



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board
Meeting Agenda**

December 18, 2023, 2:30 p.m.
Oak Cliff Government Center
First floor conference room,
702 E Jefferson Blvd, Dallas, TX 75203

- I. Welcome and Introductions** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- II. Membership & Infrastructure*** – Ellyce Lindberg Co-Chair, CJAB
- III. Minutes Review/Approval*** – Commissioner Elba Garcia-Chair, CJAB
- IV. Presentation**
 - **Electronic Disposition Reporting (EDR)** – Charlene Randolph, Ellyce Linberg
 - **Dallas County Sexual Assault Unit** –Trey Stock
- V. Committee Project Updates**
 - **Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management Committee** – LaShonda Jefferson
 - **Fair Defense Committee** – Lynn Richardson
 - **Justice of the Peace** – Judge Valencia Nash
 - **Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence** – Ellyce Lindberg
 - **Pretrial Committee** – Jeff Segura
 - **Reentry** – Christina Melton Crain
- VI. Program Update**
 - **Dallas County Homeland Security & Emergency Management**- Billy Gipson
- VII. Public Comments**
- VIII. Announcements**
- IX. Next Meeting Schedule**
 - March 25, 2024



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board
General Membership Minutes for Monday, September 18, 2023**

Welcome & Introductions, Commissioner Dr. Garcia, called the meeting to order at 2:30 PM. All in attendance made customary introductions.

Membership & Infrastructure:

There were no changes to membership or infrastructure at this time.

Meeting Minutes:

The minutes from the CJAB General Membership meeting held on June 26, 2023, were made a part of the packet. A motion was made to approve minutes; the motion was seconded by Valencia Nash and approved.

Presentations:

The Vehicular Crimes Unit- Andrew James and Lauren Black

Commissioner Dr. Garcia and Ellyce Lindberg introduced the speakers and read their biographies included in the CJAB packet.

Andrew James conveyed his appreciation for the opportunity to serve the citizens in Dallas County. He detailed how he became involved in the Vehicular Crimes Unit in Dallas County under Judge Creuzot. The unit, comprising specialized unit of prosecutors, investigators, and a paralegal, focuses on handling vehicular homicide cases to assist law enforcement in investigations and successful prosecutions.

The unit's goal is to handle all vehicular crimes, including intoxication assault cases. Mr. James highlights the challenges in prosecuting vehicular crimes, emphasizing difficulties in proving intoxication, especially in cases involving drugs. Causation and crash reconstruction are identified as intricate areas of the law, characterized by complexities that contribute to the overall difficulty of handling these cases. Mr. James outlined the current team members, including the prosecutors, an investigator, and a paralegal, detailing their roles in handling various cases involving DWI, felony murder, intoxication manslaughter, racing deaths, vehicular manslaughter, and hit-and-run incidents.

The presentation includes examples of cases, emphasizing the severity and consequences of reckless driving, racing, and driving under the influence. Mr. Andrew James advocates for the unit's expansion to handle more cases and improve outcomes. The importance of specialized knowledge in navigating the complexities of vehicular crimes is highlighted, and the speaker concludes by presenting a video example illustrating the impact of intoxication on a fatal crash.

Mr. James discussed the significant number of car crash fatalities in Dallas County and emphasizes the complexity of vehicular crime cases, requiring specialized knowledge for effective prosecution. Having specialized personnel helps ensure informed decisions in filing charges, avoiding assumptions, and checking the accuracy of crash reconstructions. The unit's mission is to address the challenges associated with prosecuting vehicular crimes, contribute to public safety, and seek justice for victims of these incidents.

Mr. James reflects on his career transition to vehicular crimes, expressing a passion for helping families affected by tragedies navigate the criminal justice system with respect and compassion. Vehicular crimes are highlighted as violent crimes due to the severe forces involved in crashes, impacting innocent lives.

Data on car crash fatalities in Dallas County from 2010 to 2022 is presented, indicating a disproportionate number compared to other large Texas counties. Despite having fewer residents than Harris County, Dallas County consistently records higher fatality rates in car crashes and alcohol-related incidents. Mr. James acknowledges the efforts of law enforcement agencies in addressing these issues but underscores the need for collective action to improve road safety.

The presentation includes a case example from Garland PD involving a high-speed chase resulting in a fatal crash. The defendant, with a history of prison escapes and criminal activity, caused the death of a young woman and left her son in a vegetative state. The defendant received a life sentence after a trial, illustrating the unit's commitment to seeking justice in such cases.

Future plans and goals involve expanding the unit, increasing personnel, and engaging in educational outreach, potentially visiting schools to educate students about the consequences of reckless driving. Mr. James concludes by inviting additional input from their colleague, Lauren and opening the floor for any questions. Ms. Lauren Black mentioned their grant applications for unit expansion.

Judge Autry inquired about the previous existence of the DWI squad. Mr. James highlighted its potential benefits and the effectiveness of visible enforcement based on studies. However, recognizing staffing challenges and the need to prioritize resources, he understands there may not nearly as many folks given the constraints.

Mr. James ended the presentation by expressing gratitude towards the Dallas Police Department (DPD) and all other agencies, commending their efforts and acknowledging the valuable work they are contributing.

Committee Project Updates:

Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management Update:

LaShonda Jefferson began her update with reiterated The Dallas County Criminal Justice Department is a neutral entity, tasked by the Dallas County Commissioner's Court with collaborating with all Criminal Justice Stakeholders and community agencies to address system bottlenecks, barriers, and help create solutions, to ensure timely and efficient justice. Historically the Dallas County Criminal Justice System has operated in departmental silos. Recently, substantial collaborative efforts have been established with internal and external partners to assist with jail population management to avoid justice delays while managing public safety. William Gladstone said it best "Justice Delayed is Justice Denied." The Jail Population Management team operates under this premise every day. The Jail Population committee meeting was held on Friday, September 15. The Jail Population packet was distributed. Excerpts from that packet can be found on pages 25 through 32 of the CJAB packet. The jail population for today is 6,681, which is 98% of our reduced total jail capacity. The average jail population for August 2023 was 6,601 and our yearly average for 2023, so far, is 6,140. The Criminal Justice Department continues to collaborate with stakeholders to manage our jail population.

Fair Defense:

Mr. Paul Blocker delivered an update, informing CJAB members of a recent grant received from the Texas Indigent Defense Commission aimed at reducing caseloads in misdemeanor cases. This funding will facilitate the recruitment of additional attorneys, effectively lowering the caseload from one hundred to fifty per attorney per month. Mr. Blocker also discussed a recent report by the National Association of Public Defense, highlighting that the existing 50-year-old recommendations are now considered too high. The Public Defender's office currently exceeds the new recommendations, set at 150 low-level misdemeanors and 59 felonies per attorney per year. Efforts are underway to align with these updated standards, exploring potential departmental or governmental interventions to approach these recommended figures. Ms. Rice added to the discussion by sharing news of an additional grant designed to enhance handling competency and standard mental health cases. She noted the presence of bilingual staff and ongoing efforts to expand staffing while improving technological resources within the Public Defender's office.

Justice of the Peace:

Judge Valencia Nash presented a brief update, noting that since the last meeting, they have successfully onboarded their analyst. While progress has been made in reducing issues with Odyssey, a few challenges still require attention. One of the JPs' priorities is to conduct monthly meetings. Judge Nash

also discussed the importance of reclassifying certain positions, expressing the hope of retaining the best employees and ensuring their satisfaction.

Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence:

Ellyce Lindberg provided the update, noting that since the last meeting, there hasn't been a formal gathering. The team maintains close communication with law enforcement partners, particularly regarding cases where the defendant is in jail. This involves an extensive manual process, contributing to the mentioned delays in manual processing times. Since the Odyssey transition, there hasn't been a system in place to display arrests and closures on the website. This is crucial for compliance by every August 1st to meet grant requirements. Ellyce expresses hope for a potential contract with a vendor to address and resolve these issues.

Pretrial:

Pretrial was unable to attend, but they submitted their update via email. The CJAB packet includes their statistical summaries. The current figures for Pretrial Services are as follows: Alcohol monitoring has 1,567 registrations, Electronic Monitoring has 552, Mental Health has 226, and General Pretrial has 180 cases, totaling 2,525 defendants currently under supervision.

Reentry:

Christina Crain was unable to attend the meeting but sent a brief email indicating that there is no formal subcommittee report. She continues her commitment to assisting individuals in making a fresh start by helping them secure employment, housing, and healthcare.

Program Update:

Mr. Dave Hogan expressed gratitude for the opportunity to present an update on the Dallas Deflection Center, providing an overview, a year's worth of operation, demographics, and challenges. The center serves as a jail diversion project, streamlining the process for low-level criminal offenses to reduce time and cost. The collaboration between law enforcement and the criminal justice system is emphasized, addressing mental illness and substance abuse.

After a year of operation, handling 272 clients, Mr. Hogan elaborates on de-escalation techniques, the goal of diverting individuals from jail to treatment facilities, and collaboration efforts with police agencies. Demographics reveal a high percentage of mental health and substance abuse disorders among the homeless population served. Mr. Hogan identified patterns of mental health disorders and emphasizes the need for a comprehensive approach.

Plans to expand the center's operation to 24/7 were discussed, addressing questions in the Q&A session about the participation of other law enforcement agencies and potential office expansion. The focus is on intentional expansion and obtaining funding before considering 24/7 operations.

Public Comments:

None.

Announcements:

The next CJAB meeting will be held on December 18, 2023, at 2:30pm.

Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 3:46pm.



Presenters:

Trey Stock

Trey Stock is the Chief Prosecutor of the Sexual Assault Unit for the Dallas County District Attorney's Office. The Sexual Assault Division handles cases involving sexual assaults of victims 17 years of age and older. The Sexual Assault Unit also handles all cases of Human Trafficking involving victims 17 years of age and older. These trafficking cases include sex and labor trafficking. Trey joined the Dallas County District Attorney's Office in 2008. During his 15 years with the DA's Office, he has tried cases that range from Class B misdemeanors to Capital Murder. Trey is the father of two boys. His youngest is a junior at Bishop Lynch High School (Trey's alma matter), and his oldest is a senior at the University of Notre Dame.

Bill Gipson

Bill Gipson has over 25 years of law enforcement and criminal justice experience. He has a Bachelor of Applied Arts and Sciences degree with a concentration in Criminal Justice from Stephen F. Austin State University and a Master's degree in Public Administration from Texas Southern University. Bill Gipson holds a Master Peace Officer Certification and an Advanced Instructor Certification from the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement.

Bill Gipson began his career with the Texas prison system at Bradshaw State Jail in 1998 as a Correctional Officer. Post-graduation Mr. Gipson worked for Smith County Sheriff's Office. After serving in Smith County, Bill started his journey at Dallas County serving in various departments: Dallas County Sheriff's Office as a Deputy Sheriff, Senior Sergeant Criminal Investigator of the Dallas County District Attorney's Office, and the Elected Constable for Dallas County Precinct 2 between 2019-2022. Currently, Bill Gipson is the Critical Infrastructure Chief for the Dallas County Homeland Security and Emergency Management Office.

Bill Gipson has 22 years of service in the Army Reserves, recently promoted to the rank of Sergeant Major. He is the recipient of military awards and decorations including multiple Army Commendation Medals with 2 Oak Leaf Clusters and Global War on Terrorism Service Medal. Bill Gipson is a faithful member of the Shiloh Church in Garland. He serves on several local boards and community organizations. Bill Gipson is a proud member of Phi Beta Sigma Fraternity, Incorporated. He resides in Mesquite, Texas and is the proud parent of two lovely daughters and one son, Kimora, Josiah, and Jazmyne.

SEXUAL ASSAULT DIVISION & HUMAN TRAFFICKING



Trey Stock

***Chief, Sexual Assault Division
Dallas County District Attorney's Office***

SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICES IN DALLAS COUNTY

- City of Dallas has around 2 million people
 - Every 107 seconds, another American is sexually assaulted
 - 33% of Texans have been sexually assaulted in their lifetime
- Before 2010
 - Largest city in country with no SANE program
 - Only 1 hospital performed rape exams – Parkland



THE SEX ASSAULT UNIT

- Originally created in 2009 to address domestic violence related sexual assault
 - 1 prosecutor
 - 1 investigator
 - 1 part-time victim advocate

- As of December 2023:
 - Current Sex Assault /Human Trafficking Unit
 - 4 prosecutors
 - 2 investigators
 - 1 victim advocate
 - Cold Case/ SAKI Sex Assault Unit
 - 1 prosecutor
 - 2 investigators
 - 2 victim advocates



DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

SEXUAL ASSAULT UNIT

PROSECUTORS

- Trey Stock
- 214-653-3692
- Cell 214-957-7194
- tstock@dallascounty.org
- Tristin DerMargosian
- 214-653-6868
- Work Cell: 469-571-7769
- Tristin.dermargosian@dallascounty.org
- Leighton D'Antoni (SAKI/Cold Case)
- 214-653-3720
- Work Cell: 972-655-6204
- Leighton.Dantoni@dallascounty.org
- Deborah Bankhead
- 214-945-9918
- Work Cell: 214-945-9918
- Deborah.Bankhead@dallascounty.org
- Haley Pratt
- 214-653-3739
- Work Cell: 214-945-9918
- Klarissa.diaz@dallascounty.org



DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

SEXUAL ASSAULT UNIT

INVESTIGATORS

- Lee Thompson
- 214-875-2216
- Cell 214-930-1287
- james.thompson@dallascounty.org
- Abigail Cruz
- 214-653-4490
- Work Cell: 214-690-1414
- Abigail.cruz@dallascounty.org
- Jon Wakefield - SAKI/Cold Case
- 214-490-0043
- Work Cell: 214-490-0043
- Jon.wakefield@dallascounty.org
- Kelly Paul – SAKI/Cold Case
- Work Cell: 469-792-5368
- Personal Cell: 214-789-7764
- Kelly.paul@dallascounty.org
- Michael Grice– Human Trafficking
- FED Cell phone: 469-822-7555
- COUNTY Cell phone: 469-781-5185
- Michael.grice@dallascounty.org



DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

SEXUAL ASSAULT UNIT

VICTIM ADVOCATES

- Adriana Sellers
- 214-653-2802
- Mobile 972-890-1534
- Tiffani.Rodriguez@dallascounty.org

- Roquel Mayhorn- SAKI / Cold Case
- Desk 214/653-6863
- Mobile 214/475-0649
- Roquel.mayhorn@dallascounty.org

- Mackenzie Kile – SAKI/Cold Case
- 214-875-2447
- Work Cell: 214-490-7162
- Mackenzie.Kile@dallascounty.org

- Charcenee “Shree” Jackson – Human Trafficking
- 214-653-3584
- Work Cell: 214-846-7544
- Charcenee.Jackson@dallascounty.org



TYPES OF CASES

- All human trafficking related offenses for victims 17yo+
 - Labor and sex trafficking
 - Engaging in Organized Crime

- All sex assault related offenses for victims 17yo+
 - Intimate partner
 - Stranger on stranger
 - Social relationship but no sexual relationship
 - Family relationship



STATUTORILY THERE IS NO CONSENT IF...

- Defendant compels the CW to submit by:
 - Use of physical force
 - Threatening to use force and the CW believes Defendant has the present ability to execute the threat. Could be against CW or another person (Ex. threat to kill CW's kids)
 - Defendant has intentionally impaired CW's ability to control their conduct by administering a substance without the CW's knowledge (Ex. GHB)



STATUTORILY THERE IS NO CONSENT IF...

- CW is unconscious or physically unable to resist (Ex. CW is on alcohol/drugs; under anesthesia)
- CW is unaware the sexual assault is occurring (Ex. CW is asleep)
- CW has a mental disease/defect that makes them unable to resist or understand the nature of the act (Ex. CW is autistic or ID)



SERIAL RAPISTS



VICTIMS

- Vulnerable Survivors
 - Prostitutes, Addicts, Transient Populations (homeless) and Mental Health Issues
 - Serial rapists are FAR MORE LIKELY to choose one of the above to target



TRYING THE CASE

- Issues with prosecution
 - Victim is uncooperative
 - Victim doesn't remember
 - Juror expectations and stereotypes, bias, judgment of the victim
 - Juror underestimation of the harm – Anyone remember Clayton Williams?



SOCIETY'S VIEWS

- 20/20 – “Behind the Closed Door”
 - Victim:
 - “I had to google rape”
 - “He just took advantage of the situation”
 - “I thought rape was being tied down”
- Vargas: **“Blurred lines between consensual sex and sexual assault”**



ACTUAL JUROR OPINIONS...

- “It’s always a negotiation”
- “Sometimes No means Yes”
- “They lie to get money”
- “They lie to get revenge”
- “They lie out of spite”
- “What if someone does something really stupid and they get raped?”
- “Let’s just say I wasn’t a gentleman”
- “She was too fat to be raped like that.”
- “It was all her fault, she used the drugs.”
- “He was just drunk and didn’t mean to.”
- “I tell my daughters that if you climb into bed with a man, you should know what’s gonna happen.”





HUMAN TRAFFICKING

HOW DO TRAFFICKERS RECRUIT AND CONTROL VICTIMS?



VICTIM PROFILE



Victimization



Vulnerability



Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) factors include living in an environment that undermines a child's sense of safety, stability, and bonding.


<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/aces/fastfact.html>

VULNERABILITY

- Foster care or unstable home lives
- Substance use disorder
- Involvement with the Criminal Justice System
- Affected by mental health issues
- Poverty
- Prior sexual abuse
- LGBTQ+
- Immigrant or refugee status
- Low self-esteem

RECRUITMENT





“You will do anything to feel like his everything; and he promises you everything. And the things he convinces you to do, they don’t seem that bad. If afterwards he shows you how much he loves you.... And as long as your profits meet expectations, you will have what you’ve wanted your whole life: Love.”

America’s Daughters (Video), POLARIS
PROJECT (Apr. 2014),
<https://youtu.be/aTAVZChvLR4>



What we
think pimps
look like?



What
they
really
look
like






The Trafficker



- There are many kinds of traffickers:

- Individual Traffickers
- Trafficking Rings Organized Crime
- Classmates/Peers

- Types of Pimp:
Parent/Caregiver / Boyfriend
Gorilla Pimp



SURVIVOR SURVEY

- 130 human trafficking survivors
- 90.8% were arrested, ~50% as a juvenile
- 40% were arrested 9x or more
- 60% were arrested for non-prostitution and non-drug offenses

NAT'L SURVIVOR NETWORK, NATIONAL SURVIVOR NETWORK MEMBERS SURVEY:
IMPACT OF CRIMINAL ARREST AND DETENTION ON SURVIVORS OF HUMAN
TRAFFICKING (2016), <https://nationalsurvivornetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/VacateSurveyFinal.pdf>.

[←](#) Post**FOX 4 NEWS**

@FOX4

Plano calls for investigation into massage parlor over prostitution claims

fox4news.com

Plano calls for investigation into massage parlor over pro...

5:01 PM · 28 Nov 23 · **16.3K**
Views

Post your reply



[←](#) Post

2 Bookmarks

**SNAFUradio** @S... · 2h

Replying to @FOX4

why are the cops always
looking into sex and not
public corruption

**theCHAZZchan...** · 4h

Replying to @FOX4

What a waste of resources.

**kennysmiff** @kenn... · 4h

Replying to @FOX4

Let's hope there's a happy
ending to this

**Direct Energy US**

Ad



Post your reply



[←](#) Post

Post Night

[27](#) [24](#) [282](#) [1M](#) [Share](#)**Clyde Mitchell** @CL... · 2h [⋮](#)Replying to [@FOX4](#)

Winder if they have any openings?

[Reply](#) [Retweet](#) [Like](#) [171](#) [Share](#)**Walter** @Toasterfree · 4h [⋮](#)Replying to [@FOX4](#)

Nothing wrong with a rub and tug

[Reply](#) [Retweet](#) [2](#) [178](#) [Share](#)**coleman21** [8](#) @the... · 4h [⋮](#)Replying to [@FOX4](#)

Cmon man. Nobody goes to the Asian massage for a "massage"

[Reply](#) [Retweet](#) [2](#) [222](#) [Share](#)

More replies

Post your reply



Any Questions?



Trey Stock

Chief, Sexual Assault Division

Dallas County District Attorney's Office

tstock@dallascounty.org

214-653-3692



Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)-Glossary

The DEWR is a 32 line item report which provides a snapshot of the Dallas County Jail Population, capturing the primary case status/category (also referred to as a “bucket”). The DEWR consists of pre-disposition Felony and Misdemeanor cases, and those adjudicated which are awaiting release or transfer.

1	Felony not filed:	Felony arrest made by local law enforcement agencies (LEA's), case has not been accepted by the District Attorney (DA).
2	Felony pending Grand Jury (GJ):	Felony cases accepted for prosecution and awaiting presentation to the GJ.
3	Felony not including State Jail Felonies (SJF):	Felony offenses excluding SJF which have been indicted by the GJ and are now pending in the felony courts.
4	SJF pending disposition:	SJF offenses which have been indicted by the GJ and are now pending in the felony courts.
5	Probation Violators (PV) Felony:	Defendants (Def's) in jail for various violations of the terms and conditions of their felony probation.
6	Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) over 10 years on appeal or TDC no appeal-	Def's received a felony conviction and were sentenced to TDCJ >10 years; the case may or may not be on appeal. Def is not eligible for bond.
7	Bench Warrants:	Def's being held as a witness in another Def's case; will be transferred back to TDCJ once the case is resolved.
8	TDCJ 10 years or less on appeal:	Def's received a felony conviction and were sentenced to TDCJ <10 years and are appealing their sentence; may be eligible to post bond.
9	Sentenced to SJF:	Def's received a felony conviction and were sentenced to a State Jail Facility, awaiting transfer.
10	SJF on appeal:	Def's received a felony conviction and were sentenced to a State Jail Facility and filed an appeal; may be eligible to post bond
11	SJF serving in county jail:	Def's received a felony conviction and were sentenced to time in the county jail.
12	Misdemeanor not filed:	Def's arrested for a misdemeanor offense by a local LEA; case has not been filed by the DA's office in the county courts.
13	Misdemeanor filed pending:	Def's charged with a misdemeanor offense and their cases have been filed with the county courts and are pending disposition
14	Misdemeanor PV:	Def's in jail for various violations of the terms and conditions of their county court probation.
15	Serving County Time as a Condition of Probation:	Def's held in county jail as a condition of probation (sanction). Not eligible for good time credit.
16	Serving County Time & Fines:	Def's sentenced to jail time and are serving their sentence.
17	Serving Fines and Court Cost only:	Def's serving time for fines and court cost only.
18	Out of County/State Hold:	Def's being held for another county or jurisdiction. Upon completion of their Dallas County jail time, agencies typically have 10 days to pick up the defendant or they are released.
19	Parole Violations:	Def's in jail for various violations of the terms and conditions of their felony parole.
20	SAFPF (Substance Abuse Felony Punishment Facility):	Def's ordered to a substance abuse treatment facility as a condition of felony probation and are awaiting transfer to that facility.
21	Special Programs:	Def's being held for Wilmer Judicial Treatment Center, Electronic Leg Monitor (ELM), or other community treatment programs.
22	Other Incompetent:	Def's being held in county jail awaiting transfer to a State Mental Health Facility.
23	US Marshal:	Dallas County contracts as a US Marshal holding facility.
24	Contempt in Jail:	Def's in jail for contempt of court.
25	Contempt Furlough:	Def's temporarily released from the jail.
26	Peace Bond:	Court ordered cash bond designed to keep the peace and protect a person or property from a threat (rarely used).
27	Texas Youth Commission (TYC):	Def's being held for transfer to TYC; TYC is now Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD).
28	Immigration:	Def's detained by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) being held for transfer to a federal facility for immigration detainers.
29	Class C Misdemeanor only:	Citations which result in a fine, serving time in jail.
30	Contract Inmates:	Contract Holds for another County or Jurisdiction (overflow).
31	US Military:	Temporary hold for US Military.
32	Default:	Def's in transit: recently booked into jail (on the floor), have not been classified, and/or assigned to a jail/tank/housing unit

**Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)
November 2023**

Data Disclaimer: At this time, we are facing data source/integration challenges due to the recent implementation of the Odyssey Criminal Court management system (Go Live date 05/22/23). Forvus transitioned to read only access at 6 pm on 05/16/23. Beginning 05/17/23, data is no longer integrating from mainframe. As a result, the DEWR bucket classification system is not updating accurately.

	11/1	11/2	11/3	11/4	11/5	11/6	11/7	11/8	11/9	11/10	11/11	11/12	11/13	11/14	11/15	11/16	11/17	11/18	11/19	11/20	11/21	11/22	11/23	11/24	11/25	11/26	11/27	11/28	11/29	11/30	Avg
Felony not filed	2092	2094	2089	2078	2093	2103	2103	2109	2112	2113	2136	2139	2162	2166	2168	2165	2181	2199	2199	2236	2228	2235	2239	2261	2281	2304	2316	2307	2311	2300	2184
Felony pend. Grand Jury	1636	1623	1604	1591	1588	1590	1574	1562	1554	1544	1534	1536	1537	1521	1514	1498	1487	1487	1487	1484	1465	1452	1440	1443	1444	1447	1447	1441	1437	1418	1513
Felony not incl. SJF	424	420	423	423	423	423	421	424	418	415	410	409	409	406	407	401	393	382	382	383	377	374	375	374	374	377	377	380	379	382	399
SJF pend dispo	82	82	84	81	81	81	81	79	76	75	72	72	72	70	70	69	69	65	65	66	65	67	65	65	65	66	68	64	70	71	72
PV-Felony	359	364	369	372	373	373	360	364	363	364	363	371	379	370	368	371	364	350	350	361	358	364	368	374	378	386	390	397	382	379	369
TDC over 10yr/appeal	434	438	426	438	439	437	429	436	439	427	438	438	438	446	451	429	446	455	455	422	431	445	453	453	453	453	458	421	414	440	
Bench Warrants	28	28	26	27	27	27	26	27	25	27	29	29	29	28	26	23	22	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	26	26	26	27	27	24	26
TDC<10yr/appeal	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
Sentd. SJF	110	110	111	112	112	111	113	102	107	106	107	107	107	109	101	101	104	103	103	104	106	102	98	98	98	98	99	101	98	102	105
SJF on appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SJF serv in co jail	8	10	11	13	12	10	14	14	15	14	16	15	15	16	14	17	20	20	20	13	15	16	12	10	9	9	10	11	13	13	14
Misd. not filed	195	178	165	166	175	189	182	185	177	171	166	190	205	204	196	197	184	178	178	209	192	181	201	205	227	245	258	238	214	200	195
Misd. filed pend.	45	44	48	47	46	49	48	41	42	36	41	44	47	49	34	32	30	36	36	40	44	29	31	31	36	38	44	44	34	35	40
Misd-PV	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Serv in jail (Cond of Prob)	27	28	29	32	24	22	23	23	26	30	37	28	24	24	27	31	34	40	40	27	30	30	41	35	29	24	23	24	25	27	29
Serving Co time & fines	20	22	24	22	19	19	19	19	22	21	22	20	20	21	20	22	23	24	24	19	19	16	15	15	15	14	14	13	12	10	19
Serv fines/CT cost only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Out of county/state	55	42	48	47	51	52	53	62	51	48	58	60	62	69	63	64	66	77	77	76	77	47	36	40	38	44	48	59	66	62	57
Parole Violations	213	213	205	207	210	210	198	198	204	197	201	205	206	205	199	200	200	198	198	197	199	195	199	200	200	203	201	205	206	197	202
SAFPF	122	118	124	128	128	110	113	112	101	106	107	107	107	110	112	113	120	124	124	99	84	84	88	88	88	88	88	91	95	98	106
Special Programs	131	140	141	141	141	142	138	142	148	147	151	151	149	135	136	135	141	148	148	146	126	128	133	133	133	133	133	115	119	121	138
Other- Incompetent	318	314	315	317	317	317	318	322	322	320	320	320	320	316	314	314	318	318	318	316	320	319	319	319	319	319	319	318	315	310	318
U.S. Marshal holds	12	13	13	13	13	12	12	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	12	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	9	12
Contempt-in Jail	7	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	6	8	7	8	8	8	9	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	6	6
Contempt-Furlough	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
PEACE Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TYC hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Immigration hold	13	4	3	13	1	1	5	6	10	3	10	1	0	8	4	9	4	11	11	0	14	8	9	3	1	0	0	7	8	10	6
Class C Misd. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contract inmates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. Military hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Default	53	45	61	58	53	56	63	51	52	70	63	68	53	45	64	60	87	73	73	57	46	79	81	64	76	67	61	51	52	45	61
Jail Population w/ Furlough added	6388	6342	6329	6336	6336	6344	6303	6300	6286	6257	6304	6332	6363	6340	6310	6274	6315	6336	6336	6302	6245	6216	6248	6256	6309	6361	6395	6370	6303	6234	6312
Actual Jail Population	6388	6342	6329	6336	6336	6344	6303	6300	6286	6257	6303	6332	6363	6340	6310	6274	6315	6336	6336	6302	6245	6216	6248	6256	6309	6360	6394	6370	6303	6234	6312

	* *										* *										L										H										SUM	
BOOK-INS	125	156	131	115	79	115	145	127	142	164	127	100	121	135	143	164	181	101	78	157	139	162	86	95	129	101	133	140	136	153	129	3880										
RELEASES	176	172	163	80	81	140	160	134	151	177	84	72	122	168	149	169	161	71	75	217	199	172	66	57	70	74	127	167	199	182	135	4035										
VARIANCE	51	16	32	-35	2	25	15	7	9	13	-43	-28	1	33	6	5	-20	-30	-3	60	60	10	-20	-38	-59	-27	-6	27	63	29	6	155										

44 DAYS AVG LENGTH OF STAY (RELEASES)	Total Bookins	3,880	Total Releases	4,035	Total Jail Bed Days	189,367	=	\$ 16,120,813
---	---------------	-------	----------------	-------	---------------------	---------	---	---------------

* = Network Issue

DEWR BUCKET COMPARISON

BUCKET NAMES	Nov. 2022 vs 2023	Nov 22	Nov 23	Sep 23	Oct 23	Nov 23	Oct. vs Nov.
Variance							
Jail Population Avg.	220	6092	6312	6608	6488	6312	-176
SPECIAL FOCUS							
Felony Not Filed	1284	900	2184	2048	2151	2184	33
Felony pend Grand Jury	1075	438	1513	1809	1702	1513	-189
Misd Not Filed	111	84	195	205	205	195	-10
Probation Viol. Felony	96	273	369	392	368	369	1
TDC>10yr/appeal	70	370	440	423	435	440	5
TRENDING UP							
Parole Violator only	31	171	202	291	238	202	-36
Serving County Time	5	14	19	17	21	19	-2
Contempt in Jail	3	3	6	6	7	6	-1
TRENDING DOWN							
Fel.pend excl.SJF	-1894	2293	399	330	327	399	72
State Jail Felony Pend.	-319	391	72	51	59	72	13
Misdemeanors pending	-94	134	40	52	44	40	-4
Incompetent	-45	363	318	352	335	318	-17
SAFPF	-33	139	106	130	116	106	-10
Special Programs	-23	161	138	129	130	138	8
US Marshal	-17	29	12	12	8	12	4
Out of Co/State	-13	70	57	59	58	57	-1
Serv as Cond of Prob.	-5	34	29	31	26	29	3
Sentenced to SJF	-4	109	105	141	138	105	-33
Bench Warrants	-4	30	26	35	31	26	-5
Immigration	-2	8	6	7	6	6	0
TDC<10yr/appeal	-1	4	3	2	3	3	0
SJF-Serv Co.Jail (12.44a)	-1	15	14	19	11	14	3
STABLE							
TYC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class C Misd. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Default	1	60	61	67	66	61	-5

** Review of 25 of the 32 DEWR buckets. 3 additional buckets added in 03/2022.

Data Disclaimer: At this time, we are facing data source/integration challenges due to the recent implementation of the Odyssey Criminal Court management system (Go Live date 05/22/23). Forvus transitioned to read only access at 6 pm on 05/16/23. Beginning 05/17/23, data is no longer integrating from mainframe. As a result, the DEWR bucket classification system is not updating accurately.

DEWR BUCKET MONTHLY AVERAGES

	Nov 21	Nov 22	Jan 23	Feb 23	Mar 23	Apr 23	May 23	Jun 23	Jul 23	Aug 23	Sep 23	Oct 23	Nov 23	2023Avg
Felony not filed	646	900	929	883	780	833	941	1563	1974	1954	2048	2151	2184	1476
Felony pend GJ	341	438	532	516	443	506	362	268	391	1453	1809	1702	1513	863
Fel.pend excl.SJF	2229	2293	2249	2260	2228	2085	2036	1835	1558	743	330	327	399	1459
State Jail Fel only	363	391	381	398	383	316	321	259	208	97	51	59	72	231
PV-Felony	319	273	283	264	265	264	291	401	413	424	392	368	369	339
TDC over 10yrs	376	370	264	316	385	395	360	340	398	405	423	435	440	378
Bench Warrants	41	30	28	27	28	29	32	33	27	29	35	31	26	30
TDC <10y/appeal	10	4	3	4	5	4	3	1	1	1	2	3	3	3
Sentenced SJF	108	109	107	117	160	157	150	113	126	131	141	138	105	131
Sentd SJF/appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SJF-Serv Co Jail	18	15	14	14	21	25	33	27	23	22	19	11	14	20
Misdmnr not filed	64	84	96	83	84	97	98	161	155	141	205	205	195	138
Misdmnr filed- pend	105	134	136	128	136	144	118	91	87	102	52	44	40	98
PV-Misdmnr	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Serv as Con of Prob.	27	34	25	22	27	31	24	23	27	26	31	26	29	26
Serv Co time/ fines	22	14	15	18	17	20	21	23	21	15	17	21	19	19
Serv fines/ fees only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Out of Co/State	59	70	60	81	90	81	70	74	61	54	59	58	57	68
Parole Vio.	202	171	170	179	193	195	223	223	230	247	291	238	202	217
SAFPF	125	139	143	153	140	146	142	120	140	161	130	116	106	136
Sp.Prgms	111	161	172	171	156	134	146	119	106	132	129	130	138	139
Incompetent	343	363	370	362	348	359	365	365	377	370	352	335	318	356
US Marshall	34	29	36	36	33	32	26	26	22	20	12	8	12	24
Cntmpt-in Jail	3	3	2	4	6	4	7	7	6	5	6	7	6	6
Furlough	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PEACE Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TYC hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Immigration hold	1	8	6	8	9	8	6	6	8	7	7	6	6	7
Class C only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contract	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Default	50	60	62	68	68	60	51	58	70	63	67	66	61	63
Furlough added	5597	6092	6084	6109	6005	5927	5827	6137	6429	6601	6608	6488	6312	6230
Jail Population Actual	5597	6092	6084	6109	6005	5927	5827	6137	6429	6601	6608	6488	6312	6230

INTAKES	123	135	137	143	149	151	139	146	143	148	142	134	129	142
RELEASES	132	133	134	143	154	151	136	138	131	149	140	139	135	141
VARIANCE	9	-2	-3	0	5	0	-3	-8	-12	1	-2	5	6	-1

Data Disclaimer: At this time, we are facing data source/integration challenges due to the recent implementation of the Odyssey Criminal Court management system (Go Live date 05/22/23). Forvus transitioned to read only access at 6 pm on 05/16/23. Beginning 05/17/23, data is no longer integrating from mainframe. As a result, the DEWR bucket classification system is not updating accurately.

Dallas County Pretrial Services

Smart Justice Unit

November 2023 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Supervised Cases Start Of Month	Total New Granted Defendants	# New Misd Bonds	# New Felony Bonds	Initial MH # Reviewed	# Initially Eligible	# Orders Signed	Presented for Hearing	Denied by Judge	Other	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Successful Close outs	Unsuccessful Close outs	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	Active CD Count	Supervised SJ Cases End Of Month
Nov-2022	301	31	8	36	1600	173	233	39	8	0	20	9	2	0	16	23			26	293
Dec-2022	293	31	7	40	1593	179	241	49	15	3	21	4	5	1	11	29			33	284
Jan-2023	284	23	11	22	1652	176	242	41	15	3	11	6	6	0	16	35			30	256
Feb-2023	256	34	12	30	1938	245	324	66	29	3	19	9	6	0	15	25			29	250
Mar-2023	250	45	15	50	2205	228	308	81	29	7	26	12	6	1	23	33			46	239
April-2023	239	34	10	37	2060	220	315	57	17	6	21	8	5	0	22	11			46	240
May-2023	240	43	17	47	2284	234	300	69	19	7	31	7	4	1	11	27			44	245
Jun-2023	245	40	18	41	2233	236	303	63	21	2	28	6	6	0	14	26			41	245
Jul-2023	245	28	9	24	2323	234	303	43	11	4	21	5	2	0	11	25			34	237
Aug-2023	237	31	12	31	2382	257	342	46	14	1	16	7	6	2	18	16	5	27	32	234
Sep-2023	234	27	13	25	2313	244	346	44	17	0	13	4	8	2	15	23	8	25	24	223
Oct-2023	223	26	10	24	2336	204	264	47	19	2	15	7	4	0	14	20	7	34	24	215
Nov-2023	215	26	9	29	2181	237	313	41	15	0	15	7	4	0	9	19	14	30	20	213

April 2017- Present

	Initial MH #	Eligible	# Orders Signed	Presented	Denied	Other	Granted	Misd	Felony
Totals:	125,087	15,446	20,059	3,922	803	123	2,995	1,464	2,764

Dallas County Pretrial Services
Electronic Monitoring Unit
November 2023 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month (ELM/ASP)	TOTAL NEW BOND ELM DEFENDANTS	TOTAL NEW ASP DEFENDANTS	SUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	UNSUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	Total Jail Bed Days x Cost	Total Jail Bed Expenses Saved	Total Supervised Cases End Of Month (ELM/ASP)
Nov-2022	724	144	8	116	37	3	130	20,952 x \$66.16	\$1,386,184.32	723
Dec-2022	723	119	6	96	46	3	144	20,260 x \$66.16	\$1,340,401.30	706
Jan-2023	706	125	11	119	48	16	129	20,260 x \$66.16	\$1,340,997.04	675
Feb-2023	675	143	8	117	46	12	134	22,328 x \$66.16	\$1,477,220.48	663
Mar-2023	663	86	10	83	41	11	163	19,545 x \$66.16	\$1,293,097.20	635
Apr-2023	635	119	6	91	43	2	127	18,446 x \$66.16	\$1,220,387.36	626
May-2023	626	88	5	91	48	1	143	18,312 x \$66.16	\$1,211,521.92	580
Jun-2023	580	81	1	56	35	4	118	16,670 x \$66.16	\$1,102,887.20	571
Jul-2023	571	70	3	70	32	7	155	16,820 x \$66.16	\$1,112,811.20	542
Aug-2023	542	105	8	72	27	3	155	16,559 X 66.16	\$1,095,543.44	556
Sep-2023	556	81	13	65	38	7	128	15,979 x 66.16	\$1,057,170.64	547
Oct-2023	547	72	3	77	39	9	102	15,667 x \$85.13	\$1,333,731.71	506
Nov-2023	506	95	6	50	29	7	90	14,923 x \$85.13	\$1,270,394.99	528

Dallas County Pretrial Services
Alcohol Monitoring Unit
November 2023 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month	Pending Bond Orders (Assigned + Unassigned)	Total New Cases Activated	Number of Successful Closeouts	Number of Unsuccessful Closeouts	Count of Violations Submitted to Court	Count of Installation notices submitted	Count of Supervised Cases (End of Month)	Supervision Fees Collected
Nov-2022	1575	223	139	133	25	226	145	1556	\$13,221.00
Dec-22	1556	254	124	85	19	255	142	1576	\$15,708.00
Jan-23	1576	257	132	123	15	219	137	1570	\$16,991.95
Feb-23	1570	274	128	100	11	244	123	1587	\$14,181.95
Mar-23	1587	284	174	153	10	228	156	1598	\$16,876.00
Apr-23	1598	222	190	137	13	246	155	1638	\$13,334.95
23-May	1638	219	166	136	11	258	151	1657	\$16,082.00
23-Jun	1657	224	187	136	1	281	211	1707	\$14,128.00
23-Jul	1707	178	95	133	26	305	115	1643	\$13,370.50
23-Aug	1643	172	117	177	9	233	97	1574	\$13,591.00
23-Sep	1574	204	109	120	16	231	92	1547	\$12,573.21
23-Oct	1547	185	140	180	26	263	133	1481	\$14,569.21
23-Nov	1481	210	140	114	10	205	137	1486	\$12,953.00

Dallas County Pretrial Services

General PT Bond Supervision Unit

November 2023 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month	Total New PT Bond Defendants	Total New Court Ordered Cases	New PTI cases	# of Felony Bonds	# of Mis Bonds	Successful Close outs	Unsuccessful Close outs	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	Total Interviews Conducted	Average dail Jail book in	Fees Collected	Fees Waived	Total Supervised Cases End Of Month
Nov-22	138	9	3	0	4	5	7	2			46	135	\$210.00	\$725.00	141
Dec-22	141	6	1	0	2	4	7	3			20	129	\$30.00	\$227.50	138
Jan-23	138	13	5	0	9	6	6	6			30	137	\$275.00	\$1,465.00	144
Feb-23	144	8	2	0	7	3	8	5			37	143	\$0.00	\$1,305.00	141
Mar-23	141	12	4	1	5	11	14	9			30	149	\$435.00	\$510.00	135
Apr-23	135	10	4	3	4	6	9	6			22	151	\$1,025.00	\$250.00	137
May-23	137	14	6	7	7	13	6	7			48	139	\$510.00	\$245.00	151
Jun-23	151	17	1	3	14	11	3	8			48	146	\$1,025.00	\$715.00	161
Jul-23	161	18	4	3	9	15	12	0			59	143	\$535.00	\$792.50	174
Aug-23	174	13	5	5	14	7	17	3			57	148	\$490.00	\$1,320.00	177
Sep-23	177	14	0	3	9	8	8	8	3	16	64	142	\$935.00	\$735.00	178
Oct-23	178	13	5	4	9	8	16	6	2	14	50	134	\$645.00	\$427.50	178
Nov-23	178	10	2	4	6	4	4	11	2	12	25	129	\$565.00	\$275.00	179

Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board

Presenter

Bill Gipson, Logistics & Critical Infrastructure Chief

Welcome

- Introduction
- Mission and Vision
 - 24/7 Hour Response Operation
 - Reduction of injury or loss of life and property
 - Protect Dallas County residents from all hazards by:
 - Expertise
 - Leadership and Advocacy
 - Coordination of Resources
 - Situational Awareness

Dallas County Homeland Security & Emergency Management Responsibilities

- Comprehensive Disaster Planning
 - Works closely with county departments, local jurisdictions, emergency responders, private and public sectors
- Current Programs
 - Mitigation Program: Municipal Partners
 - Conducts Hazard Analysis Risk Assessments
 - Outlines potential threats and hazards for Dallas County
 - Develops plans to prevent and or survive major emergency event(s)
 - Preparedness Program
 - Emergency Planning & Training and Exercises
- Intelligence & Information Sharing
 - Fusion Center
 - Federal Partners

Dallas County Homeland Security & Emergency Management Responsibilities Continued

- Response Program
 - Maintains a state of emergency operational readiness and vigilance
 - Responds to the scene of an emergency or city Emergency Operations Center (EOC) when requested
 - The EOC is fully equipped and readily available for activation
 - The EOC serves as a central location to orchestrate a county wide emergency event
 - Serves as a link between municipalities, state and federal agencies for resource management
 - On-Call Duty Officer
- Recovery Program
 - Following a major event HSEM partners with
 - Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
 - Texas Division of Emergency Management (TDEM)
 - Participates in damage assessments, providing emergency resources, tracking disaster cost, and FEMA reimbursement

Questions

Bill Gipson

Logistics & Critical Infrastructure Section Chief

214.653.6930

Billy.Gipson@dallascounty.org