presentations:**

**Reentry Services by Ray Gulley and Sherman Roberts:**

Commissioner Dr. Garcia introduced Ray Gulley and Sherman Roberts, and gave a brief biography. Mr. Gulley discussed the history of City Wide Community Development Corporation which is a non-profit organization formed in 2001. The mission of City Wide CDC is to help neighborhoods by developing affordable housing, economic development, education, literacy and to use social programs that help families improve quality of life. The program received a grant from the Dallas City Council for reentry services and primarily serves the Oak Cliff area.

Mr. Gulley went on to describe how they work on reentry services, this included outreach in the community followed by creation of an individual plan for participants. During this stage the plan is individual for each participant to follow on two different tracks. The first is the construction track which includes on the job training for construction of highway, commercial, and housing. The program partnered with the Regional Black Contractors Association which has allowed them to negotiate with some of the business by offering to subsidize some of their salary for the first four weeks in exchange for training. By the third week the participant should know if they will be allowed to stay.

Mr. Gulley then discussed the second track which is the Logistic Track. This teaches participants how warehouses operate which includes classroom training at Cedar Valley College. At Cedar Valley College, participants will work with their

business development center in a four week continuing education course. After the course participants can go to on the job training at a warehouse. Job readiness and professional development is included here as well. Behavioral adjustment is also part of this step which helps in job readiness.

Mr. Gulley further stated that in the program there is a thirty and sixty day follow up to ensure they are working out on the job. If participants are not doing well they could receive help from the program again in the form of counseling or returning to the job readiness program. The program can also provide gas vouchers and bus passes if the participant is in need.

Mr. Gulley listed some of the partners which he is currently working. These include City Wide Community center, RC Gulley Consulting, Volunteers of America Texas, Client Driven Technical Solutions, Jones 2000 and beyond, Regional Black Contractors Association, and Cedar Valley College. All these organizations either help with job readiness or job placement.

Mr. Gulley went on to discuss the requirements for participants of the program. Participant requirements for the program include 18 years of age or older and be a US citizen. Other program requirements include must be a non-violent, ex-offender who has been or will be released from Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) and return to the Dallas Area. Additionally, if released from prison, it must have been within three years.

Christina Crain of Unlocking doors asked to meet with Mr. Gulley so she could place them within the Unlocking Doors network.

Commissioner Dr. Garcia asked what would be their capacity and timeline. Mr. Gulley stated that their service goal is 50 and their time line started in August 2016 and goes to August 2017. Currently they have 13 clients but have enrolled 23; some are going through the eligibility process with the state.

#### **Caruth Smart Justice Implementation Plan by John Petrilla:**

Commissioner Dr. Garcia introduced John Petrilla, and gave a brief biography. Mr. Petrilla stated they are working on getting everything in line with their partners and it is going well. They have an agreement to be able to transfer funding to their partners and have a potential start date of January 1, 2017. Caruth is funding The Meadows Foundation up to \$7 million over three years and in year one will be funding \$3.5 million. In years two and three funding will be up to \$1.75 million for each year. Mr. Petrilla also stated that they had applied to the Arnold foundation for a grant to evaluate the Caruth Implementation plan in the amount of \$1 million.

Mr. Petrilla further stated that the program was based on the Sequential Intercept Model which is used in several communities to divert people away from the Criminal Justice System and hopefully impact recidivism. This project has two key differences; the first considers that most diversion projects nationally divert

people from the justice system into treatment, which often means emergency rooms. This program takes into account what goes on in both the justice system and emergency rooms. The second key difference emphasizes using data analytics to try and bring what health systems are doing to manage and stratify risk in their population.

Mr. Petrilla stated that there are three priority outcomes in the Caruth Implementation Plan. The first is to free up law enforcement so they can concentrate on public safety and not mental health. The second is improving jail screening and court supervision options by making these determinations faster and providing services from jail to the community. The third is to invest in community treatment and services and this in the long term could help reduce Dallas County's recidivism rates and improve the lives of those affected.

Mr. Petrilla discussed that there were several community partners which covered many areas which are helping make this program successful. This included leadership from clinical and political leaders which increases likelihood of success.

Mr. Petrilla began to give updates on how the Intercepts were progressing. He stated that BJ Wagner has been working with police and fire departments with Intercept 1 trying to get these programs set up. These included trying to divert 911 calls to the RIGHT Care team consisting of EMT, clinician, and police officer.

Regarding Intercept 2-4, Mr. Petrilla stated he is working with Tony Faebelo to accomplish these Intercepts. Primarily this would be identifying the people who enter the jail with mental illness, reduce the number of low risk individuals in custody, and reduce recidivism rates for persons with mental illness.

This part of the program hopes to integrate arrest data with clinical/treatment data. This would create a view of how people who use these services cross over into both categories. Mr. Petrilla stated that this intercept overlaps with Intercept 5 because it focuses on distinguishing people who are mentally ill and this mental illness contributes to their criminal behavior as opposed to people who have mental illness and are at risk of criminal recidivism. Mr. Petrilla stated that if at first contact they are matched appropriately with services this could bring down recidivism.

Ron Stretcher stated that the initial focus on the RIGHT Care teams is on the City of Dallas which is trying to target areas and shifts which are the highest. In Colorado this has resulted in a decrease to the number of transports to the hospital. Mr. Stretcher further stated that this has been piloted at the Bridge by having an EMT on site and has resulted in a huge decrease in transports to the hospital.

Mike Laughlin asked Mr. Petrilla to explain the difference between ACT and FACT. Mr. Petrilla stated that ACT or Assertive Community Treatment is about

taking an inter-disciplinary team who understands housing, outreach, and substance abuse and making them available 24 hours a day. FACT is an extension of this program that creates a criminal justice element to this program; people who are high criminal risk will go to FACT, people who are serious mental illness and use a lot of resources will go to ACT.

Commissioner Dr. Garcia thanked Dr. Petrilla for attending and stated that this is what CJAB has been doing by bringing everyone together to share solutions and problems.

### **Committee Project Updates:**

#### **Reentry:**

Dr. Crain stated that Unlocking Doors would like to work with both of the presenters. Dr. Crain stated that Unlocking Doors does a thorough assessment of the individuals entering their program and makes referrals to meet their needs. In the last few months a MOU has been written with Parkland for them to be their CBO for their programs. Unlocking Doors will be collecting the data and doing the analytics as well.

Unlocking Doors has added some new partners including GO Reentry services. This program expands by going to various states and putting up a store front that provides drug testing, ongoing services that aid probation or parole, and they complete their own assessments. They also manage several prisons throughout the state but this will be their first expansion of reentry services.

Unlocking Doors is also partnering with the Center for Employment Opportunities or CEO which is out of the state of New York for a pilot program. This program has locations in Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and California. CEO is also expanding to Texas and in the spring will work with Unlocking Doors on a transitional work program. This program partners with government entities and provides jobs in sanitation, road work, and construction. Unlocking Doors will provide the clients for this pilot which will be primarily in Dallas County.

This year's Reentry symposium is September 25, 2017.

Unlocking Doors is also working with Dallas Police Department and Caruth Police Institute. The goal of the collaboration is to reduce the number of people who use multiple resources such as hospitals, county jail, or detox.

Unlocking Doors is also working with Travis and Harris County because they are interested in the model particularly the database.

#### **Bail Bond:**

Jeff Segura gave the update which stated the Bond Forfeiture Judgment Report reflected judgment totals from January 2016 through November 2016. The total

judgments ordered by the courts for the aforementioned period was \$1,671,444.49 for 2170 cases. The Account 62 reports reflected total bond forfeiture collected by the felony court in November 2016 was \$94,685.00. For the same reporting period, the misdemeanor courts collected \$24,464.00.

**Fair Defense Committee:**

Lynn Richardson could not attend but Paul Blocker reported that Mrs. Richardson will be on Judge Faith Johnson's transition team.

**Jail Population Update:**

Etho Pugh reported on the Jail Population meeting held on September 16, 2016. Excerpts from that meeting can be found on pages 38 through 44 of the packet. Mr. Pugh stated that the jail population for this date is 5,197.

**Justice of the Peace:**

Judge Steve Seider reported that court management system is not up and running but "Mr. Victrum" from IT stated it should be running by August 2017. Judge Seider stated that they have been working with ADAPT, the Vet Center and the Department of Criminal Justice to try and get his program started to address mental health needs on the Justice of the Peace Court level. Some video equipment has made it out to his court and by next meeting there should be more to report. Judge Seider stated he is meeting with people in the Greater Dallas Apartment Association to help identify people before they get into the eviction process.

**Juvenile Justice:**

Dr. Terry Smith could not attend the meeting however Rudy Acosta spoke on her behalf. Mr. Acosta stated that Dr. Smith has been meeting with local law enforcement agency chiefs and school superintendents for data sharing elements. Dr. Smith also wanted to meet with them to see if they had first offender programs and could provide assistance with that as well. Mr. Acosta stated they are 18 months into their youthful offender court and have served 36 youths. At this time not one of these youths has come back to the system.

On the legislative side they have been in support of legislation to move the minimum age higher and provide service through community providers to the 10-11 year olds.

**Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence:**

Chief Spivey reported they are still working on how to deal with their digital evidence in particular now that larger jurisdictions have got their body cameras; this has greatly increased the need for storage. Ellyce Lindberg stated that the DAs have been talking to vendors and law enforcement agencies to set up a meeting to make sure everyone understands what each agency needs. This has set up potential problems of private entities who would be vendors for digital storage having access to evidence and how would the DAs or police retrieve evidence if they changed vendors or had to renegotiate their contracts.

**Research:**

Dr. John Maskaly stated that he had nothing new to report however he said he has been working with various academics and as grant season comes around he is aware that some agencies may apply. Dr. Maskaly offered to be a grant evaluator if an agency needed one.

**Public Policy:**

Council Member Medrano could not attend however Commissioner Dr. Garcia reported that both the City of Dallas and Dallas County have already approved their legislative agendas. Dallas County's emphasizes on their agenda funding for jail programs, mental health, and opposing revenue caps. Commissioner Garcia also stated this was last call for anyone on the CJAB board to present anything they wanted Dallas County CJAB board to follow.

**Program Update:****SAMSHA Drug Court Expansion:**

Laura Edmonds could not attend however Jeff Segura gave an update; the yearly goal for SAMSHA is 36 and they have 13 referrals in the first three months.

**Public Comments:**

None

**Announcements:**

Ellyce Lindberg stated that Judge Faith Johnson will be sworn in at 11:00am January 2, 2017, and attorneys will be sworn in after that and all were invited.

The next CJAB meeting will be March 20, 2017.

**Adjournment:**

A motion was made to adjourn the meeting; it was seconded and approved at 4:00PM.

## **TENA T. CALLAHAN**

*302<sup>nd</sup> District Court  
George L. Allen Sr. Courts Bldg., Ste 455  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
Phone: (214) 653-6189  
Fax: (214) 653-6131  
Email: [tcallahan@dallascounty.org](mailto:tcallahan@dallascounty.org)*

### **EDUCATION**

1991 Juris Doctor, St. Mary's University, San Antonio, Texas  
1977 Bachelor of Fine Arts/Acting, University of Texas, Austin, Texas

### **LEGAL EMPLOYMENT**

2007 to present – District Judge, 302<sup>nd</sup> Family Court, Dallas County, Texas  
1992 to 2006 - Sole Practitioner, family law practice

### **MEMBERSHIPS**

The Council – Advisory Committee  
Dallas One-stop Optimized Reentry System (DOORS) – Board of Directors/Vice-Chairman  
Texas Bar Foundation Fellow  
Family Violence Prevention Council – 2007-2008  
State Bar of Texas  
    Child Abuse and Neglect Committee – 2002 thru 2005  
    Family Section Member  
Dallas Bar Association  
    Family Section Member 1999 – present  
    Board Member, Judicial-at-Large - 2009  
    Co-Vice Chair, Bar None Production Committee 2009  
    Co-Chair, Bench/Bar Conference 2009  
    Member, Judiciary Committee 2009  
Altrusa International Inc. Downtown Dallas – 2001 to present  
    Board Member 2005-2006; 2008-2009  
    President – 2011-2013

### **RECOGNITION and ACHIEVEMENTS**

Board Certified in Family law  
2013 – Louise Raggio Women's Legal Advocate Award  
2012 – Merrill Hartman Judicial Pro Bono Service Award  
1988 - Parent of the Year by the Big Brothers/Big Sisters Association of Dallas, Texas  
AV-rated by Martindale-Hubbell



## ***SPEAKER***

*"What Family Court Judges Want You To Know"*, National Business Institute, June 2007.

*"Professionalism Outside the Courtroom"*, Central Dallas Ministries, October 2007.

*"Annual Evening – Ethics Fest"*, Sponsored by the Dallas Bar Legal Ethics Committee, May 2008

*"The Child's Voice: Admissibility of Child Statements"*, Juvenile Law Seminar, October 2008.

*"What Family Court Judges Want You to Know"*, National Business Institute, November 2008

*"Professionalism in the Family Law Courts and Practice"*, sponsored by the CLE, Judiciary and Morris Harrell Professionalism Committees of the Dallas Bar Association, December 2008

*"Annual Evening – Ethics Fest"*, Sponsored by the Dallas Bar Legal Ethics Committee, May 2009

*"Nuts & Bolts of Family Law, Part II"*, Sponsored by Dallas Volunteer Attorney Project, September 2009

*"The Law, The Facts, The Trial"*, CPS Seminar - Juvenile Justice Committee, November 2009

*"What Family Court Judges Want You To Know"*, National Business Institute, June 2010.

*"Ad Litem & Amicus Court Appointments: Inside and Outside the Box Training"*, Family Drug Divert Court Presentation, W.W Caruth, Jr. Child Advocacy Clinic, July 2010.

*"State Court: Don't Go to Court Without These Tips"* – Dallas Bench/Bar Conference Sept. 2012.

*"Domestic Relations: How To Make Your Case in Family Court"*– Texas Lawyer, Nov. 2012.

*"Sex, Drugs & Surveillance; Drug Courts"* – State Bar of Texas CLE. Houston 2013

*"What Family Court Judges Want You To Know"*, National Business Institute, November 2013.

EthicsFest 2014, presented by the Legal Ethics Committee/Dallas Bar Association. May 2014.

"Jeff Coen Annual Nuts and Bolts CLE" - District Judges Panel. September 2014

*"Domestic Relations/How To Make Your Case In Court"* – Texas Lawyer. November 2014

*"Community Property Issues in Bankruptcy"* – State Bar Bankruptcy Section CLE. March 2015

"Jeff Coen Annual Nuts and Bolts CLE" - District Judges Panel. September 2016

*"Innovations: Breaking Barriers in Custody Litigation"*, Modern Family Issues in a Changing Landscape, February 2017.

## **Erika Williams Biography**

Erika Williams began serving her community at the Dallas County District Attorney's Office six years ago.

As the Advocate Supervisor, she supervises approximately 17 professionals who work within the Family Violence prosecution division, to provide resources, counseling and education to victims of Family Violence and their families.

With over 14 years of experience in working with survivors of trauma-related events, and as a Licensed Professional Counselor, Ms. Williams is well-versed in providing therapeutic care to those who've experienced incidents of child abuse, sex assaults and family violence. Further, Ms. Williams has significant experience in providing mental healthcare to adolescents who experience mental health issues.

Ms. Williams is active in many professional organizations, including Trauma Support Services of North Texas and a board member for The Leadership Academy of Creative Arts.

Ms. Williams holds both a bachelor's degree in Criminal Justice and master's degree in Counseling from Sam Houston State University in Huntsville, Texas. A native North Texan, Ms. Williams enjoys reading books of all genres and baking sweet treats for her friends and family to enjoy. As a classically trained pianist, Ms. Williams also enjoys participating in and attending activities centered around the performing arts.



# George Allen Protective Orders

# Who May Apply?

- Victims of physical abuse and/or facing imminent danger
- Spouse or ex-spouse
- Relative: by blood or marriage
- Biological parents of the same child
- Live-in boy/girlfriend
- Ex live-in boy/girlfriend
- Members of the same household
- Dating Relationship
- Stalking
- Victims of Sexual Assault

# Where Do You Go?

- FRANK CROWLEY COURTS BUILDING/ DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
- GEORGE ALLEN SR. COURTS BUILDING

# Reasons for Ineligibility

- Active custody or Divorce pending in Dallas County
- Potentially pending family violence offense or other pending cases in the DA's office
- And/or applicants who generally do not meet the statutory or internal filing qualifications

# The Problem for Applicants

- Pro Se Paperwork
- Finances
- Appearing before the judge
- Understanding the Protective Order
- Safety Planning
- Available Resources
- Navigation through the legal system

**Before**

**PROTECTIVE ORDER DIVISION**

Chief of Family Violence

Protective Order Atty V

Atty III

Atty I

ADV  
SUPVR

PO  
ADV

PO  
ADV


PO  
ADV

PO  
Secretary

PO  
Secretary

PO  
Secretary





# The Comparison

## FRANK CROWLEY

- Larger staff
- More cases
- Focus on FV and future FV
- PO Granted/Denied
- One court

## GEORGE ALLEN

- Smaller staff
- More involved in family dynamics
- Discovery
- Review previous court filings, final rulings
- Draft pleadings
- PO outcome-multiple options
- ADA has more contact with Respondent
- Knowledge of family court resources
- Cases are ongoing
- Seven courts
- Appeals to District Judges

# George Allen PO Unit

- Represents pro se applicants on protective orders
- Provides advocacy services
- Provides legal representation in court
- Able to include children on the protective order
- Collaborations with Legal Aid and DVAC

# Statistics

	2013	2014	2015
Family Violence and Sex Assault Offenses Reported to Police in Dallas County-TX Dept of Public Safety	23,524	23,406	21,407

- A large number of victims never make a police report
- Many of these victims will seek protective orders through the DA's Office
- A number of victims were ineligible to receive protective orders at Frank Crowley due to an open case in George Allen

# George Allen Measures

<b>Number of victims/survivors who received services</b>	<b>550</b>
<b>Number of protective orders filed</b>	<b>100</b>

# Benefits to Community

- Assisting victims with PO's in family court
- Include children
- Providing advocacy
- Safety Planning
- Resources
- Crisis Intervention
- Legal Services referrals
  
- KEEPING MORE VICTIMS SAFE!!!!

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Dallas County District Attorney's Office</b>  <b>Bond Forfeiture Unit</b>  <b>Statistical Reporting January Through December 2016</b></p>					
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
January 8, 2016	168	\$2,335.33	\$48,485.00	\$29,017.43	\$79,837.76
January 15, 2016	12	\$675.05	\$4,620.00		\$5,295.05
January 29, 2016	47	\$1,962.37	\$15,557.00	\$17,783.00	\$35,302.37
<b>January 2016 Total</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>\$4,972.75</b>	<b>\$68,662.00</b>	<b>\$46,800.43</b>	<b>\$ 120,435.18</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
February 8, 2016	104	\$1,732.47	\$30,640.00	\$40,973.30	\$73,345.77
February 15, 2016	16	\$1,442.57	\$6,160.00	\$10,350.00	\$17,952.57
February 22, 2016	18	\$1,044.99	\$6,970.00	\$32,000.00	\$40,014.99
February 29, 2016	17	\$1,035.38	\$6,595.00	\$1,500.00	\$9,130.38
<b>February Total</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>\$5,255.41</b>	<b>\$50,365.00</b>	<b>\$84,823.30</b>	<b>\$ 140,443.71</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
March 7, 2016	15	\$1,438.10	\$5,815.00	\$42,500.00	\$49,753.10
March 14, 2016	17	\$1,757.49	\$6,685.00	\$10,500.00	\$18,942.49
March 21, 2016	124	\$1,356.40	\$34,135.00	\$54,181.00	\$89,672.40
March 28, 2016	12	\$617.71	\$4,740.00	\$0.00	\$5,357.71
<b>March Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>\$5,169.70</b>	<b>\$51,375.00</b>	<b>\$107,181.00</b>	<b>\$163,725.70</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
April 4, 2016	16	\$2,078.84	\$6,220.00	\$32,000.00	\$40,298.84
April 11, 2016	18	\$491.51	\$6,665.00	\$12,000.00	\$19,156.51
April 18, 2016	134	\$2,000.64	\$40,991.00	\$35,186.00	\$78,177.64
April 25, 2016	14	\$205.02	\$5,360.00	\$2,870.00	\$8,435.02
<b>April Total</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>\$4,776.01</b>	<b>\$59,236.00</b>	<b>\$82,056.00</b>	<b>\$146,068.01</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
May 2, 2016	19	\$810.01	\$7,485.00	\$1,500.00	\$9,795.01
May 9, 2016	15	\$781.93	\$5,925.00	\$0.00	\$6,706.93
May 16, 2016	157	\$2,780.22	\$44,983.00	\$23,489.00	\$71,252.22
May 23, 2016	14	\$4,171.20	\$5,210.00	\$15,121.20	\$24,502.40
May 31, 2016	15	\$890.83	\$5,520.00		\$6,410.83
<b>May Total</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>\$9,434.19</b>	<b>\$69,123.00</b>	<b>\$40,110.20</b>	<b>\$118,667.39</b>

For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
June 13, 2016	131	\$1,317.79	\$36,387.00	\$29,362.00	\$67,066.79
June 20, 2016	59	\$615.00	\$15,740.00	\$16,944.00	\$33,299.00
June 27, 2016	19	\$2,028.25	\$7,455.00	\$28,000.00	\$37,483.25
<b>June Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>\$3,961.04</b>	<b>\$59,582.00</b>	<b>\$74,306.00</b>	<b>\$137,849.04</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
July 5, 2016	12	\$205.67	\$4,740.00	\$9,000.00	\$13,945.67
July 11, 2016	134	\$1,587.83	\$42,119.00	\$39,363.00	\$83,069.83
July 18, 2016	23	\$1,758.44	\$9,085.00	\$8,000.00	\$18,843.44
July 25, 2016	22	\$1,761.26	\$8,690.00	\$15,000.00	\$25,451.26
<b>July Total</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>\$5,313.20</b>	<b>\$64,634.00</b>	<b>\$71,363.00</b>	<b>\$141,310.20</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
August 1, 2016	24	\$884.34	\$9,400.00	\$4,185.00	\$14,469.34
August 8, 2016	106	\$1,610.19	\$30,384.00	\$82,798.00	\$114,792.19
August 15, 2016	79	\$1,579.08	\$24,382.00	\$71,827.00	\$97,788.08
August 22, 2016	19	\$1,487.84	\$7,505.00	\$0.00	\$8,992.84
August 29, 2016	9	\$1,015.05	\$3,555.00	\$5,000.00	\$9,570.05
<b>August Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>\$6,576.50</b>	<b>\$75,226.00</b>	<b>\$163,810.00</b>	<b>\$245,612.50</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
September 6, 2016	9	\$351.71	\$3,475.00	\$11,685.00	\$15,511.71
September 6, 2017	115	\$1,869.08	\$34,198.00	\$19,802.00	\$55,869.08
September 19, 2016	52	\$1,184.71	\$15,635.00	\$6,055.00	\$22,874.71
September 26, 2016	12	\$280.57	\$4,420.00	\$3,740.00	\$8,440.57
<b>September Total</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>\$3,686.07</b>	<b>\$57,728.00</b>	<b>\$41,282.00</b>	<b>\$102,696.07</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
October 3, 2016	19	\$852.50	\$7,495.00	\$95,500.00	\$103,847.50
October 10, 2016	25	\$3,252.76	\$9,855.00	\$0.00	\$13,107.76
October 24, 2016	122	\$2,967.22	\$35,660.00	\$32,148.00	\$70,775.22
October 30, 2016	13	\$608.56	\$5,135.00	\$0.00	\$5,743.56
<b>October Total</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>\$7,681.04</b>	<b>\$58,145.00</b>	<b>\$127,648.00</b>	<b>\$193,474.04</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
November 7, 2016	13	\$194.75	\$5,135.00	\$3,000.00	\$8,329.75
November 14, 2016	93	\$1,892.04	\$28,985.00	\$24,162.00	\$55,039.04
November 21, 2016	81	\$2,106.80	\$60,417.00	\$22,727.00	\$85,250.80



November 28, 2016	27	\$1,888.06	\$10,655.00	\$0.00	\$12,543.06
<b>November Total</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>\$6,081.65</b>	<b>\$105,192.00</b>	<b>\$49,889.00</b>	<b>\$161,162.65</b>
For the Week Ended	Number OF Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
December 5, 2016	20	\$1,686.95	\$7,890.00	\$1,000.00	\$10,576.95
December 12, 2016	111	\$7,547.85	\$36,244.00	\$29,520.00	\$73,311.85
December 19, 2017	88	\$1,858.88	\$27,577.00	\$45,049.00	\$74,484.88
<b>December Total</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>\$11,093.68</b>	<b>\$71,711.00</b>	<b>\$75,569.00</b>	<b>\$158,373.68</b>
<b>Grand Total for Period</b>	<b>2389</b>	<b>\$74,001.24</b>	<b>\$790,979.00</b>	<b>\$964,837.93</b>	<b>\$1,829,818.17</b>

<b>Dallas County District Attorney's Office</b> <b>Bond Forfeiture Unit</b> <b>Statistical Reporting for January through February 2017</b>					
Week Ended	of Cases				
January 3, 2017	6	\$139.94	\$2,370.00	\$3,500.00	\$6,009.94
January 9, 2017	161	\$7,475.02	\$51,424.00	\$94,471.00	\$153,370.02
January 17, 2017	16	\$2,231.39	\$6,080.00	\$185.00	\$8,496.39
January 23, 2017	22	\$6,172.61	\$8,690.00	\$41,500.00	\$56,362.61
January 21, 2017	20	\$1,654.11	\$7,900.00	\$18,500.00	\$28,054.11
<b>Janaury Total</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>\$17,673.07</b>	<b>\$76,464.00</b>	<b>\$158,156.00</b>	<b>\$252,293.07</b>
For the Week Ended	Number of Cases	Interest	Court Costs	Judgment	Judgment Total
February 6, 2017	25	\$370.56	\$9,875.00	\$32,210.00	\$42,455.56
February 13, 2017	119	\$1,810.15	\$37,950.00	\$28,807.00	\$68,567.15
February 20, 2017	19	\$470.46	\$7,505.00	\$5,000.00	\$12,975.46
February 27, 2017	13	\$1,809.89	\$5,135.00	\$4,000.00	\$10,944.89
<b>February Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$4,461.06</b>	<b>\$60,465.00</b>	<b>\$70,017.00</b>	<b>\$134,943.06</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>\$22,134.13</b>	<b>\$136,929.00</b>	<b>\$228,173.00</b>	<b>\$387,236.13</b>

New Felony Figures a/o - 03/01/17

	2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013	
	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount
January	11	\$49,238.00	21	\$82,550.00	3	\$8,000.00	15	\$36,841.00	5	\$7,500.00	2	\$11,500.00	20	\$69,048.00
February	12	\$24,000.00	13	\$43,350.00	8	\$15,250.00	6	\$12,000.00	2	\$30,000.00	2	\$6,500.00	4	\$15,500.00
March	31	\$55,184.00	16	\$28,150.00	4	\$8,000.00	7	\$10,800.00	2	\$5,000.00	2	\$3,000.00	8	\$112,722.00
April	19	\$64,647.00	4	\$10,500.00	7	\$12,300.00	9	\$21,800.00	2	\$3,900.00	8	\$38,500.00	12	\$26,298.00
May	33	\$100,010.00	19	\$39,700.00	9	\$36,800.00	2	\$3,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	4	\$20,387.00	14	\$16,601.00
June	16	\$59,678.00	10	\$17,800.00	5	\$10,800.00	1	\$1,500.00	12	\$39,759.00	12	\$28,356.00	15	\$62,796.00
July	22	\$39,700.00	15	\$38,100.00	3	\$4,000.00	6	\$8,800.00	4	\$7,000.00	3	\$10,000.00	15	\$58,666.00
August	3	\$9,000.00	14	\$28,600.00	19	\$42,900.00	4	\$7,000.00	6	\$382,340.00	13	\$43,031.00	5	\$12,000.00
September	4	\$75,000.00	8	\$19,700.00	15	\$30,600.00	4	\$10,955.00	1	\$50,000.00	8	\$39,500.00	6	\$42,548.00
October	8	\$19,250.00	9	\$24,750.00	9	\$43,500.00	3	\$8,000.00	43	\$58,769.00	12	\$58,887.00	5	\$33,500.00
November	50	\$106,153.00	4	\$11,000.00	8	\$11,650.00	3	\$14,000.00	11	\$9,861.00	15	\$50,596.00	11	\$32,094.00
December	26	\$80,000.00	9	\$15,100.00	5	\$14,000.00	8	\$12,457.00	1	\$10,000.00	7	\$40,002.00	5	\$7,000.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>\$681,860.00</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>\$359,300.00</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>\$237,800.00</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>\$147,153.00</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>\$605,629.00</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>\$350,259.00</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>\$488,773.00</b>

2014		2015		2016		2017		Grand Total	
Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount
11	\$88,791.00	11	\$16,188.00	28	\$24,035.00	28	\$120,000.00	155	\$513,691.00
9	\$43,960.00	6	\$25,650.00	16	\$42,890.40	14	\$68,393.22	92	\$327,493.62
12	\$15,763.00	4	\$3,610.00	9	\$50,230.00			95	\$292,459.00
5	\$203,250.00	12	\$14,325.00	12	\$10,740.00			90	\$406,260.00
7	\$116,250.00	4	\$3,695.00	11	\$7,610.00			104	\$345,553.00
7	\$16,391.00	14	\$67,377.00	9	\$25,925.00			101	\$330,382.00
11	\$49,482.00	18	\$16,230.00	10	\$54,000.00			107	\$285,978.00
8	\$8,935.00	150	\$369,625.00	5	\$66,695.00			227	\$970,126.00
15	\$13,158.00	15	\$37,500.00	11	\$13,795.00			87	\$332,756.00
3	\$25,797.00	3	\$5,500.00	8	\$11,295.00			103	\$289,248.00
8	\$31,969.00	5	\$27,500.00	6	\$94,685.00			121	\$389,508.00
5	\$8,000.00	12	\$33,654.00	8	\$31,370.00			86	\$251,583.00
<b>101</b>	<b>\$621,746.00</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>\$620,854.00</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>\$433,270.40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>\$188,393.22</b>	<b>1368</b>	<b>\$4,735,037.62</b>

New Misdemeanor Figures a/o - 03/01/17

	2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013	
	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount
January	177	\$86,750.00	243	\$140,727.00	93	\$52,485.00	153	\$73,825.00	39	\$24,500.00	85	\$50,570.00	54	\$28,527.00
February	173	\$85,400.00	371	\$184,420.00	156	\$82,570.00	76	\$42,810.00	100	\$52,815.00	29	\$24,000.00	81	\$40,929.00
March	41	\$22,700.00	103	\$54,090.00	96	\$58,100.00	92	\$48,900.00	55	\$35,250.00	91	\$53,460.00	81	\$64,123.00
April	404	\$221,300.00	215	\$129,700.00	123	\$67,595.00	39	\$28,750.00	94	\$61,660.00	66	\$29,215.00	66	\$47,400.00
May	371	\$208,815.00	153	\$81,850.00	174	\$94,645.00	69	\$46,990.00	44	\$30,380.00	44	\$40,750.00	58	\$35,687.00
June	131	\$74,070.00	315	\$185,570.00	190	\$98,355.00	89	\$56,185.00	57	\$41,080.00	48	\$39,680.00	58	\$27,069.00
July	214	\$131,725.00	133	\$87,985.00	98	\$59,735.00	58	\$43,585.00	112	\$67,105.00	64	\$43,898.00	27	\$31,500.00
August	193	\$113,185.00	190	\$112,425.00	90	\$58,560.00	35	\$28,750.00	77	\$53,265.00	99	\$52,345.00	50	\$23,245.00
September	361	\$194,860.00	177	\$104,720.00	151	\$83,500.00	83	\$45,490.00	59	\$32,560.00	67	\$53,662.00	60	\$45,440.00
October	241	\$128,265.00	120	\$65,765.00	98	\$59,155.00	59	\$30,935.00	77	\$45,600.00	90	\$42,814.00	49	\$28,628.00
November	146	\$89,980.00	180	\$98,788.00	147	\$83,445.00	70	\$41,050.00	124	\$62,435.00	81	\$42,318.00	53	\$32,743.00
December	123	\$75,140.00	208	\$116,805.00	115	\$70,300.00	77	\$44,175.00	74	\$59,030.00	55	\$44,171.00	32	\$28,250.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>2575</b>	<b>\$1,432,190.00</b>	<b>2408</b>	<b>\$1,362,845.00</b>	<b>1531</b>	<b>\$868,445.00</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>\$531,445.00</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>\$565,680.00</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>\$516,883.00</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>\$433,541.00</b>

2014		2015		2016		2017		Grand Total	
Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount	Count	Amount
64	\$38,927.00	37	\$28,254.00	48	\$39,378.00	276	\$144,160.00	1269	\$708,103.00
65	\$42,407.00	66	\$50,938.00	50	\$52,000.00	58	\$48,271.00	1225	\$706,560.00
62	\$36,552.00	44	\$22,871.00	25	\$20,970.00			690	\$417,016.00
23	\$18,699.00	28	\$25,855.00	31	\$20,879.00			1089	\$651,053.00
15	\$13,500.00	31	\$24,770.00	34	\$33,775.00			993	\$611,162.00
42	\$25,640.00	44	\$25,664.00	41	\$25,379.00			1015	\$598,692.00
104	\$70,414.00	44	\$37,356.00	19	\$12,794.00			873	\$586,097.00
53	\$46,184.00	1850	\$1,206,600.00	15	\$18,000.00			2652	\$1,712,559.00
37	\$24,929.00	105	\$97,302.00	59	\$46,049.00			1159	\$728,512.00
39	\$30,975.00	35	\$27,975.00	16	\$12,199.00			824	\$472,311.00
20	\$12,644.00	37	\$28,667.00	40	\$24,464.00			898	\$516,534.00
52	\$39,164.00	40	\$25,807.00	56	\$63,000.00			832	\$565,842.00
<b>576</b>	<b>\$400,035.00</b>	<b>2361</b>	<b>\$1,602,059.00</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>\$368,887.00</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>\$192,431.00</b>	<b>13519</b>	<b>\$8,274,441.00</b>

		2/1	2/2	2/3	2/4	2/5	2/6	2/7	2/8	2/9	2/10	2/11	2/12	2/13	2/14	2/15	2/16	2/17	2/18	2/19	2/20	2/21	2/22	2/23	2/24	2/25	2/26	2/27	2/28	Avg
A	Felony not filed	339	326	345	318	356	371	369	372	399	418	393	422	446	423	417	426	402	372	384	411	382	368	381	385	408	367	421	394	386
B	Felony pend. Grand Jury	630	615	602	627	618	622	619	630	611	589	597	597	596	626	636	639	662	659	657	657	654	654	663	636	631	633	629	645	630
C	Felony not incl. SJF	1,866	1871	1846	1828	1830	1832	1831	1825	1814	1814	1803	1805	1804	1804	1796	1779	1766	1773	1773	1774	1781	1779	1759	1753	1735	1736	1736	1735	1795
D	SJF pend dispo	297	291	304	300	303	302	299	301	301	310	307	309	309	296	294	283	284	289	289	290	297	296	286	289	299	297	299	292	297
E	PV-Felony	251	256	237	225	232	236	248	250	245	244	229	237	245	252	254	254	248	246	250	255	242	223	223	229	235	227	244	242	241
F	TDC over 10y/appeal	312	295	311	328	328	292	287	298	308	319	338	338	298	308	318	318	338	355	355	355	366	385	317	333	355	355	355	365	330
G	Bench Warrants	34	34	35	38	38	38	38	37	39	40	37	37	36	36	33	29	32	33	33	32	33	33	30	28	28	28	28	30	34
H	TDC<10yr/appeal	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	6
I	Sentd. SJF	91	92	98	103	103	103	98	103	109	93	101	101	101	97	81	88	89	96	96	96	96	80	86	79	82	82	82	84	93
J	SJF on appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K	SJF serv in co jail	76	83	84	87	79	75	76	83	86	91	91	87	81	81	87	82	87	84	81	81	84	86	87	91	91	93	88	90	85
L	Misd. not filed	182	178	185	179	200	226	214	200	208	206	174	195	217	188	174	169	180	171	193	219	196	191	175	158	179	155	194	155	188
M	Misd. filed pend.	224	219	221	218	223	225	240	222	210	217	215	211	216	242	225	218	191	208	204	209	212	185	185	209	197	201	197	215	213
N	Misd-PV	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O	Serv in jail (Cond of Prob)	50	51	56	56	50	46	52	54	56	62	67	60	57	55	56	55	61	59	51	47	52	54	50	57	60	64	57	63	56
P	Serving Co time & fines	97	96	105	104	99	97	96	92	95	99	97	89	85	91	90	87	89	90	84	81	82	81	86	83	83	95	78	83	91
Q	Serv fines/CT cost only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Out of county/state	72	77	71	80	85	94	72	64	67	68	66	74	79	64	71	81	64	65	68	73	71	78	87	79	86	78	89	65	75
S	Parole Violations	275	273	260	265	271	272	274	273	283	251	255	259	266	271	274	269	260	256	260	266	274	278	279	262	267	262	272	281	268
T	SAFPF	231	219	222	228	228	224	223	200	176	187	191	191	190	190	190	189	200	202	202	202	205	200	195	197	208	208	208	204	204
U	Special Programs	161	170	168	170	170	162	159	148	144	147	156	156	141	137	130	130	139	144	144	136	122	127	131	138	146	146	141	125	146
V	Other- Incompetent	67	65	64	64	64	61	65	66	67	68	69	69	68	67	68	70	70	72	72	72	69	70	72	71	73	73	70	71	68
W	US Marshall holds	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	20	20	20	18	18	16	17	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	17	17	17	16	18
X	Contempt-in Jail	13	10	11	11	11	11	10	11	11	10	11	10	11	9	8	6	8	9	9	9	11	10	9	9	12	11	12	14	10
Y	Contempt-Furlough	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z	PEACE Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AA	TYC hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BB	Immigration hold	3	9	8	4	1	2	7	9	11	4	6	0	0	4	6	8	9	7	0	0	7	7	8	2	0	6	0	4	5
CC	Class C Misd. only	31	26	36	36	19	19	33	33	26	34	33	19	22	30	33	38	28	25	14	26	38	32	24	45	13	42	23	25	29
DD	Contract inmates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EE	US Military hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ZZ	Default	56	88	64	56	54	48	49	82	62	44	55	56	48	32	58	53	37	48	42	29	34	50	51	33	34	56	47	46	50
	With Furlough added	5384	5370	5359	5351	5389	5383	5384	5378	5353	5340	5317	5348	5341	5328	5323	5292	5266	5285	5283	5342	5330	5289	5206	5188	5246	5239	5294	5251	5316.39
	Jail Population-Actual	5384	5370	5359	5351	5388	5383	5384	5378	5353	5340	5317	5348	5341	5327	5323	5292	5266	5285	5283	5342	5330	5289	5206	5188	5246	5239	5294	5251	5316
INTAKES		216	245	212	162	148	234	227	214	247	175	140	167	216	188	212	211	199	120	163	176	196	217	216	236	161	124	183	236	194
RELEASES		252	240	232	154	115	216	258	222	219	272	127	102	235	231	219	234	221	127	99	142	242	236	259	264	136	102	163	244	199
VARIANCE		-36	5	-20	8	33	18	-31	-8	28	-97	13	65	-19	-43	-7	-23	-22	-7	64	34	-46	-19	-43	-28	25	22	20	-8	-4.357
</																														

**DEWR BUCKET MONTHLY AVERAGES**

	Feb 15	Mar 15	Apr 15	May 15	Jun 15	Jul 15	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Dec 16	Jan 17	Feb 17	Avg
Felony not filed	270	270	302	281	284	333	348	329	306	301	334	335	314	292	358	357	361	321	345	371	338	308	305	363	386	316
Felony pend GJ	579	555	601	576	603	622	575	556	595	579	551	669	576	508	550	592	549	610	589	632	671	564	516	583	630	609
Fel.pend excl.SJF	2388	2368	2263	2233	2146	2092	2146	2151	2079	2024	2000	2005	2005	1965	1828	1816	1903	1863	1847	1812	1806	1872	1884	1854	1795	2,089
State Jail Fel only	497	453	436	470	427	425	430	407	396	375	357	390	394	376	376	376	351	318	343	337	374	392	363	329	297	411
PV-Felony	282	311	302	299	268	274	280	276	249	234	230	264	269	251	255	267	257	237	243	270	269	242	240	243	241	278
TDC over 10yrs	483	515	527	475	469	483	474	468	507	446	397	337	468	501	420	372	356	310	288	309	314	286	288	244	330	412
Bench Warrants	43	41	51	49	46	57	47	43	44	45	43	43	42	43	42	41	45	43	33	36	48	43	36	38	34	45
TDC <10y/appeal	46	42	40	40	41	39	40	39	36	29	23	20	27	43	22	20	17	17	15	11	9	9	9	6	6	30
Sentenced SJF	129	97	92	94	106	118	104	86	88	115	136	111	103	106	83	90	88	75	61	62	68	74	77	80	93	100
Sentd SJF/appeal	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SJF-Serv Co Jail	73	66	84	77	71	87	84	71	81	79	62	59	80	71	64	65	74	66	64	69	67	60	68	64	85	68
Misdmmr not filed	180	172	199	182	186	205	185	187	180	168	183	189	181	179	214	230	209	179	192	191	167	183	159	188	188	179
Misdmmr filed-pend	192	194	194	206	201	191	186	169	158	152	156	180	157	155	188	215	214	186	206	240	208	209	201	217	213	199
PV-Misdmmr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Serv as Con of Prob.	73	68	73	72	77	73	74	72	64	64	55	49	58	61	62	60	75	69	64	61	61	63	60	52	56	65
Serv Co time/ fines	63	47	49	47	47	52	52	49	49	54	47	54	46	46	66	62	72	70	79	79	66	62	57	63	91	61
Serv fines/ fees only	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Out of Co/State	60	57	64	65	66	63	59	63	57	60	62	62	61	59	64	61	73	71	78	73	74	65	75	67	75	64
Parole Vio.	183	184	183	178	181	236	234	216	199	186	181	182	204	191	194	204	230	217	234	251	222	250	256	254	268	216
SAFPF	123	94	105	118	111	131	116	143	143	153	131	128	138	151	133	147	185	219	210	196	212	241	252	249	204	152
Sp.Pgrms	108	101	96	106	98	109	101	111	115	119	102	106	106	118	138	152	173	188	181	189	220	214	192	165	146	136
Incompetent	56	76	86	85	78	63	56	59	65	71	78	87	91	110	115	97	86	86	76	72	76	88	83	72	68	71
US Marshal	6	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	9	24	26	29	26	24	26	27	23	23	21	20	19	19	18	20
Cntmpt-in Jail	39	29	26	25	25	24	22	18	20	14	11	11	13	19	17	10	14	16	20	19	17	16	10	11	10	24
Furlough	347	329	307	306	306	305	304	304	303	302	302	302	301	301	301	300	300	299	299	299	295	4	0	0	0	279
PEACE Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TYC hold	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Immigration hold	6	7	7	9	8	8	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	6	5	6	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	6
Class C only	25	24	34	33	32	34	32	24	25	25	23	25	43	30	28	24	26	25	26	27	25	22	21	25	29	26
Contract	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Default	48	48	50	41	43	49	46	46	45	40	38	48	44	50	57	52	49	37	41	42	41	44	39	45	50	44
Furlough added	6303	6153	6175	6074	5924	6078	6002	5893	5811	5644	5511	5663	5793	5638	5607	5641	5738	5552	5545	5673	5674	5339	5217	5234	5316	5,901
Jail Population Actual	5956	5824	5868	5768	5618	5772	5727	5590	5509	5342	5210	5382	5492	5337	5306	5341	5438	5352	5246	5374	5380	5335	5217	5234	5316	5,626
INTAKES	184	193	212	153	195	205	197	190	184	168	168	138	210	187	201	194	197	158	190	184	168	170	155	180	194	186
RELEASES	194	192	212	97	194	207	196	193	190	173	173	107	210	197	195	190	202	166	182	184	175	173	163	171	199	185
VARIANCE	-16	1	0	56	1	-2	1	3	6	5	5	31	1	10	6	5	-4.7	-8	-7.45	0	8	-3	6	9	-4	3

BUCKET NAMES	FEB 2016 vs 2017	FEB 16	FEB 17	DEC 16	JAN 17	FEB 17	JAN vs FEB
Variance							
<b>SPECIAL FOCUS</b>							
Fel.pend excl.SJF	-210	2005	1795	1884	1854	1795	-59
State Jail Felony	-97	394	297	363	329	297	-32
PV-Felony	-28	269	241	240	243	241	-2
Felony pend Grand Jury	54	576	630	516	583	630	47
Special Programs	40	106	146	192	165	146	-19
<b>TRENDING UP</b>							
Felony Not Filed	72	314	386	305	363	386	23
Parole Violator only	64	204	268	256	254	268	14
SAFPF	66	138	204	252	249	204	-45
Misdemeanors pending	56	157	213	201	217	213	-4
Serving County Time	45	46	91	57	63	91	28
Out of Co/State	14	61	75	75	67	75	8
<b>TRENDING DOWN</b>							
Incompetent	-23	91	68	83	72	68	-4
TDC<10yr/appeal	-21	27	6	9	6	6	0
Class C Misd. only	-14	43	29	21	25	29	4
Sentenced to SJF	-10	103	93	77	80	93	13
Bench Warrants	-8	42	34	36	38	34	-4
US Marshal	-8	26	18	19	19	18	-1
Contempt in Jail	-3	13	10	10	11	10	-1
Serv as Cond of Prob.	-2	58	56	60	52	56	4
<b>STABLE</b>							
SJF-Serv Co.Jail (12.44a)	5	80	85	68	64	85	21
Immigration	3	2	5	4	4	5	1
TYC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Jail Population Avg.</b>	<b>-176</b>	<b>5492</b>	<b>5316</b>	<b>5217</b>	<b>5234</b>	<b>5316</b>	<b>82</b>

### Jail Competency Stats 2017

	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Totals
<b>Active</b>													
<b>New Evals - Incompetent</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48</b>											<b>89</b>
<b>Waiting for the hospital - End of month</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>51</b>											119
Less than 30 days	31	13											44
30 to 60 days	7	7											14
Greater than 60 days	30	31											61
<b>Returned to jail from the hospital</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>23</b>											<b>53</b>
Felony	26	19											<b>45</b>
Average length of stay (days)	157	97											127
Misdemeanor	4	4											8
Average length of stay (days)	65	65											65
<b>Closed</b>													
<b>New Evals - Competent</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>											<b>54</b>
<b>Admitted to the state hospital</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>											71
Maximum Secure Facility	8	4											12
Average wait (days)	224	147											186
Non-Maximum Secure Facility	27	32											59
Average wait (days)	37	12											25
<b>Hospital Return Legal Case Resolved</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>											<b>29</b>
Felony	10	8											<b>18</b>
Average length of stay (days)	32	53											43
Misdemeanor	7	4											11
Average length of stay (days)	19	34											27
<b>Case dismissed at the hospital</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>											<b>18</b>
Felony	0	1											<b>1</b>
Misdemeanor	6	11											<b>17</b>

\* Average wait for Maximum Secure Facility is calculated for males waiting. The wait for a female bed averages between 20 to 30 days



**ASP/BOND ELM**  
**Jail Bed Cost Savings Addendum**

Time Period	Cost per Day	Days	Total Cost Saved
9/09	\$55.60	393	\$ 21,850.80
10/09 to 9/10	\$48.49	7,589	\$ 367,990.61
10/10 to 09/11	\$57.49	16,277	\$ 934,212.50
10/11 to 09/12	\$53.13	23,536	\$ 1,250,467.68
10/12 to 09/13	\$56.29	30,368	\$ 1,709,414.72
10/13 to 9/14	\$62.46	41,130	\$ 2,568,979.80
10/14 to 9/15	\$63.11	40,706	\$ 2,568,955.66
10/15 to 9/16	\$69.38	40,517	\$ 2,811,069.46
10/16 to 2/17	\$71.08	17,991	\$ 1,278,800.28
		<b>Total Days:</b> 218,507	\$13,497,875.51

Time Period	ASP	Bond	Total clients served during month	Total jail bed days saved	County pay clients	Clients who paid something	Clients that didn't pay (not county paid)	Fees collected by Sentinel
10/15	43	115	158	3,613	37	103	18	\$22,207.40
11/15	35	113	148	3,407	25	108	15	\$29,962.00
12/15	25	121	146	3,594	31	98	17	\$30,779.10
1/16	26	120	146	3,491	30	103	13	\$28,830.58
2/16	24	122	146	3,272	27	104	15	\$26,118.00
3/16	26	118	144	3,308	29	103	12	\$27,815.50
4/16	23	112	135	3,125	24	99	12	\$23,607.55
5/16	24	118	142	3,277	25	103	14	\$24,861.00
6/16	21	124	145	3,029	29	93	23	\$21,912.25
7/16	14	111	125	3,221	30	86	9	\$18,764.50
8/16	14	129	143	3,512	39	90	14	\$23,364.04
9/16	19	123	142	3,668	30	96	16	\$24,272.65
10/16	18	119	137	3,639	26	91	20	\$21,270.58
11/16	11	131	142	3,459	27	86	29	\$18,652.07
12/16	10	124	134	3,626	13	89	32	\$19,793.99
1/17	21	136	157	3,755	26	94	37	\$21,673.05
2/17	30	131	161	3,512	28	96	37	\$21,789.00

**Dallas County Department of Criminal Justice/Jail Diversion**  
**Alternative Sentencing Program (ASP) and Bond/Electronic Monitoring Program**  
**Statistical Summary Report**  
**FEBRUARY 2017**

**CASELOAD INFORMATION**

	<b>2/17 ASP</b>	<b>2/17 Bond</b>	<b>2/17 Ch. Sup</b>	<b>2/17 TOTAL</b>	<b>ASP TOTAL</b>	<b>Bond TOTAL</b>	<b>Ch. Sup TOTAL</b>	<b>Total 9-01-09 - 2-28-17</b>
Beginning Client Count	14	105	0	119	3	3	9(5/13)	15
Total Clients That Started The Program	16	26	0	42	1,688	1,333	89	3,110
Total Cases Closed	16	17	0	33	1,677	1,222	98	2,997
Closed Successfully	16	12	0	28	1,643	788	74	2,505
Closed Unsuccessfully	0	5	0	5	34	434	24	492
Total Clients at End of Month	14	114	0	128				

**PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION FOR CLOSED CASES**

	<b>ASP</b>	<b>Bond</b>	<b>Ch. Sup</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ASP</b>	<b>Bond</b>	<b>Ch. Sup</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Full House Arrest	1	1	0	2	204	108	14	326
House Arrest w/work/school release	15	0	0	15	1,421	0	1	1,422
GPS w/work/school release	0	16	0	16	52	1,114	83	1,249
MEMS-Alcohol Monitor	10	1	0	11	656	67	0	723

**CASELOAD NON-COMPLIANCE INFORMATION**

	<b>ASP</b>	<b>Bond</b>	<b>Ch. Sup</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ASP</b>	<b>Bond</b>	<b>Ch. Sup</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Violation Reports Submitted	0	20	0	20	83	1,589	46	1,718
Unsuccessful Removal from Program	0	5	0	5	34	434	24	492
Failed to Start Program/Warrant Issued	0	0	0	0	12	17	1	30
Interviewed but Rejected for Program	0	0	0	0	3	33	2	38
New Offenses Committed while in Program	0	0	0	0	4	29	5	38

**CASELOAD ACTIVITIES**

	<b>2/17</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Orientation Interviews Conducted	42	3,266
Computer Checks for Warrants & New Offenses	307	6,770
Telephone Contacts with Clients	619	46,388
Telephone Contacts with Non Clients	81	12,615
In Person Contacts with Clients-Office & Field	515	31,550
In Person Contacts with Non Clients-Office and Field	139	5,359

**DALLAS COUNTY FUNDS SAVED**

	<b>2/17</b>	<b>Total 9-01-09 - 2-28-17</b>
ELM Days Served/Jail Bed Days Saved	3,512	218,507
Cost of Jail Bed Per Day	\$ 71.08	SEE ADDENDUM
<b>TOTAL JAIL BED EXPENSES SAVED</b>	<b>\$249,632.96</b>	<b>\$ 13,497,875.51</b>

# PRETRIAL RELEASE SERVICES MONTHLY REPORT

	MAR 16	APR 16	MAY 16	JUN 16	JUL 16	AUG 16	SEPT 16	OCT 16	NOV 16	DEC 16	JAN 17	FEB 17	12mo AVG
AVG BOOKINS per day	187	201	194	197	158	190	130	168	171	155	180	194	177
Interviews	233	258	254	246	165	209	188	147	178	150	199	178	200
Cr. History reviewed	572	455	550	556	333	434	392	363	375	362	470	424	441
Bonds written	145	172	151	155	119	150	130	105	116	113	139	107	134
AVG BONDS per day	6.3	8.2	7.2	7.1	6	6.5	6	5	5.8	5.7	7	5.4	6.35
Bonds (collected)	115	132	120	107	96	108	83	69	80	86	112	76	99
Bonds (waived)	30	40	31	48	23	42	47	36	36	27	27	31	35
Bonds TOTAL	145	172	151	155	119	150	130	105	116	113	139	107	134
FEES (collected)	\$4,135	\$4,545	\$3,770	\$3,435	\$3,445	\$4,115	\$2,740	\$2,125	\$2,610	\$3,110	\$4,185	\$3,040	\$3,438
FEES (waived)	\$1,005	\$1,645	\$895	\$2,250	\$1,020	\$1,805	\$1,700	\$1,225	\$1,300	\$1,090	\$1,040	\$740	\$1,310
FEES TOTAL	\$5,140	\$6,190	\$4,665	\$5,685	\$4,465	\$5,920	\$4,440	\$3,350	\$3,910	\$4,200	\$5,225	\$3,780	\$4,748

AVERAGES - LATEST HISTORICAL STATISTICAL DATA	
	BKIN AVG
2008 AVERAGE	271
2009 AVERAGE	264
2010 AVERAGE	257
2011 AVERAGE	238
2012 AVERAGE	231
2013 AVERAGE	222
2014 AVERAGE	204
2015 AVERAGE	195
2016 AVERAGE	179
Jan-17	180
Feb-17	194
2017 AVERAGE	187

PRETRIAL RELEASE SERVICES ~ YEARLY AVERAGES			
	PTR Bond	Jail Pop	Bkin Avg
2007	14	6288	249
2008	13	6125	271
2009	11	6165	264
2010	10	6818	257
2011	9	6430	238
2012	9	6310	231
2013	11	6015	222
2014	10	6144	204
2015	9	5685	195
2016	6	5350	179



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board  
Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence Committee Minutes for Monday, February 27,  
2017**

**Attendees**

Judge Faith Johnson, Ellyce Lindberg, John Rodriguez, Tony Chelette, Lupe Garza, David Nabors, Mike Brodnax, Michael Godfrey, Bill Camp, Victor Kuyndell, Gary Perkins, Terry Bacher, Steven Baxter, Chris Newell, Karl Ross, Carmon P. White, Ben Leal, Mitch Bates, Lonnie Freeman, Jim Spivey, Robert Mahony, Paul Spencer, Danny Martin, Ron Stretcher, Jeff Segura, Frank Garcia, Greg Spradlin, Bill Mathes, Shawn Roten, Gary Gregory, Steve Dye, Ronnie Morris, Charlie Cato, Craig Miller, and Michael Laughlin

The meeting was called to order by Chief Jim Spivey at 9:00am. Chief Spivey introduced Judge Faith Johnson who is the new appointed District Attorney over Dallas County. Judge Johnson stated she was pro-law enforcement and appreciated the work done by law enforcement. Judge Johnson could not stay but would be represented by Mike Snipes, Carmen White and Ellyce Lindberg. The first order of business was for everyone in attendance to introduce themselves and which departments they represented.

**Discussion**

Ellyce Lindberg stated that Judge Johnson had previously met with various chiefs and one of the chiefs had discussed being given a heads up for new policies coming that would have an effect on them. In keeping with this, one of the major policy changes coming would be the change in regard to mental health bonds. Carmen White and Ron Stretcher have been on the forefront of the changes working in the Smart Justice groups to implement these changes. There are also potential changes coming for bail bonds in general for all defendants including the bond schedule and how bond amounts are determined.

Carmen White discussed the background of bond changes and how various county departments have been meeting to address the issues of mental health and bail bonds. Following the new procedures, a magistrate will determine if a mental health assessment must be done on the defendant. Two assessments will be conducted, a mental health assessment and risk assessment. The mental health assessment will be done by a qualified individual from ADAPT

community solutions and the risk assessment will be conducted by the Pre-trial Department. When the assessments are complete a report will be submitted to the judge with a recommendation. A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) has been written by the District Attorney's office and the Public Defender's Office which will give some offenders an almost automatic PR Bond. Certain violent offenses, DWIs, offenses with death, stalking, and burglary of habitation with intent to commit some kind of assault are examples which would be excluded from automatic bond. What will need to be looked at further would be "impact offenders". This category may have minor offense or fall into the category of automatic agreement, but may have a history that needs to be looked at closer. Getting the pertinent information from the police department as quickly as possible will be very important so an impact offender is not quickly released. Family violence cases will also need to be looked at closely and the District Attorney's office will need the ability to get in contact with the family prior to the defendant's release. Upon release, the defendant will also be monitored by a Pre-trial Officer and get mental health services as a condition of bond. The goal would be to stop the defendant from becoming an impact offender.

The question was asked about how to notify the District Attorney's Office if the defendant was an impact offender. Ellyce Lindberg stated that it would be helpful if it was in the probable cause affidavit. Chief Spivey brought up a prior form that was used to ask for a higher bond amount on certain cases. Something like this could be used again and would follow the defendant from local jails to Lew Sterrett. It was stated that this form would need to be on AIS so the magistrates could see it. It was also discussed to have a section added to the book-in on AIS with "impact Offender" section. Ellyce Lindberg also stated when they have an indication that the defendant is an "impact offender" they need justification for why they fall into that category. Also a point of contact of someone who was familiar with the individual would be helpful as well. Chief Spivey stated that he would be holding a meeting to define what an impact offender means and the best way to give the information to the District Attorney.

Craig Morrissey stated that from a technical standpoint, a modification of the book-in sheet may be the easiest way to do it, and in the future the LEA portal could possibly be modified.

Carmen White and Michael Laughlin discussed the goal of the program is to look at those with mental health issues, and if they are impact offenders, they would be released with services that would potentially curb their behavior. Ron Stretcher stressed that they would be released into treatment and there would be a plan prior to their release so the defendant would have a place to live, know their treatment providers, and have access to medication. Ron Stretcher further stated that these changes are the result of making sure Dallas County is in compliance with the Code of Criminal Procedure Chapter 16.22 and 17.031. These sections are in regard to screening and bonds for mentally ill individuals. Ron stated that this also ties into bail reform

which has been on the news and some judges are looking at bail bonds based on risk assessment rather than an offense driven grid.

It was determined that Ellyce Lindberg would work with the sheriff's department and IT to try to modify the book-in sheet and get her people access to AIS to see the book-in sheet. It will also be important to find out what is public on the book-in sheet to not give the defendant victim information. Ellyce Lindberg stated that if some agencies were interested, she could have a meeting with the jail to determine how the jail gets information from the various agencies and what would work best for the agencies.

The next item on the agenda was discussing sharing information between agencies in the LEA Portal. Craig Morrissey stated that it could be determined how much information could be shared and if agencies wanted to participate. Each agency would be able to make their determinations on what to share.

Digital multi-media evidence management was discussed next. Since the utilization of the LEA Portal there has been 398,656 items submitted, which is about 51 terabytes of data. Craig Morrissey also suggested that it would be helpful for all videos to be in MP4 format to make viewing easier to defense attorneys or District Attorneys. Craig Morrissey also reminded agencies that there were certain things that should be kept out of the LEA Portal, including child pornography, and large files such as cell phone dumps because they also carry the risk of malware.

Craig Morrissey further discussed the Body-worn camera interface. He stated that they have been working with Evidence.com/Taser to work out requirements for the system and what will work best with various agencies. Mr. Morrissey also explained that he would like to work with an agency to test the interface. Ideally a medium volume agency would be best to pilot the system, then it could be expanded to larger agencies. The other DME vendors, L3 and Watch Guard Technologies, which some agencies are using, will have their interfaces worked on next, hopefully within the next two quarters.

Chief Spivey stated that it was not on the agenda but he would like to speak about ICE detainers. An appeals court recently stated that they do not have the ability to enforce ICE detainers. It was determined that ICE will be meeting with some local chiefs to clarify policies under the new administration.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:15am.

## **Dallas County Legislative Priorities**

1. Oppose revenue caps, appraisal caps, and unfunded mandates.
2. Support per capita funding from the State for mental health and jail programs.
3. Support the allocation of State transportation funding for projects in Dallas County.
4. Support the full allocation of dedicated revenues for their intended purposes.
5. Support the current model for the Texas County and District Retirement System.
6. Support providing Commissioners Court with budgetary control over county funds.

## **Dallas County Legislative Proposals**

1. Provide a method for dissolution of the Bois D'Arc Island Levee Improvement District of Dallas and Kaufman Counties if a board is not elected.
  - a. HB1709 by Gooden
2. Add an option for commissioners courts to appoint subcommittees to the county civil service commission.
  - a. SB470 by West was referred to Senate State Affairs Committee
  - b. HB1756 by Neave
3. Add an option to allow a County to contract with an outside collections firm to collect dishonored checks and credit card invoices.
  - a. SB492 by West was referred to Senate Finance Committee
  - b. HB598 by Koop was referred to House Ways and Means Committee.
4. Allow a defendant to serve all or part of a sentence of confinement in county jail by participating in an electronic monitoring program run by a commissioners court of a county rather than be confined to jail.
  - a. SB332 by West was referred to Senate Criminal Justice
  - b. HB1287 by Rose was referred to House Criminal Jurisprudence
5. Allow counties to include a link to a jury summons questionnaire with the jury summons in lieu of a hardcopy.
  - a. SB259 by Huffines was referred to Senate State Affairs
  - b. HB1755 by Neave
6. Allow a county commissioners court to utilize a third party for leasing of county owned space.
  - a. SB334 by West was referred to Senate Intergovernmental Relations
  - b. HB1288 by Rose was referred to House County Affairs
7. Allow a medical examiner to delegate death certification to the attending physician if the death is due to natural causes.
  - a. SB335 by West was referred to Senate Criminal Justice
  - b. HB1285 by Rose filed
8. Clarify what a medical examiner can do with retained organs or medically implanted devices after completion of an examination.
  - a. SB336 by West was referred to Senate Criminal Justice
  - b. HB1286 by Rose



**GRAND OPENING**  
of the  
**UNLOCKING DOORS HEADQUARTERS**  
**AND**  
**TEXAS BAR FOUNDATION CLIENT RESOURCE CENTER**

High Point Center  
12225 Greenville Ave, Suite 850  
Dallas, Texas 75243

**FRIDAY, MARCH 31, 2017**

10:00 AM - Guest Arrival and Networking

10:30 AM - Ceremony and Presentations (*FIFTH FLOOR*)

11:00 AM to 5:00 PM - Ribbon Cutting and Headquarters Tours (*SUITE 850*)

Please RSVP by Wednesday, March 29, 2017 at

<https://www.eventbrite.com/o/unlocking-doors-3042855928>



We are located right off the LBJ/Central Station DART Rail Line  
and the 582 DART Bus Line

**NOTE: CLIENTS WILL BE SEEN DURING HEADQUARTERS TOURS**

**UNLOCKING DOORS HEADQUARTERS**  
**AND**  
**TEXAS BAR FOUNDATION CLIENT RESOURCE CENTER**

Unlocking  
**DOORS**

Unlocking  
**DOORS**





## **Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute**

### **Community Stakeholder Project Status Update – April 2017**

---

The Caruth Smart Justice Planning Grant Phase II Proposal was submitted to the W.W. Caruth, Jr. Foundation at the Communities Foundation of Texas on July 15, 2016, followed by the board presentation on September 27, 2016. On October 5, 2016, the trustees of the W.W. Caruth Foundation at the Communities Foundation of Texas approved the grant proposal, which will enable the Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute to work closely with Dallas County, the City of Dallas, and a broad array of partners to implement the Dallas County Smart Justice Project.

MMHPI and its partners' implementation of this project began in January 2017 and is aligned with supporting the North Texas Behavioral Health Authority (NTBHA) transition. We will continue to engage local and state philanthropists to seek matching funds to support implementation. Additionally, we continue to seek the support of local private hospital providers to help bridge the gap between the private health care system and the public behavioral health and criminal justice communities.

#### **Intercept 1 (Law Enforcement)**

The MMHPI staff continues to provide technical assistance to stakeholders with the Dallas Fire-Rescue Department (DFR) and the Dallas Police Department (DPD) as they coordinate efforts to identify programming and logistical needs. Both DPD and DFR have completed statements of work (SOW). The drafting of DPD and DFR job descriptions have been completed. The job descriptions and statement of work (SOW) will be presented to the City Council in mid-March for final approval. DPD and DFR will continue drafting policies for their perspective departments. During the next Intercept I meeting, which is scheduled for March 10, 2017, the DFR/DPD leadership will meet with the clinical team leads.

#### **Intercept 2 (Initial Detention/Initial Court Hearings) / Intercept 3 (Jails/Courts) / Intercept 4 (Re-Entry)**

With the award of the Caruth implementation grant, the three work groups with the Dallas County Criminal Justice Department continue to complete the key tasks to implement the grant and are moving toward a pre-launch training session for all stakeholders.

The judges have reviewed the new standing court orders needed for the implementation and they will make approval decisions on these at their monthly meeting in March 2017. A new Smart Justice MOU was signed between the District Attorney and the Public Defender in order to safely release more defendants with mentally illness. The judges participated in a jail tour to familiarize themselves with the flow of new bond release opportunities and processes within the grant.

## **Caruth Smart Justice Planning Grant, Community Stakeholder Project Status Update**

A new protected health information sharing agreement was completed between Parkland Hospital and treatment providers, allowing for improved continuity of care, treatment engagement, and outcomes.

On February 24, 2017, MMPHI and the Dallas County Criminal Justice Department conducted a scaled-down training on the Risk/Need/Responsivity model and the TMACT for both felony and misdemeanor court judges. The training of pre-trial staff on new bond interviews, risk assessments, and supervision are being completed. A beta launch of the new implementation processes is planned for April 17, 2017.

### **Intercept 5 (Community Corrections and Services)**

With support from the MMHPI team, Dr. Maria Monroe-DeVita (author and developer of the TMACT model), conducted a TMACT training on February 9 and 10, 2017 for approved ACT and FACT teams.

The statement of work (SOW) has been completed for Intercept 5 and, once the budget is finalized, it will be forwarded to the City Council for final approval.



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

## CRIME RECORDS SERVICE

### County Combined Completeness Percentage for DALLAS County as of 03/14/2017

Reported Year	Adult					Juvenile				
	Total Charges Reported	Charges Disposed by Prosecutors	Charges Disposed by Courts	Total Disposed	Completeness Percentage	Total Charges Reported	Charges Disposed by Prosecutors	Charges Disposed by Courts	Total Disposed	Completeness Percentage
2011	60,119	1,611	53,946	55,557	92%	3,764	282	3,359	3,641	96%
2012	61,472	1,865	54,934	56,799	92%	3,547	216	3,200	3,416	96%
2013	61,145	1,853	53,990	55,843	91%	4,243	264	3,657	3,921	92%
2014	59,247	2,108	49,488	51,596	87%	3,957	547	3,083	3,630	91%
2015	57,318	1,964	42,405	44,369	77%	3,724	492	2,460	2,952	79%
<b>Total</b>	<b>299,301</b>	<b>9,401</b>	<b>254,763</b>	<b>264,164</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>19,235</b>	<b>1,801</b>	<b>15,759</b>	<b>17,560</b>	<b>91%</b>