



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board  
Meeting Agenda**

March 23, 2026, 2:30 p.m.  
Oak Cliff Government Center  
First floor conference room,  
702 E Jefferson Blvd, Dallas, TX 75203

- I. **Welcome and Introductions** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- II. **Membership & Infrastructure\*** – Ellyce Lindberg Co-Chair, CJAB
- III. **Minutes Review/Approval\*** – Commissioner Elba Garcia-Chair, CJAB
- IV. **Presentations**
  - **The Evolution of Jail Population Management (JPM)**– LaShonda Jefferson
- V. **Committee Project Updates**
  - **Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management Committee** – Charlene Randolph and LaShonda Jefferson
  - **Fair Defense Committee** – Christina Dean
  - **Justice of the Peace** – Judge Valencia Nash
  - **Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence** –Ellyce Lindberg
  - **Pretrial Committee** –Jeff Segura
  - **Reentry Committee** – Christina Melton Crain
  - **Research Committee** – Dr. Michael Noyes
- VI. **Program Update**
  - **Electronic Data Reporting (EDR) Update** – Charlene Randolph and Ellyce Lindberg
- VII. **Public Comments**
- VIII. **Announcement**
- IX. **Next Meeting Schedule**
  - June 15, 2026



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board  
General Membership Minutes for Monday, December 15, 2025**

**Welcome & Introductions:**

Commissioner Dr. Elba Garcia called the meeting to order at 2:33 PM. All in attendance made customary introductions.

**Membership & Infrastructure:**

Commissioner Garcia announced and read the nomination for Juvenile Committee Chair. Michael O'Brien (Chief of the Juvenile Division, Dallas County District Attorney's Office) was nominated to serve as Chair of the Juvenile Committee. Commissioner Garcia summarized Mr. O'Brien's professional background and qualifications, including his tenure in the DA's Office and specialization in juvenile law. A motion to appoint Mr. O'Brien as Chair was made and seconded. With no discussion or objections, the appointment was approved unanimously, and Mr. O'Brien was confirmed as CJAB's Juvenile Committee Chair.

**Meeting Minutes:**

The CJAB General Membership minutes from September 22, 2025 were distributed in advance. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Dr. Christina Melton-Crain and seconded by Ms. LaShonda Jefferson. With no questions, edits, or objections, the motion carried unanimously.

**Presentation:**

**Dallas County District Attorney's Office – Human Trafficking Division**

Commissioner Garcia introduced the agenda item for the Dallas County District Attorney's Office Human Trafficking Division. Ms. Ellyce Lindberg provided an introduction of Soni Lewis, noting her prosecutorial experience and current role as Chief of the Human Trafficking Division.

Ms. Lewis provided an overview of the Human Trafficking Division, explaining that the division prosecutes traffickers of both children and adults, and also handles solicitation cases and promotion/aggravated promotion of prostitution cases. She stated the division currently consists of one dedicated prosecutor (herself), supported by an investigator and an advocate, and she emphasized the division's need for additional personnel and sustainable funding to meet workload demands. Ms. Lewis noted the unit is funded through an Attorney General grant

and is required to submit quarterly and annual data regarding case outcomes, including indictments and dispositions.

Ms. Lewis explained that the division's goal is to remain involved from pre-indictment through final disposition, including collaboration with law enforcement during investigations, advising on evidence development, supporting operations when appropriate, assisting with victim services coordination, and handling bond hearings. She stated that a primary challenge in trafficking prosecutions is victim instability, including victims who are unavailable or reluctant to participate through the duration of a case. As a result, the division emphasizes collecting corroborating evidence early (e.g., cell phone records, hotel records, vehicle evidence, and other documentation) to strengthen cases even when victim testimony is limited.

Ms. Lewis described coordination across divisions for case processing, explaining that cases involving minors are routed through the Child Abuse/Sex Assault divisions and adult cases are supported through the Sex Assault division, with prosecutors assisting in moving trafficking-related cases through the courts. She noted that trafficking cases are complex and resource-intensive and require significant time from prosecutors, investigators, advocates, and detectives to locate victims, support victims, and build trial-ready cases.

Ms. Lewis reported the division tried three human trafficking cases in the prior year, resulting in convictions in all three cases, each with sentences exceeding 18 years. She provided an example illustrating "familial trafficking," noting that trafficking can involve family members or caretakers. Ms. Lewis emphasized the division anticipates increased trafficking-related activity in Dallas County in connection with the 2026 World Cup and stated the office is seeking to expand staffing and resources ahead of that period.

During discussion, members asked about dispositions and program coordination. Ms. Lewis reported the unit had handled over 100 plea dispositions and noted a significant queue of solicitation cases awaiting indictment. Stakeholders discussed the STOP program, clarifying that solicitation defendants are generally required to complete STOP as part of probation conditions, and the program is expanding capacity and evaluating outcomes, including a planned recidivism study.

Members also discussed education and awareness efforts tied to trafficking prevention, including collaboration with community partners and businesses (including hotels), training initiatives supported through the Attorney General's resources, and strategies to help the public recognize trafficking indicators. Ms. Lewis noted trafficking often involves grooming through social media and emphasized the importance of parental awareness, technology monitoring, and education to better identify risk factors. Members discussed the value of receiving information from community programs and providers when trafficking concerns arise and emphasized that timely reporting and coordination can support investigations and victim assistance.

## **Committee Project Updates:**

### **Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management**

Ms. LaShonda Jefferson provided the committee update and noted the December committee meeting was canceled; however, she referenced the current CJAB packet materials (pages 11–19) and highlighted the new reporting tables on page 11, which identify the top arresting agencies and related offense trends. Ms. Jefferson reported the November 2025 average daily jail population was 6,960 and the 2025 year-to-date average was approximately 6,836. She reported the jail population on the meeting date was 6,905, representing roughly 92% of total maximum capacity and reflecting a downward seasonal trend often seen in the fourth quarter. Ms. Jefferson reported that for the month to date, releases exceeded bookings, resulting in a net reduction.

Ms. Jefferson noted emerging population and operational trends, including a continued increase in the female population (reported as approximately 22% of bookings compared to prior averages nearer 20%) and an increase in individuals with suspected behavioral health needs (reported at approximately 53% compared to a typical baseline near 50%). She reported that the prior day reflected approximately 1,055 women in custody (approximately 15% of the jail population) with an average length of stay of approximately 99 days, creating management challenges related to housing classification and compliance with jail standards requiring separation of certain populations.

Ms. Jefferson described ongoing efforts by the Jail Population Management team, including regular review of women in custody, requests for court settings, case filing status updates, competency updates, and addressing holds that prevent release. She also reported that the average jail length-of-stay metric remains a system focus, and she referenced estimated cost impacts associated with reducing detention time following jail population inquiries. Ms. Jefferson reported that the team reviewed a high volume of individuals during the month, with a portion requiring action and inquiry notifications to justice system partners. She noted continued responsiveness to ad hoc data requests and collaboration with the Commissioner's Office and County partners related to booking, immigration, and budget-related data. Ms. Jefferson reported that two temporary employees began on December 1 and were in training and noted the department continued efforts to fill a vacant jail population coordinator position. The next committee meeting was scheduled for Friday, January 16, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

### **Fair Defense**

Ms. Christina Dean from the Public Defender's Office reported the office completed a reorganization and established its top management structure. The office reported ongoing work to develop a collaborative juvenile workgroup involving partners such as the DA's Office, Dallas ISD, Dallas ISD Police, Café

Momentum, and additional community partners, with the intent to generate new diversion ideas and strengthen early intervention options.

The office reported continued efforts to engage in homelessness-related collaboration, including coordination with local homeless response partners, and expressed interest in adapting outreach models used in other jurisdictions. Ms. Dean also reported continued work related to the Miami Model, including ongoing discussions with Miami partners, and stated that legislative changes remain a key prerequisite to expanding diversion at early intercept points. Ms. Dean also noted continued professional development efforts through state and national defense leadership training programs.

### **Justice of the Peace**

Judge Valencia Nash provided an update and reported ongoing operational challenges related to the Odyssey case management system, including outages and connectivity issues. Judge Nash reported that outages continued to be documented and communicated to the technology team and noted efforts to improve reporting accuracy, including addressing past conversion issues affecting data submissions and OCA reporting. Judge Nash noted that updates and communications from technology staff have improved and that outage duration has been shorter than in prior instances.

### **Law Enforcement and Jurisprudence**

Ms. Ellyce Lindberg provided an update indicating that cross-system learning efforts related to the Miami Model and deflection strategies continue. Judge Kim Nesbit reported that deflection models observed elsewhere consistently begin with law enforcement engagement at the earliest point of contact and require appropriate laws, facilities, and community-based response options to serve as alternatives to arrest and jail. Additional discussion highlighted the importance of including Justice of the Peace courts and constable offices in mental health deflection planning, given their role in mental health detention warrants and related processes. Judge Nash highlighted the importance of reducing siloed efforts and improving coordination across county systems to ensure shared goals and efficiency.

### **Pretrial Services**

Mr. Jeff Segura reported Pretrial Services continued day-to-day operations and was conducting minor restructuring intended to improve operational efficiency. He reported continued engagement with district judges to explore expanded use of pretrial release and supervision options and stated the program continues to identify opportunities for release at magistration, including releases occurring during weekends and other nontraditional windows. Mr. Segura also noted interest in continued collaboration with community partners to expand safe release opportunities.

## **Reentry**

Ms. Christina Crain provided the Reentry update and reported ongoing work on the Focused Deterrence initiative in partnership with law enforcement, emphasizing engagement with individuals assessed as high risk for violence and connection to supportive services to reduce future harm. She reported continued employer outreach and stated multiple potential employer partners were being developed to support job placement opportunities. Ms. Crain also reported continued peer mentoring services, including weekly availability of a peer mentor providing lived-experience counseling and support to interested clients. In addition, she reported a developing partnership with UT Southwestern focused on HIV/AIDS prevention education, including outreach related to risk behaviors such as unprotected sexual activity, tattooing, and needle sharing. She noted that health coaches are available weekly and, when eligible, clients may be connected to preventive health resources, including PrEP.

## **Program Update:**

### **Austin Street Transition Center**

Commissioner Garcia introduced Daniel Roby, CEO of Austin Street Center, and noted the program update would focus on the Transition Center and its role in expanding alternatives to incarceration and enhancing coordinated service response.

Mr. Roby provided an overview of Austin Street Center operations, describing the shelter as one of Dallas' largest single-site emergency shelters and a service hub offering extensive programming and partner-based services. He noted the campus provides access to numerous weekly activities and service partners supporting medical care, mental health services, recovery support, benefits navigation, employment services, housing-focused case management, diversion, and transportation resources. Mr. Roby also referenced Austin Street's role in inclement weather shelter operations.

Mr. Roby then presented the Transition Center pilot, describing it as an effort to "break the cycle" between homelessness, crisis response, hospitals, shelters, and the justice system by creating a time-limited stabilization pathway supported through local mental health authority funding. He reported the pilot is currently operating Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., with a planned expansion to 24/7 operations by February, pending staffing. He explained the pilot is being implemented initially in partnership with DART Police, including coordination with DART's MDRT team, and described this phased approach as intended to ensure law enforcement drop-offs are efficient and consistent before expanding to additional agencies.

Mr. Roby reported the program offers a service-rich environment focused on stabilization, triage, crisis navigation, and connection to longer-term solutions,

with a typical pathway of approximately 10 days. He described program principles including prioritizing alternatives to jail when appropriate, connecting individuals to the right resources at the right time, and minimizing recidivism by addressing underlying needs through coordinated service engagement.

Mr. Roby shared example outcomes and early impact, including individuals connected to treatment, shelter placement, family reunification, medical care, hotel placement and follow-up assisted living support, and sober living. He also shared early outcome data from DART referrals since opening in mid-October, including service destinations and individuals who absconded, and noted the program's reported success rate based on those outcomes. Stakeholders discussed program eligibility, voluntary participation, criteria for activities of daily living, and benefits navigation supports. Mr. Roby confirmed the program is voluntary and that initial intake is coordinated through law enforcement referral.

Mr. Roby also referenced broader planning connected to the County's support of a related statewide grant application aimed at strengthening long-term collaboration across agencies. He stated the planning timeline includes design work beginning in January, a potential award decision in May, and anticipated implementation thereafter, which would provide additional opportunities for coordinated collaboration.

**Public Comments:**

No public comments were received.

**Announcements:**

Commissioner Garcia announced the next CJAB General Membership meeting is scheduled for March 23, 2026, at the same location and time. Holiday greetings and appreciation were expressed to staff and partners supporting CJAB operations and collaboration.

**Adjournment:**

A motion to adjourn was made, and the meeting was adjourned.



Presenter:

**LaShonda Jefferson**

Assistant Director, Dallas County Criminal Justice Department

LaShonda Jefferson began serving in the Dallas County Criminal Justice Department in 2019 and was promoted to Assistant Director in 2023, where she oversees Jail Population Management (JPM) and supervises county staff. In this role, she has helped revolutionize jail population management by strengthening collaboration among internal and external criminal justice stakeholders. By digging into system processes and data, she works with partners to address systemic barriers, legislative changes, and operational bottlenecks that affect the timely administration of justice. Her work focuses on reducing system silos to improve efficiency, minimize unnecessary detention, and reduce unnecessary costs to the county while ensuring public safety.


Ms. Jefferson began her criminal justice career in community corrections as a Program Coordinator and Operations Manager, where she supervised staff and managed a caseload of adult male felony offenders, including individuals with dual-diagnosis substance use and behavioral health needs. She was responsible for program assessments and individualized plan development, while coordinating employment partnerships and community resources to support housing, employment, and financial stability for successful community reintegration.

She joined Dallas County in 2017, with the Pretrial Services Department, where she helped develop policies, procedures, and data-tracking metrics for the county's Mental Health Personal Recognizance (PR) Bond program. This initiative allowed eligible individuals to be released from jail while connecting those with behavioral health diagnoses to treatment and community resources as they awaited case resolution. The tracking framework she helped establish continues to be used to monitor program performance and inform policy improvements.

Ms. Jefferson actively contributes to several county committees and collaborative initiatives, including the Dallas County Coalition of Community Justice Agencies, the Behavioral Health Leadership Team, Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board (CJAB), the 530C Specialty Court Subcommittee, Local Data Advisory Committee (EDR), Jail Sanitation Committee, the CCP 16.22 Oversight Committee, and the Information Technology Executive Governance Committee, to name a few. Through these efforts, she supports cross-system coordination aimed at improving behavioral health responses and criminal justice system efficiency.

With more than two decades of experience in the criminal justice field, Ms. Jefferson holds a Bachelor of Science in Criminal Justice and Criminology from Metropolitan State University of Denver. She is a graduate of the inaugural Dallas County Leadership Academy (2020) and the National Association of Counties (NACo) Professional Development Academy (2021). In 2020, she was recognized as the Dallas County Employee of the Year for Courts and Justice Administration. She is currently pursuing a Master's degree in Public Leadership and Administration at the University of North Texas at Dallas.

Ms. Jefferson believes strongly in continuous professional development and embraces the philosophy that what got you HERE will not get you THERE, remaining committed to growth, innovation, and leadership in public service.



Dallas County,  
Texas

# Dallas County Criminal Justice Department (CJD)

# The Evolution of Jail Population Management (JPM)



**PRESENTED BY:**  
LaShonda Jefferson, Assistant Director, JPM

**DATE:**  
March 23, 2026

Criminal  
Justice  
Advisory  
Board  
(CJAB)  
Presentation

# Agenda

Overview of the Dallas County (DC) Jail Population Management (JPM) Team [↗](#)

---

CJD Mission and Goals	01	Jail Population Management	07
CJD Leadership	02	JPM Data Tracking Effort	08
CJD Org Chart	03	Detention Early Warning report (DEWR)	09
DC Justice Partners	04	No Collaboration	10
Breakdown in JPM	05	Call to Action	11
Evolution of JPM	06	Collaborative Partners	12
		Thank you! Q&A	13

# Who is Criminal Justice Department (CJD)

Established in 2006 as a neutral entity by the Dallas County (DC) Commissioner's Court

## Mission:

-Facilitate **strategic planning** with criminal justice and community stakeholders to **reduce recidivism** and victimization

## Goals:

-**Manage and reduce the jail population** (via data, diversion programs, coordinated efforts with other criminal justice agencies and community partners)

-Ensure sufficient planning, **coordination**, administrative support, and fiscal management within Dallas County special needs programs for persons involved in the criminal justice system




# Who is Criminal Justice Department (CJD)

**Director : Ms. Charlene Randolph**


A phenomenal leader guiding the department's mission to improve justice system coordination, efficiency, and outcomes.

<i>CJD Units</i>	<i>Assistant Director</i>	<i>Key Functions</i>
<b>Behavioral Health Planning Unit</b>	<b>Laura Edmonds</b>	Oversees Competency <b>coordination</b> , Pretrial Diversion, and Housing Initiatives. Focuses on assessing individuals and linking them to Specialty Courts, Diversion Programs, and housing services for the unsheltered population.
<b>Criminal Justice Planning Unit</b>	<b>Deborah Hill</b>	Provides support for the Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board and manages Specialty Court (Divert, MHJD/SET, Legacy, 530 fund) <b>coordination</b> and planning initiatives.
<b>Mental Health Assessment Unit</b>	<b>Yordanos Melake</b>	Ensures Dallas County <b>coordination</b> for compliance with Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 16.22, requiring mental health assessments for individuals with mental illness or intellectual disabilities. Supports the Behavioral Health Leadership Team (BHLT). Also, manages the contractor performing assessments in accordance with TX CCP Article 17.032, authorizing personal bonds for qualifying individuals.
<b>Jail Population Management Unit</b>	<b>LaShonda Jefferson</b>	Works proactively to identify operational inefficiencies and <b>coordinate</b> stakeholder reviews to help prevent justice delays, reduce unnecessary cost to the county, and maintain public safety.

# Criminal Justice Department Org Chart



# Dallas County Justice System Partners



# Breakdowns in Jail Population Management (JPM)

*"YOU CAN'T EXPECT WHAT YOU DO NOT INSPECT!" -COMMISSIONER JOHN WILEY PRICE*

- Each department, office, and agency has its own mandate and completes its assigned role within the criminal justice process.

- Once a step is completed, agencies rely on other parts of the system to carry out the next step.

- Breakdowns can occur due to process changes, communication gaps, or procedural barriers, and these issues may go unnoticed.

- Current data systems do not provide alerts when a required action has not occurred.

- CJD does not govern any other stakeholder group.

- Focus is on improving system collaboration and communication across agencies.

- The JPM team reviews the entire system from the perspective of the individual defendant to ensure nothing is impeding case progress or movement.

- JPM team convene stakeholders when inefficiencies are identified to discuss issues and develop solutions.


**COLLABORATION and GOOD RELATIONSHIPS are key!**




# Jail Population Management (JPM)

## The Evolution: How did we get here?

### SOME HISTORICAL CHANGES WHICH HAVE RESULTED IN NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON DC JAIL POPULATION LEVELS:



# Jail Population Management (JPM)



## Common Results of JPM Inquiries:

- Missing Court orders are identified and sent to DMU
- Unset jail cases are set for court
- Unnecessary or Competing Holds preventing release are addressed and removed
- Individuals are released timely to special programs
- Parole revocation/release teletypes are resent for processing
- TDC Judgement Packets are located and processed
- Updates are provided for individuals who have been paper ready for TDC over 45 days.



# JPM Data Tracking

Efforts have been tracked since 2023.

## Criminal Justice Department Jail Population Management Team - Data Tracking Efforts

Data Tracking					
	# Individuals Researched	# Initial Inquiries Sent	# Follow-up Inquiries Sent	Total Inquiries	% of inquiries
April - December 2023	18,434	3,331	2,677	6,008	33%
2024	37,148	9,427	3,369	12,796	34%
2025	43,765	12,373	3,436	15,809	36%
Jan. - Feb. 2026	8,046	2,489	376	2,865	36%
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>107,393</b>	<b>27,620</b>	<b>9,858</b>	<b>37,478</b>	<b>35%</b>

Releases								
	# of individuals released	Avg # Days in Jail from Bookin to JPM Inquiry	Total Cost from Bookin to JPM Inquiry	Avg # Days in Jail from JPM inquiry to release	Total Cost from JPM inquiry to release	Avg # of Days in Jail	Total Cost	\$ Difference post JPM inquiry
June - December 2023	1,815	88	\$11,661,930	38	\$5,071,734	126	\$16,733,664	\$6,590,196
2024	5,828	96	\$48,044,770	37	\$18,647,741	133	\$66,692,511	\$29,397,029
2025	7,906	99	\$69,560,668	50	\$35,388,364	149	\$104,949,032	\$34,172,304
Jan. - Feb. 2026	1,374	81	\$10,630,599	44	\$5,778,384	125	\$16,408,983	\$4,852,215
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>16,923</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>\$139,897,967</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>\$64,886,223</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>\$204,784,190</b>	<b>\$75,011,744</b>



**Notes:**  
Data tracking are approximations based upon the manual review of daily tracking efforts cross referenced with Microsoft Outlook.


\*\*\*Some individuals may remain in custody for a period of time before a JPM inquiry is warranted (pending court settings or bond not yet posted). JPM notifies criminal justice stakeholders and partners when action appears necessary to facilitate case or individual movement. Once cases are resolved or bonds are posted, the JPM team submits email inquiries to address holds or document-processing delays to mitigate potential transfer or release delays.

# Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR):

The New DEWR is a vital TOOL used as a starting point to help manage jail population and offers a valuable snapshot of the Dallas County jail population (JP) based on the highest -level unresolved charge, manual research is still required to determine everything each individual has going on. The DEWR maps Pre-Disposition Felonies & Misdemeanors, as well as when an individual is awaiting release or transfers.

## Dallas County Jail Population (JP) Snapshot:

- On March 9, 2026, our JP was 7,246; 97% max capacity
- 64% - Felony Offenders
- 3% - Misdemeanor Offenders
- 33% - Awaiting Transfer/Release



01



**DEFENDANTS LANGUISH  
IN DALLAS COUNTY JAIL/  
SYSTEM LONGER THAN  
NEEDED**

- \$95.58 per day; \$20 million per month (last year, \$18 million/ month)
- Potential loss of work and other negative impacts (accused doesn't equal convicted; come cases are dismissed)
- Unfair and Unjust
- Lawsuits


02



**SYSTEM INEFFICIENCIES**

- Information is slow/ in accurate
- Coordination is impossible
- Frustrated partners
- Loss of public trust


03



**INABILITY TO MEET  
LEGISLATIVE AND  
FUNDING MANDATES**

- Some Legislation tied to funding in county
- Non-compliant with law
- Loss of grant funding

04



**RECIDIVISM/ LACK OF  
UNMET NEEDS FOR  
DEFENDANTS (MH/ SUB  
ABUSE NEEDS)**

- Lack of collaboration means people will likely come back to jail

**What Happens When There is NO Collaboration?**



# Urgent Call to Action:



- We need all indicted jail cases to be set for court and actively working toward case resolution (less pass slips).
- Expedite all eligible releases expedited without delay - TDC/ State Hospitals/ Parole/ Probation/ Special Programs/ Pretrial.
- We need defense attorneys to follow-up and ensure all disposition documentation is processed timely.
- We need Law Enforcement Agencies (LEAs) to submit cases **TIMELY** to the DAs office.
- We need alternatives to jail (decriminalize mental illness - connect individuals to community resources)



# Collaborative Partners

- Thank you for your ongoing support, teamwork, and responsiveness. Our success as a county depends on it!

- Through combined collaborative efforts, we've maintained jail populations below capacity, avoiding the need to outsource custody to other jurisdictions.

- We each play an important role in minimizing the potential for lawsuits against the county!

# Questions and Discussion.

# Thank You!

 [jailpopulationmanagement@dallascounty.org](mailto:jailpopulationmanagement@dallascounty.org)

 <https://www.dallascounty.org/departments/criminal-justice/jail-population.php>



Dallas County  
CJD, JPM

We welcome your questions, feedback, and ideas for continued collaboration. Please reach out to discuss partnership opportunities or learn more about our work.

16  
of 16

# New Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)-Glossary

**The New DEWR is a 40-line item report which provides a snapshot of the Dallas County Jail Population by categorizing each individual into one “bucket” at a time, based on their highest-level unresolved charge (reportable offense), if one defendant has multiple charges, the report reflects highest unresolved offense, until all the individuals charges are disposed. Once all charges are resolved, the individual moves into the appropriate awaiting release or transfer bucket. The DEWR maps Pre-Disposition Felonies & Misdemeanors, as well as when an individual is awaiting release or transfers.**

Bucket Names	Descriptions
1 Felony Cases Not Received from LEA	An individual arrested for a felony offense; their case has not been sent to the District Attorney’s Office (DAO) from the charging Law Enforcement Agency (LEA).
2 Felony Not Accepted	An individual arrested for a felony offense whose case has been sent by an LEA to the DAO; however, the case has not yet been accepted by the DAO.
3 Felony Rejected Cases	An individual whose felony case has been sent by an LEA to the DAO; however, the case has been rejected by the DAO.
4 Felony Pending Grand Jury (GJ)	An individual whose felony charge case was accepted by DAO; however, their case is pending awaiting a review by the GJ decision.
5 Felony EXCLUDING SJF Pending Dispo	An individual whose felony case, excluding State Jail Felonies (SJF), has been indicted by a GJ and is now pending court disposition.
6 SJF pending disposition	An individual whose SJF case, which has been indicted by a GJ, is now pending court disposition.
7 Probation Violation (PV) Felony	An individual, who previously received felony probation, is now accused of violating a term/condition of their probation.
8 Misdemeanor Cases Not Received From LEA	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has not been sent to the DAO from the LEA.
9 Misdemeanor Not Accepted	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has been sent to the DAO from the LEA, but their case has not yet been accepted by the DAO.
10 Misdemeanor Rejected Cases	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has been sent from the LEA to the DAO, but their case has been rejected by the DAO.
11 Misdemeanor Filed Pending Dispo	An individual whose misdemeanor case was officially filed in a court, and is pending court disposition.
12 Misdemeanor PV	An individual, who previously received misdemeanor probation, is now accused of violating a term/condition of their probation.
13 Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) over 10 years on appeal or TDC no appeal	An individual convicted of a felony offense and sentenced to more than 10 years in TDCJ; case may or may not be on appeal.
14 Bench Warrants	An Individual incarcerated, who is being held in Dallas County Jail as a witness in (another defendant’s) case; will be transferred back to TDCJ once the case is resolved.
15 TDCJ 10 years or less on appeal	An individual sentenced to TDCJ, whose sentence is less than 10 years, and is appealing their sentence.
16 TDCJ 10 years or less NOT on appeal	An individual sentenced to TDCJ, whose sentence is less than 10 years, and is NOT appealing their sentence.
17 Parole/Proclamation	An individual, whose parole has been revoked, and is awaiting transfer to TDCJ.
18 Sentenced to SJF	An individual convicted of a State Jail Felony offense; sentenced to a State Jail Facility, awaiting transfer.
19 SJF on appeal	An individual convicted of a State Jail Felony offense, and has filed an appeal.
20 SJF serving in county jail	An individual indicted for a State Jail Felony offense, sentenced to serve time in the County Jail or ordered to serve days in the County Jail as a condition of probation.
21 Parole Violations	An individual who is on parole and accused of violating a term/condition of their felony parole.
22 Incompetent	An individual accused of a felony or misdemeanor offense, who is being held in County Jail awaiting transfer to a State Mental Health Facility.
23 Competency Pending	An individual accused of a felony or misdemeanor offense, and a Competency Evaluation has been ordered or is pending completion.
24 SAFPF (Substance Abuse Felony Punishment Facility)	An individual on probation for a felony offense, who is ordered to a substance abuse treatment facility.
25 Special Programs	An individual being held for Wilmer Judicial Treatment Center, ELM, or other community treatment program.
26 Out of County Hold	An individual being held for another Texas county or Jurisdiction. Upon completion of their Dallas County Jail time, LEA with the hold will typically have 10 days to pick up the individual or they are released
27 Out of State Hold	An individual being held for another State or Jurisdiction.
28 Immigration Hold	An individual being detained/held for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
29 U.S. Marshals Holds	An individual being held for the US Marshals (via a contract with the County or a federal charge).
30 Serving County Time as a Condition of Probation	An individual held in the County Jail serving days as a condition of probation (sanction).
31 Serving County Time & Fines or Court Cost fines only	An individual sentenced to jail time and is serving their sentence, serving time for fines and court cost only.
32 Class C Misdemeanor Only	An individual with City/Justice of the Peace Tickets.
33 Contempt in Jail	An individual in jail for contempt of court (including family court - child support).
34 Contempt Furlough	An individual who is temporarily released from jail.
35 Peace Bond	An individual who has been court-ordered to post a cash bond - to keep the peace and protect a person or property from a threat.
36 Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD)	An individual awaiting transfer to TJJD for a juvenile offense.
37 Contract inmates	An individual being held for another county or jurisdiction (contractual basis/overflow).
38 U.S. Military hold	An individual temporarily held under U.S. Military authority.
39 Default	An individual who does not fit in one of the categories listed herein.
40 Release Review	An individual who is pending jail release; the system reflects a release reason but lacks confirmed release details.

**New Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)  
February 2026**

**Data Disclaimer: The new DEWR moved to production on 02/01/2026 after being offline since 05/17/2023 following the implementation of Odyssey. Bucket data is now aligned with the current source systems (AIS, Odyssey, and Prosecutor); 8 buckets were added, 3 were renamed, and 2 combined / 2 separated.**

DEWR Buckets	2/1	2/2	2/3	2/4	2/5	2/6	2/7	2/8	2/9	2/10	2/11	2/12	2/13	2/14	2/15	2/16	2/17	2/18	2/19	2/20	2/21	2/22	2/23	2/24	2/25	2/26	2/27	2/28	Avg	
Felony - Cases Not Received from LEA	641	662	634	615	602	593	591	629	652	636	637	637	650	659	676	701	714	703	700	670	694	718	743	731	710	672	683	680	665	
Felony- Not Accepted	291	291	301	284	293	286	265	271	275	281	283	265	270	268	271	270	248	238	254	279	265	266	267	258	277	308	323	300	277	
Felony - Rejected Cases	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Felony Pending Grand Jury	590	590	591	591	603	574	574	576	574	569	581	593	550	546	547	549	552	535	509	493	460	456	457	436	456	441	441	467	532	
Felony EXCLUDING SJF Pending Dispo	2,220	2,222	2,203	2,212	2,197	2,202	2,195	2,195	2,196	2,205	2,201	2,196	2,208	2,198	2,199	2,198	2,196	2,219	2,218	2,219	2,240	2,231	2,227	2,243	2,219	2,198	2,183	2,177	2,208	
SJF Pending Dispo	466	468	469	468	451	441	449	449	448	447	443	441	447	451	452	452	449	463	456	450	462	459	459	475	473	464	458	460	456	
Probation Violators Felony	416	423	414	419	408	401	401	403	407	411	418	418	434	422	425	428	426	424	414	420	412	420	422	417	410	398	396	396	414	
Misdemeanor - Cases Not Received From LEA	84	102	93	76	78	81	69	89	97	100	79	78	77	73	101	112	114	100	81	63	75	102	121	118	109	91	79	65	90	
Misdemeanor - Not Accepted	31	31	27	21	18	20	19	17	17	14	29	29	23	23	22	21	22	34	42	30	10	9	13	21	24	30	23	12	23	
Misdemeanor - Rejected Cases	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Misdemeanor - Filed Pending Dispo	181	180	170	164	168	158	161	161	162	148	157	151	153	166	172	173	161	144	153	159	179	180	179	182	177	184	177	176	167	
Misdemeanor - Probation Violation (PV) TDCJ over 10 yr with or without appear	11	12	9	5	5	7	5	5	5	8	11	10	7	5	4	5	7	8	7	6	11	11	11	8	8	10	9	11	8	
Bench Warrants	84	84	80	81	79	81	85	85	85	81	79	79	81	85	85	85	88	85	89	90	91	91	92	91	92	91	93	93	86	
TDCJ less 10 yr on appeal	34	33	32	33	32	37	36	35	35	32	34	34	33	32	32	31	31	31	32	33	33	34	35	35	36	37	37	34		
TDCJ less 10 yr NOT on appeal	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	
Parole/Proclamation	709	710	699	705	711	732	747	748	745	732	733	743	755	769	770	770	753	772	735	752	763	766	765	769	773	786	798	813	751	
Sent to SJF	6	6	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	6	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	5	
SJF on appeal	222	222	231	233	242	252	257	255	255	259	252	256	261	261	259	260	264	261	265	268	260	256	257	257	233	235	237	241	250	
SJF serv in co jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Parole Violations	6	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	7	6	6	5	5	5	7	8	9	9	8	8	8	11	13	11	15	16	8	
Incompetent	214	218	217	194	192	199	207	210	211	211	205	214	214	220	223	229	234	220	218	218	216	218	221	221	220	221	216	215	215	
Competency Pending	308	308	303	300	298	301	299	299	297	295	294	294	300	301	301	301	299	296	300	301	301	301	300	288	292	286	293	294	298	
SAFPF	27	27	27	30	35	35	33	33	33	36	33	32	28	25	25	25	23	25	24	27	27	26	26	27	31	25	24	26	28	
Special Programs	90	90	96	96	100	105	111	111	111	112	114	123	128	132	132	132	131	130	130	132	135	135	135	128	125	123	130	134	120	
Out of County Hold	189	187	160	164	178	177	188	190	188	163	169	169	166	165	165	166	160	177	188	190	196	198	194	189	185	194	194	199	180	
Out of State Hold	68	74	57	53	47	42	56	60	61	51	70	62	72	56	69	68	72	71	65	61	63	68	72	65	66	68	68	72	63	
Immigration Hold	12	10	12	14	12	11	14	13	13	12	11	10	11	13	13	13	17	16	19	19	19	21	22	22	25	24	21	20	16	
U.S. Marshals Holds	9	10	25	27	12	16	22	9	14	18	9	18	11	20	9	7	21	16	18	20	17	11	9	25	19	25	12	25	16	
Serv in jail (Cond of Prob)	45	44	43	43	43	42	42	42	42	33	33	36	34	36	37	37	37	36	40	40	39	39	39	39	37	38	38	38	39	
Serving Co time/fines & Serv fines/CT Cost Fines Only	32	32	31	30	33	38	40	38	37	36	39	33	34	33	30	27	28	26	28	31	34	26	26	32	32	33	35	39	33	
Class C Misdemeanor Only	14	13	15	15	20	24	25	24	24	23	20	19	20	23	24	22	21	21	23	22	23	14	14	14	15	15	16	14	19	
Contempt-in Jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contempt-Furlough	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	4	3	5	4	3	3		
Peace Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contract inmates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
U.S. Military Hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Release Review	72	60	60	66	58	70	73	67	57	54	59	88	74	67	70	79	54	54	62	100	106	91	84	61	60	74	81	94	71	
Jail Population w/ Furlough and Release Review added	26	24	68	63	73	59	39	54	30	78	52	73	48	35	20	19	42	45	43	51	39	25	17	47	46	62	61	29	45	
Actual Jail Population	7,116	7,157	7,095	7,028	7,013	7,012	7,031	7,096	7,099	7,071	7,073	7,128	7,118	7,111	7,159	7,207	7,187	7,180	7,136	7,169	7,197	7,198	7,232	7,231	7,181	7,165	7,166	7,166	7,133	199,722
	7,090	7,133	7,027	6,965	6,940	6,953	6,992	7,042	7,069	6,993	7,021	7,055	7,070	7,076	7,139	7,188	7,145	7,135	7,093	7,118	7,158	7,173	7,215	7,184	7,135	7,103	7,105	7,137	7,088	198,454

	L															H										SUM				
BOOK-INS	136	134	176	164	183	198	149	115	153	181	199	220	165	156	109	166	193	176	195	200	151	134	184	185	178	212	191	161	170	4764
RELEASES	87	178	253	188	168	195	87	101	161	205	151	174	205	119	88	159	196	214	178	169	156	99	162	250	192	218	159	141	166	4653
VARIANCE	-49	44	77	24	-15	-3	-62	-14	8	24	-48	-46	40	-37	-21	-7	3	38	-17	-31	5	-35	-22	65	14	6	-32	-20	-4	-111

37 DAYS AVG LENGTH OF STAY (RELEASES ONLY)	Total Bookins	4,764	Total Releases	4,653	Total Jail Bed Days	198,454	=	\$ 18,968,233
--	---------------	-------	----------------	-------	---------------------	---------	---	---------------

\*New Buckets 2.1.2026  
\*\*Renamed/Combined/Uncombined Buckets 02.01.2026

2026

TOP 6 ARRESTING AGENCIES AND OFFENSE TYPES

NULL = HOLDS; LEA = Law Enforcement Agency

JAN BOOKINS - 4677

FEB BOOKINS - 4764

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2034	43
Dallas County Sheriff	719	15
Irving Police	305	7
Mesquite Police	211	5
Garland Police	191	4
DART Police	186	4

Offense Types	Total Bookins
NULL	467
POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	348
ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	315
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	244
DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	213
AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	161

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	1992	42
Dallas County Sheriff	742	16
Irving Police	355	7
DART Police	219	5
Garland Police	202	4
Mesquite Police	192	4






Offense Types	Total Bookins
NULL	493
POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	430
ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	238
DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	230
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	229
AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	145

Dallas County Jail Population 3-year statistical summary for:  
2024, 2025, & 2026

JAIL POPULATION MONTHLY AVERAGES							
2024	Jail Pop AVG	2024 AVG	2025	Jail Pop AVG	2025 AVG	2026	Jail Pop AVG
Jan-24	6138	6460	Jan-25	6649	6837	Jan-26	7025
Feb-24	6157	6460	Feb-25	6608	6837	Feb-26	7088
Mar-24	6240	6460	Mar-25	6581	6837	Mar-26	
Apr-24	6429	6460	Apr-25	6743	6837	Apr-26	
May-24	6440	6460	May-25	6877	6837	May-26	
Jun-24	6487	6460	Jun-25	6862	6837	Jun-26	
Jul-24	6558	6460	Jul-25	6917	6837	Jul-26	
Aug-24	6733	6460	Aug-25	6917	6837	Aug-26	
Sep-24	6732	6460	Sep-25	7048	6837	Sep-26	
Oct-24	6670	6460	Oct-25	7035	6837	Oct-26	
Nov-24	6503	6460	Nov-25	6960	6837	Nov-26	
Dec-24	6431	6460	Dec-25	6842	6837	Dec-26	
YR AVG	6460		YR AVG	6837		YR AVG	7057

BOOKINS MONTHLY TOTALS							
2024	Total bookins	2024	2025	Total bookins	2025	2026	Total bookins
Jan-24	3943	4473	Jan-25	4264	4872	Jan-26	4677
Feb-24	4243	4473	Feb-25	4447	4872	Feb-26	4764
Mar-24	4461	4473	Mar-25	4942	4872	Mar-26	
Apr-24	4581	4473	Apr-25	4925	4872	Apr-26	
May-24	4691	4473	May-25	5053	4872	May-26	
Jun-24	4517	4473	Jun-25	4828	4872	Jun-26	
Jul-24	4758	4473	Jul-25	5307	4872	Jul-26	
Aug-24	5056	4473	Aug-25	5438	4872	Aug-26	
Sep-24	4468	4473	Sep-25	4985	4872	Sep-26	
Oct-24	4630	4473	Oct-25	5019	4872	Oct-26	
Nov-24	4274	4473	Nov-25	4676	4872	Nov-26	
Dec-24	4059	4473	Dec-25	4579	4872	Dec-26	
YR AVG	4473		YR AVG	4872		YR AVG	4721
TOTAL	53,681		TOTAL	58,463		TOTAL	9,441

## February 2026 BOOK-IN SNAPSHOT



# Release Glossary

RELEASE CATEGORY	RELEASE DEFINITIONS
<b>BENCH WARRANT-READY TO RETURN</b>	Subject was transferred from another facility/agency on a Bench Warrant (typically court related - stand trial, witness, etc.); requirement has been satisfied, and the subject is ready to return to facility/agency from whence they transported
<b>BOND REINSTATED</b>	Subject out on bond and the bond is revoked ("caught" another charge, bond amount deemed inadequate, etc.) later found acceptable or adequate and reinstated by a judge; charge is thereby disposed/released
<b>Currently Not Holding Class Cs</b>	A consequence of COVID; a subject will not be booked in or held on a Misdemeanor C; reactivate is sent to originating agency
<b>Deceased</b>	Subject died while incarcerated
<b>DRY WRIT</b>	Felony case not filed within 72 hours but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
<b>DRY WRIT-CASE NOT FILED</b>	Case not filed within 72 hours but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
<b>ERROR-DUPLICATE CASE</b>	Entry error; charge/hold added twice
<b>ERROR- WRONG CASE #</b>	Entry error; incorrect case/warrant number
<b>Escaped</b>	Subject escaped custody
<b>Failed to Report</b>	Subject's failure to report at a court mandated date/time
<b>Failed to Return to Work Release</b>	Subject released from confinement, with a condition of return, for the purpose of employment and subject failed to return
<b>FELONY REDUCED TO MISDEMEANOR</b>	Felony level of degree not supported by facts of the case; charge is reduced to the appropriate grade level supported by the facts
<b>Finding of Facts Release</b>	Similar to Dry Writ, case not filed but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
<b>HOLD ADDED IN ERROR</b>	Data entry error; charge does not belong to the subject
<b>Judicial Treatment Center/Wilmer</b>	Court has ordered subject to an inpatient treatment facility for substance abuse treatment and supportive services
<b>MISDEMEANOR REDUCED TO CLASS C</b>	Misdemeanor level of degree not supported by facts of the case; charge is reduced to the appropriate grade level supported by the facts
<b>MOTION TO RELEASE</b>	Order from the court to release a charge; basis for the motion varies based on the argument presented
<b>No Billed</b>	Court has concluded there is not enough evidence to support a criminal charge at this time
<b>Other</b>	Any circumstance for which there is no appropriate, specific selection; may only be used with prior managerial approval
<b>Paid Fine</b>	Charge is disposed by payment of funds (typically for a Class C ticket)
<b>Parole in Absentia or Pre Parole Transfer</b>	Subject unable to attend the parole hearing in person; request is reviewed, and parole granted (without subject in attendance); PPT (Pre-Parole Transfer) is transitional facility that provides pre-parolees counseling, on-site academic and vocational education and services, and other programming during the last 12 months of their incarceration
<b>Parole Warrant Withdrawn</b>	Commonly known as "Blue Warrant;" a subject's parole has been revoked; upon review, warrant is no longer in effect and hold is released
<b>Posted Cash Bond</b>	Cash funds paid to the court to secure subject's release from facility
<b>Posted Personal Recognizance Bond</b>	Court allows subject to be released without paying bail if the subject agrees to appear at the court appointed day/time
<b>POSTED PR BOND</b>	Court allows subject to be released without paying bail if the subject agrees to appear at the court appointed day/time
<b>Posted Pre-trial Bond</b>	Subject allowed to pay funds to the court and be released with the written agreement they will return for trial
<b>Posted PT Personal Recognizance Bond</b>	Subject allowed released without paying bail with the written agreement they will return for trial
<b>Posted Surety Bond</b>	Subject pays funds to the court via a third party to secure release from incarceration
<b>Posted Writ Bond</b>	Bond by which an inmate may be released from jail without first having to go before a judge
<b>PROBATION DISCHARGED</b>	Subject has completed all the conditions of probation and the probationary period has ended
<b>RELEASE PER SHOCK PROBATION</b>	Sentencing or early-release program in the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure; Shock probation allows a judge to send a defendant to prison or jail for a short period of time, and after that stint is served, put the defendant on probation
<b>Released By Order of the Court</b>	Court document describing the conditions under which a subject will be released
<b>Released on Probation</b>	Release of a subject to supervision in the community after he has completed a part of his sentence in a facility
<b>Released per Agency Pick Time Expired</b>	Upon resolution of county Misdemeanor B or above, subject is held 24 hours on Class C tickets for in county agency; Subject is released after 24 hours if agency fails to take custody; no longer in practice due to COVID
<b>RELEASED PER AGENCY PICK-UP TIME EXPIRED</b>	Upon resolution of county Misdemeanor B or above, subject is held 24 hours on Class C tickets for in county agency; Subject is released after 24 hours if agency fails to take custody; no longer in practice due to COVID
<b>Released per Art 14.06/Magistrate Order</b>	Written notice of Citation to Appear including the time and place a subject must appear before a magistrate to address charges (JP warrants, Sunnyvale)
<b>RELEASED PER CASE REJECTED BY DA</b>	District Attorney declines to prosecute or rejects the case based on insufficient evidence; reserves the right to file at a later date after additional investigation or upon receipt of additional evidence
<b>RELEASED PER DISCHARGE IN ABSENTIA</b>	Subject has remained incarcerated length of time required by the judgment and the sentence is discharged; in essence, subject served out his state jail or state pen time at Dallas County and may be released
<b>RELEASED PER DPD RELEASE CHARGE</b>	Similar to Finding of Fact or Dry Writ; the filing agency (DPD) drops the case, for a multitude of reasons; and the charge may be disposed
<b>Released per DPD/Class C Only</b>	Subject attends city court to address class C holds and is granted time served, fine payment, etc; no longer in practice due to COVID
<b>RELEASED PER FINDING OF FACTS</b>	Misdemeanor case not filed but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
<b>Released per Investigating Agency</b>	Arresting agency is not pursuing the charge (warrant recall, etc.)
<b>RELEASED PER INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY</b>	Arresting agency is not pursuing the charge (warrant recall, etc.)
<b>RELEASED PER MEDICAL REASONS</b>	Subject has been released due to life threatening medical concerns; approval of sworn personnel required
<b>RELEASED PER PIA MANDATORY</b>	Subject unable to attend the parole hearing in person; request is reviewed, and parole granted (without subject in attendance); subject released to mandatory supervision
<b>Released per Teletype</b>	Documentation (teletype) releasing a charge or hold is received via the Texas law Enforcement Telecommunications System
<b>Released to Dallas County Agency</b>	Custody transferred to another Dallas County agency (Lancaster PD, DeSoto PD, etc.)
<b>Released to INS/Border Patrol</b>	Custody transferred to federal custody (ICE/INS/Immigration)
<b>Released to Investigating Agency</b>	Custody transferred to original, arresting agency
<b>Released to Mental Facility</b>	As ordered by the court, custody transferred to mental health facility or program; typically, court ordered (incompetent)
<b>Released to Military</b>	Custody transferred to military agency
<b>Released to Out of County Agency</b>	Custody transferred to in state, but out of county, agency (Collin Co, Denton Co.)
<b>Released to Out of State Agency</b>	Custody transferred to out of state agency (FF)
<b>RELEASED TO PAROLE OFFICER</b>	Subject is released prior to the court determined expiration date into the custody of their parole officer and in turn serves the remainder of their time in the community under the supervision of their parole officer
<b>Released to Special Program</b>	Subject transferred to treatment program designated by the court (ADAPT, Homeward Bound, etc.)
<b>RELEASED TO TDC</b>	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections
<b>RELEASED TO TDC-ISF</b>	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections - Intermediate Sanction Facility (an in-custody treatment alternative for medium to high risk felony offenders)
<b>Released to TDCJ</b>	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections
<b>RELEASED TO U.S. MARSHALL</b>	Subject transferred to US Marshal (Federal) Custody
<b>Released to US Marshal</b>	Subject transferred to US Marshal (Federal) Custody
<b>Removed from furlough</b>	Subject was furloughed (allowed to leave the facility) for a specific amount of time due to special circumstances (attend funeral, etc.)
<b>SENTENCED TO DEATH</b>	Court order determining punishment to be execution
<b>Served Time</b>	Subject satisfied sentence requirement by confinement for time dictated by the judgement
<b>Served Time and/or Paid Fine</b>	Subject satisfied sentence requirement by a combination of confinement and payment of funds dictated by the judgement
<b>TRANSFERRED TO INS/BORDER PATROL</b>	Subject has satisfied all in state and out of state charges and is transferred to Immigration/Border Patrol custody
<b>TRANSFERRED TO A MENTAL FACILITY</b>	Court has found the subject incompetent and ordered confinement in a state hospital
<b>TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL AGENCY</b>	Custody transferred to federal agency (FBI, ICE, ATF, USMS, etc.)
<b>TRANSFERRED TO A SPECIAL PROGRAM</b>	As ordered by the court, subject is released to the custody of a treatment program (FUSE, ADAPT, Laura Edmonds, etc.)
<b>WARRANT WITHDRAWN</b>	Warrant has been recalled or is no longer in effect and has been recalled by originating agency

Release Destinations Published by Dallas County Sheriff's Department-Data Management Unit (DMU) 8.10.23

MONTHLY RELEASE TOTALS BY CATEGORY

RELEASE CATEGORY	Feb 24	2024 TOTAL	Feb 25	2025 TOTAL	Jan 26	Feb 26	2026 TOTAL
BENCH WARRANT-READY TO RETURN	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
BOND REINSTATED	24	282	16	219	22	16	38
Currently Not Holding Class Cs	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
Deceased	0	9	0	12	2	0	2
DRY WRIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DRY WRIT-CASE NOT FILED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERROR-DUPLICATE CASE	0	0	0	2	1	0	1
ERROR- WRONG CASE #	0	1	1	4	0	0	0
Escaped	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to Return to Work Release	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FELONY REDUCED TO MISDEMEANOR	1	4	0	4	1	0	1
Finding of Facts Release	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
HOLD ADDED IN ERROR	0	1	1	3	0	1	1
Judicial Treatment Center/Wilmer	58	381	0	250	25	50	75
MISDEMEANOR REDUCED TO CLASS C	5	114	5	85	10	6	16
MOTION TO RELEASE	0	1	1	7	0	1	1
No Billed	10	160	8	109	9	4	13
Other	23	338	23	357	48	37	85
Paid Fine	0	2	3	11	2	1	3
Parole in Absentia or Pre Parole Transfer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Parole Warrant Withdrawn	22	219	4	344	57	45	102
Posted Cash Bond	273	3950	281	3978	301	336	637
Posted Personal Recognizance Bond	71	868	21	270	20	16	36
POSTED PR BOND	292	3845	259	3761	318	303	621
Posted Pre-trial Bond	3	51	11	106	10	13	23
Posted PT Personal Recognizance Bond	44	362	21	332	23	40	63
Posted Surety Bond	1343	16820	1496	18947	1561	1506	3067
Posted Writ Bond	1	30	0	4	0	0	0
PROBATION DISCHARGED	9	127	4	93	9	8	17
RELEASE PER SHOCK PROBATION	0	2	1	3	0	0	0
Released By Order of the Court	78	1138	112	1440	99	82	181
Released on Probation	240	2919	209	2881	202	299	501
Released per Agency Pick Time Expired	0	1	0	5	0	0	0
RELEASED PER AGENCY PICK-UP TIME EXPIRED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Released per Art 14.06/Magistrate Order	0	11	2	56	7	15	22
RELEASED PER CASE REJECTED BY DA	23	276	30	335	25	18	43
RELEASED PER DISCHARGE IN ABSENTIA	8	84	6	87	2	11	13
RELEASED PER DPD RELEASE CHARGE	20	241	16	285	43	24	67
Released per DPD/Class C Only	0	2	0	5	0	3	3
RELEASED PER FINDING OF FACTS	49	760	54	930	84	71	155
Released per Investigating Agency	6	72	11	68	10	6	16
RELEASED PER INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY	15	239	10	155	8	9	17
RELEASED PER MEDICAL REASONS	0	4	0	12	9	13	22
RELEASED PER PIA MANDATORY	1	4	1	9	2	0	2
Released per Teletype	12	177	11	95	7	2	9
Released to Dallas County Agency	4	45	6	28	3	1	4
Released to INS/Border Patrol	136	2001	276	3623	228	258	486
Released to Investigating Agency	6	72	3	39	3	1	4
Released to Mental Facility	15	227	28	260	13	27	40
Released to Military	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Released to Out of County Agency	311	3568	303	3802	237	324	561
Released to Out of State Agency	25	358	16	349	27	24	51
RELEASED TO PAROLE OFFICER	7	94	2	27	1	0	1
Released to Special Program	203	2767	243	3085	200	236	436
RELEASED TO TDC	274	4328	457	5009	392	367	759
RELEASED TO TDC-ISF	2	79	0	74	5	3	8
Released to TDCJ	93	517	44	436	40	0	40
RELEASED TO U.S. MARSHALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Released to US Marshal	21	199	6	209	8	15	23
Removed from furlough	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
SENTENCED TO DEATH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Served Time	368	4562	381	5008	398	426	824
Served Time and/or Paid Fine	0	5	0	7	0	1	1
TRANSFERRED TO INS/BORDER PATROL	29	125	2	34	1	6	7
TRANSFERRED TO A MENTAL FACILITY	6	61	11	52	1	4	5
TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL AGENCY	3	55	2	79	15	8	23
TRANSFERRED TO A SPECIAL PROGRAM	0	306	10	160	8	7	15
WARRANT WITHDRAWN	34	352	62	474	8	9	17
<b>Total Releases</b>	<b>4,168</b>	<b>53,222</b>	<b>4,470</b>	<b>58,027</b>	<b>4,506</b>	<b>4,653</b>	<b>9,159</b>

## Dallas County Pretrial Services

### Bond Supervision Unit

#### February 2026 Statistical Summary Report

		(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)		(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)	(SJ+Gen)
	SJ Initial Review	SJ Eligible	# Orders Signed	Presented SJ Hearing	New SJ Bond Defendants	New Gen Bond Defendants	# New Misd Bonds	# New Felony Bonds	New Court ordered cases	New RIBS	New PTI	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Successful Close outs	Unsuccessful Close outs	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	Gen Fees Collected	Gen Fees waived	Total Cases End Of Month
Feb-2025	2472	299	408	60	30	12	11	40	2	0	0	21	4	5	0	14	31	14	19	\$505.00	\$942.50	254
Mar-2025	2631	334	467	63	38	2	20	39	7	0	3	16	10	11	1	10	24	9	23	\$350.00	\$0.00	258
Apr-2025	3113	426	582	94	56	8	19	58	6	0	5	35	14	6	1	14	32	24	31	\$190.00	\$670.00	268
May-2025	2910	425	570	81	47	10	18	49	2	0	5	22	11	12	1	10	31	11	36	\$335.00	\$600.00	274
Jun-2025	2810	365	513	77	48	8	14	47	3	0	1	30	12	6	0	10	24	20	45	\$85.00	\$497.50	288
Jul-2025	3167	440	606	86	40	14	13	46	3	0	2	15	17	7	1	5	35	15	45	\$355.00	\$865.00	288
Aug-2025	2995	436	578	103	61	14	33	56	7	5	5	27	18	16	0	11	36	14	37	\$150.00	\$1,175.00	302
Sep-2025	3044	456	591	93	54	16	26	49	3	4	1	27	15	10	2	10	47	15	50	\$0.00	\$1,197.50	299
Oct-2025	2906	417	556	100	46	14	27	38	9	9	9	21	14	11	0	18	43	16	41	\$380.00	\$1,160.00	284
Nov-2025	2321	334	450	68	27	6	11	35	3	5	6	13	9	3	2	6	34	9	25	\$0.00	\$127.50	271
Dec-2025	2840	473	625	80	33	5	7	46	0	5	7	20	10	3	0	8	46	10	42	\$195.00	\$315.00	250
<b>General &amp; Smart Justice Unit Merger</b>																						
Jan-2026	2524	404	529	94	38	12	24	50	3	2	7	33	12	5	0	15	27	25	29	\$110.00	\$650.00	451
<b>Feb-2026</b>	<b>2764</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$30.00</b>	<b>\$1,051.00</b>	<b>494</b>

**Dallas County Pretrial Services**  
**Electronic Monitoring Unit**  
**February 2026 Statistical Summary Report**

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month (ELM/ASP)	TOTAL NEW BOND ELM DEFENDANTS	TOTAL NEW ASP DEFENDANTS	SUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	UNSUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	After Hours Abscond Warrants	Total days of supervision x Jail Bed Cost	Potential Jail Bed Expenses Saved	Total Supervised Cases End Of Month (ELM/ASP)
Feb-25	534	56	0	59	26	10	77		13,484 x \$88.47	\$1,192,929.48	505
Mar-25	505	71	6	50	25	4	101		15,574 x \$88.47	\$1,377,831.78	507
Apr-25	507	86	4	64	39	4	89		14,268 X \$88.47	\$1,262,289.96	494
May-25	494	81	5	68	31	4	93		15,180 x \$88.47	\$1,342,974.60	481
Jun-25	481	76	6	48	16	9	60		14,577 x \$88.47	\$1,289,627.19	499
Jul-25	499	92	2	54	20	5	85		15,572 X \$88.47	\$1,377,654.84	519
Aug-25	519	76	2	62	28	9	93		15,451 x \$88.47	\$1,367,834.67	507
Sep-25	507	97	6	53	28	4	102		15,408 x \$88.47	\$1,363,145.76	529
Oct-25	529	110	1	68	16	4	92		16,277 x \$95.58	\$1,555,755.66	556
Nov-25	556	78	2	57	24	9	94		16,168 x \$95.58	\$1,545,337.44	555
Dec-25	555	91	2	58	34	10	100		16,663 x \$95.58	\$1,592,649.54	555
Jan-26	555	84	2	51	32	9	109	6	16,055 x \$95.58	\$1,534,536.90	558
<b>Feb-26</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15,631x \$95.58</b>	<b>\$1,494,106.56</b>	<b>564</b>

**Dallas County Pretrial Services**  
**Alcohol Monitoring Unit**  
**February 2026 Statistical Summary Report**

<b>Month/Year</b>	<b>Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month</b>	<b>Pending Bond Orders (Assigned + Unassigned)</b>	<b>Total New Cases Activated</b>	<b>Number of Successful Closeouts</b>	<b>Number of Unsuccessful Closeouts</b>	<b>Count of Violations Submitted to Court</b>	<b>Count of Installation notices submitted</b>	<b>Count of Supervised Cases (End of Month)</b>	<b>Supervision Fees Collected</b>
Feb-25	1356	159	144	105	16	222	131	1379	\$12,857.49
25-Mar	1379	172	118	86	8	193	128	1403	\$10,841.00
25-Apr	1403	216	131	141	18	187	116	1375	\$11,859.95
25-May	1375	195	113	112	17	218	88	1359	\$12,484.05
25-Jun	1359	211	129	102	7	169	127	1379	\$11,290.00
25-Jul	1379	221	150	99	19	221	146	1411	\$12,211.00
25-Aug	1411	223	149	100	17	187	140	1443	\$10,560.00
25-Sep	1443	209	161	131	16	197	187	1457	\$13,018.50
25-Oct	1457	206	164	109	10	162	161	1502	\$15,218.00
25-Nov	1502	172	145	92	19	180	131	1536	\$12,594.50
25-Dec	1536	194	154	97	28	152	148	1565	\$13,716.45
26-Jan	1565	193	118	89	31	169	110	1563	\$12,555.24
<b>26-Feb</b>	<b>1563</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>1583</b>	<b>\$12,931.50</b>