



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board
Meeting Agenda**

September 21, 2026, 2:30 p.m.
Oak Cliff Government Center
First floor conference room,
702 E Jefferson Blvd, Dallas, TX 75203

- I. Welcome and Introductions** – The Honorable Elba Garcia, Chair, CJAB
- II. Membership & Infrastructure*** – Ellyce Lindberg Co-Chair, CJAB
 - Community Supervision and Corrections Department Committee-Serena McNair
 - Law Enforcement Committee –Monica Igo
- III. Minutes Review/Approval*** – Commissioner Elba Garcia-Chair, CJAB
- IV. Presentations**
 - Dallas County 90th Legislative Session Priorities– Josie Garcia
- V. Committee Project Updates**
 - Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management Committee – Charlene Randolph and LaShonda Jefferson
 - Fair Defense Committee – Christina Dean
 - Justice of the Peace – Judge Valencia Nash
 - Juvenile Committee –Michael O'Brien
 - Law Enforcement/Jurisprudence –Ellyce Lindberg
 - Pretrial Committee –Jeff Segura
 - Reentry Committee – Christina Melton Crain
- VI. Program Update**
 - Metrocare Critical Time Intervention (CTI) update– Damian Juarez and Jennifer Torres
- VII. Public Comments**
- VIII. Announcement**
- IX. Next Meeting Schedule**
 - December 14, 2026



Membership & Infrastructure:

- Monica Igo- Law Enforcement Committee

Monica Igo is a 26-year veteran of the Dallas Police Department. She began her law enforcement career in 1999. She initially served in the Northeast Division as an officer and senior corporal before being assigned as a detective in the Crimes Against Children Division in 2006. In 2008, she was promoted to Sergeant, serving in multiple patrol divisions, as well as in the Office of the Chief of Police and the Public Integrity Unit. She was promoted to Lieutenant in 2019 and in 2024 to the rank of Major. In August 2025, she was promoted to Deputy Chief and currently commands the Support Services Group.

- Serena McNair- Community Supervision and Corrections Department Committee

Serena McNair is an accomplished criminal justice professional with nearly four decades of dedicated service to Dallas County. She currently serves as Director of the Dallas County Community Supervision and Corrections Department (CSCD), where she provides leadership and oversight of the department's operations and programs.

Since joining Dallas County CSCD in 1987, Serena has served in a wide range of progressively responsible positions, developing extensive experience in community supervision, court operations, specialty courts, staff development, and organizational leadership. Her career has included serving as a Field and Court Supervision Officer, Staffing and Training Coordinator for new officers, Specialty Courts Supervisor, Specialty Courts Division Manager, and Specialty Courts Area Manager, ultimately leading to her appointment as Director.

Serena earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Criminal Justice from East Texas University and completed postgraduate coursework in Human Resources and Training at Amber University. Throughout her career, she has been recognized for her commitment to excellence and leadership, receiving awards for Outstanding Officer and Divert Court Outstanding Leader.

In addition to her leadership within Dallas County CSCD, Serena has contributed to broader criminal justice initiatives through her service on the Community Corrections Improvement Foundation (CCIF) and the 530 Subcommittee. These experiences have

strengthened her understanding of the importance of collaboration, innovation, accountability, and effective community-based approaches within the criminal justice system.

Born and raised in Dallas, Serena has dedicated her professional career to serving the people of Dallas County. Her nearly 40 years of experience provide her with a unique understanding of the county's criminal justice system, its challenges, and its opportunities for continued improvement. She is committed to promoting effective, equitable, and evidence-based approaches that enhance public safety, strengthen communities, and improve outcomes for individuals and families.



**Dallas County Criminal Justice Advisory Board
General Membership Minutes for Monday, June 15, 2026**

Welcome & Introductions:

Commissioner Dr. Elba Garcia called the meeting to order at 2:33 PM. Attendees provided customary introductions, including representatives from the Dallas County Criminal Justice Department, District Attorney's Office, Public Defender's Office, Sheriff's Office, Pretrial Services, judiciary, municipal agencies, and community-based organizations. Commissioner Garcia welcomed all attendees and acknowledged the importance of continued collaboration among justice system partners to address ongoing operational challenges and system improvements.

Membership & Infrastructure:

Chief Igo was unable to attend the meeting, membership and infrastructure matters were therefore deferred to the next meeting.

Meeting Minutes:

The CJAB General Membership minutes from March 23, 2026, were distributed to members in advance. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Mr. Jeff Segura and seconded by Ms. Christina Dean. With no corrections, changes, or objections, the motion carried unanimously.

Presentation:

Restoration and Reentry Division – Dallas County Public Defender's Office

Commissioner Garcia introduced Brianna Gordon, Liz Harris, and Jordan Pollock of the Dallas County Public Defender's Office to provide an overview of the newly established Restoration and Reentry Division. Ms. Gordon explained that the division was created to assist clients in successfully transitioning out of the criminal justice system and reintegrating into the community. The division had been operational for less than two months and was developing its procedures while beginning to serve clients.

Ms. Gordon explained that the division's work is organized into four primary areas: pre-incarceration, during incarceration, post-incarceration, and supervision stability. She noted that the Public Defender's Office already provides holistic representation through attorneys, case managers, social workers, and mental health professionals; however, the Restoration and Reentry Division was

established to address additional legal and practical barriers that can affect a client's ability to successfully remain in the community.

The division's pre-incarceration and early-intervention efforts include addressing outstanding municipal warrants, Class C citations, child-support matters, and other unresolved legal issues that may create additional barriers for clients. Ms. Gordon explained that addressing these matters early can help prevent individuals from accumulating additional legal problems or returning to the justice system for relatively minor or unrelated offenses.

For individuals who are incarcerated, the division assists with matters including TDCJ dismissals, pleas in absentia, time-credit issues, parole preparation, and other legal concerns that may affect a client's transition to the next stage of the justice system. Ms. Gordon explained that the division seeks to address these matters before they become additional barriers to release or require unnecessary transportation between correctional facilities and Dallas County.

Liz Harris discussed the division's post-incarceration services, describing the process as addressing the question of "you just got released, now what?" She explained that individuals returning to the community may need assistance with expunctions, Class C and traffic matters, identification documents, driver's licenses, professional licenses, and reinstatement of Social Security or disability benefits. The division seeks to help clients resolve these issues so they can pursue employment, housing, benefits, and other opportunities necessary for successful reentry.

Ms. Harris emphasized that successful reentry extends beyond legal assistance and requires connections to housing, employment, substance-use treatment, mental health services, and other community resources. Stable housing was identified as a significant challenge for many individuals returning from incarceration. The division is therefore developing relationships with community organizations and service providers to connect clients with appropriate resources rather than duplicating services already available in Dallas County.

The presenters also discussed supervision stability and the importance of addressing problems before they result in violations or renewed incarceration. The division is working to identify barriers that may prevent clients from complying with supervision requirements and to connect them with legal and community-based assistance. Ms. Gordon explained that the division is initially serving a manageable number of Public Defender clients so that staff can establish procedures, evaluate outcomes, and determine the resources necessary for future expansion.

The presentation concluded with a discussion regarding partnerships and coordination among attorneys and community organizations. The division is exploring partnerships with organizations such as Unlocking Doors and other service providers to strengthen its referral network. Members also discussed

situations in which clients have multiple attorneys handling separate matters, including criminal, appellate, immigration, or family-law cases. The presenters explained that the division provides clients with information regarding the scope of its representation and emphasized communication among attorneys. CJAB members expressed support for the division's holistic approach and its potential to reduce barriers to successful reentry and future justice-system involvement.

Committee Project Updates:

Criminal Justice Administration & Jail Population Management

Ms. LaShonda Jefferson reported that the June 2026 Jail Population Committee meeting was held June 12, 2026. The May 2026 average jail population was reported at approximately 7,096 individuals, with a year-to-date average of approximately 7,092. The jail population at the time of the meeting was 7,128, representing approximately 95 percent of total capacity. During June, approximately 2,585 individuals were booked and 2,700 released, resulting in approximately 115 more releases than bookings.

Ms. Jefferson highlighted the Jail Population Management team's individualized and proactive approach to reviewing people in custody from booking through release. The team researches cases across the criminal justice system to identify issues that may delay release or case progression, including matters affecting individuals without counsel, those represented by the Public Defender's Office or private counsel, parole holds, probation matters, and both pre-disposition and post-disposition cases. Since April 2023, the team has researched approximately 124,605 individuals. Approximately 34 percent, or 42,808 individuals, required a Jail Population Management inquiry, and approximately 19,575 individuals were released following the team's involvement. The average length of stay decreased by approximately 50 days following intervention, representing a substantial financial impact for the county. Ms. Jefferson also reminded law enforcement agencies of the importance of timely case filing following an arrest. She announced that the next committee meeting is scheduled for July 10, 2026.

Ms. Jefferson shared that Dallas County will honor probation, pretrial, and parole officers on July 22, 2026. The training will focus on understanding exploitation, responding to sex trafficking, and trauma. Sponsorships were still being sought because of increased costs and budget constraints.

Fair Defense

Ms. Christina Dean reported that Public Defender's Office leadership recently participated in training regarding the Miami Model and remains committed to identifying opportunities to move individuals out of the criminal justice system more efficiently. The office continues to participate in legislative work and is exploring bond practices, particularly the use of Article 17.032 mental health personal recognizance bonds. The Public Defender's Office planned to meet with Pretrial Services to determine whether additional screening or procedural

changes could increase the use of mental health PR bonds as an early diversion mechanism into outpatient treatment, assisted outpatient treatment, and treatment courts.

Ms. Dean also reported that the Restoration and Reentry Division is operational and developing its initial client base. The office is working with Unlocking Doors to pursue funding and establish a brokerage relationship for community services. In addition, the Public Defender's Office is participating in a Juvenile Justice Task Force with the District Attorney's Office, County Administration, Dallas ISD, the International Rescue Committee, Cafe Momentum, and other community partners. The task force is identifying additional community programs that can provide alternatives and expedite services for juveniles with appropriate needs. The office is also developing an internal training division and seeking two attorney positions to support recruitment and comprehensive training of new attorneys.

Justice of the Peace

Judge Valencia Nash was unable to attend and no update was provided.

Juvenile Committee

Michael O'Brien, representing the District Attorney's Office Juvenile Division, reported continued collaboration with probation and law enforcement agencies. Beginning in July and August, the District Attorney's Office plans to meet with school districts and school resource officers to provide legal education, discuss examples and scenarios, and reinforce procedures designed to protect youth rights and promote safe and appropriate case handling.

Mr. O'Brien reported that the juvenile detention population, excluding youth awaiting placement, has remained at approximately 100 or fewer individuals. The office and probation meet weekly to review youth on an individual basis and identify opportunities for release or alternatives to detention. He emphasized that the reduction in low-level filings is intended to avoid unnecessary system involvement while maintaining appropriate responses for youth with higher-risk or significant criminogenic needs.

Mr. O'Brien also reported encouraging 2025 outcomes, including a 40 percent decrease in homicides involving juveniles, a 33 percent decrease in sexual assaults, and a 39 percent decrease in weapon-related offenses. Mr. O'Brien stated that early indications from the alternate referral process suggest that more than 70 percent of youth diverted through the process are not returning to the system. Juvenile hearings also decreased by approximately 20 percent from 2024 to 2025, allowing courts and system partners to focus resources on youth requiring greater intervention and support.

Law Enforcement and Jurisprudence

Ms. Ellyce Lindberg provided an update concerning deflection, diversion, and the Miami Model. She referenced materials included with the meeting packet that

explain the terminology, roles, and stages of the various diversion and deflection processes. She emphasized that successful diversion requires not only an appropriate decision at the front end, but also meaningful follow-up and support after release. She noted that Dallas County already has several diversion programs and that continued coordination is necessary to connect individuals with mental health, housing, substance use, and other services.

The discussion included the use of Homeward Bound and Austin Street as alternatives to arrest for individuals whose circumstances may be better addressed through services. Judge Kim Nesbitt discussed the current use of criminal trespass as an initial offense eligible for deflection and the possibility of expanding the program to other misdemeanor offenses. Law enforcement representatives were encouraged to provide input regarding offenses that could appropriately be considered for expansion while balancing community safety and business and neighborhood concerns.

The Board also discussed the practical challenges law enforcement agencies face when transporting individuals to service locations. Members noted that a future northern Dallas County location would improve access for agencies that cannot easily travel to existing facilities. The discussion referenced funding efforts to identify a northern location and continued collaboration with Austin Street, Homeward Bound, and other service providers. A rapid-response nurse model was also discussed as a pre-arrest engagement strategy for individuals experiencing impairment, mental health concerns, or other circumstances that may contribute to repeated contacts with law enforcement.

Pretrial Services

Mr. Jeff Segura reported that Pretrial Services has experienced a modest increase in its caseload, particularly within electronic monitoring. He noted that the number of individuals released through the program on Mondays has increased from approximately six or seven to approximately 13 or 14, and the overall electronic monitoring population has increased into the 570 range. Mr. Segura explained that the Mental Health Unit is testing a revised staffing structure in which case managers and duty officers have more clearly defined responsibilities. The purpose of the pilot is to determine whether assigning dedicated personnel to complete interviews will improve efficiency and consistency. The department plans to evaluate the approach after one to two months.

Pretrial Services continues to work with judges and the Criminal Justice Department on release initiatives and bonds. Mr. Segura also reported that the department is monitoring legislative developments through its state-level professional organization. He noted that a study concerning the effectiveness of electronic monitoring and appropriate caseloads is underway, with results expected in the future. The findings may be useful to Dallas County and other pretrial and probation practitioners.

Reentry

Christina Melton-Crain was unable to attend. Ms. Gamez provided an update indicating that the reentry team is coordinating with the Dallas County Public Defender's Office regarding the new Restoration and Reentry Division. The team is exploring opportunities to partner in assisting individuals transitioning from the justice system. The reentry team also continues its work with the Garland Police Department on the Focus Deterrence program and is coordinating with the Dallas County District Attorney's Office, with plans to align with the Dallas Police Department's program as appropriate.

Research

Dr. Noyes was unable to attend and no update was provided.

Program Update:**Electronic Disposition Reporting (EDR)**

Ms. Charlene Randolph and Ms. Ellyce Lindberg provided a detailed update on Electronic Disposition Reporting. They explained that Dallas County must achieve a 90 percent arrest closure rate by August 1, based on arrests from 2020 through 2024, in order to remain compliant with the applicable grant requirements. The presenters emphasized that the requirement affects not only county funding but also grant-funded programs and organizations throughout Dallas County.

The presenters reported that more than 7,000 open arrests remained to be closed with approximately 33 business days remaining. They explained that an open arrest can be closed when the arresting agency drops the matter, the prosecutor rejects or no-bills the case, or the case is filed and subsequently disposed by the court. Accurate matching of case and arrest information is required throughout the process. Law enforcement agencies were asked to actively work the agency-specific lists that had been distributed and communicate questions or data issues to the EDR team.

Ms. Randolph and Ms. Lindberg also described continuing data and system challenges. Changes involving Odyssey and other system processes have affected the visibility and matching of cases. Examples discussed included situations where the agency that physically made an arrest was different from the agency associated with the underlying warrant, which could prevent the appropriate agency from viewing or closing the arrest. Incorrect prosecutor assignments, ORI information, or case identifiers can also result in a case appearing open even when the court has completed the appropriate action. The EDR team continues to hold biweekly stakeholder meetings and has added additional coordination to identify the remaining cases and resolve system and data issues.

Members discussed the importance of establishing a more proactive process after the August 1 deadline so that stakeholders can address recurring issues before the next reporting cycle. The presenters indicated that they are working with DPS and other partners on training and booking procedures and will continue stakeholder meetings to improve the process going forward.

Public Comments:

No public comments were received.

Announcements:

Commissioner Garcia announced that the next CJAB General Membership meeting is scheduled for September 21, 2026.

Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 PM.



Dallas County Governmental Affairs

90th Texas Legislative Session



What Guides Our Legislative Agenda

Protect taxpayer dollars

Preserve local decision-making

Ensure adequate state funding

Dallas County Legislative Proposals

1. Flat-dollar homestead exemption
2. Deferred compensation opt-out
3. Mental health emergency detention
4. Substance use emergency detention
5. Misdemeanor competency assessment reform
6. Counsel at magistration
7. Overdose fatality review committees
8. Indigent mental health court costs and fees
9. Final dissolution of Dallas County Schools
10. Appraisal district plurality elections
11. Childcare access

Mental Health Emergency Detention

03

Allow qualified health care professionals to initiate detention

Require a personal examination and documented findings

Preserve existing criteria and patient protections

Substance Use Emergency Detention

04

Allow qualified health care professionals to initiate detention

Require a personal examination and documented criteria

Preserve patient protections and county cost responsibilities

Misdemeanor Competency Assessment Reform

05

**Review eligible cases
before a formal examination**

Allow judicial dismissal
with public-safety safeguards

Require no new screening or staffing

Additional Criminal Justice & Behavioral Health Priorities

6. Counsel at Magistration

Authorize early legal assistance

7. Overdose Fatality Review Teams

Identify opportunities to prevent overdoses

8. Indigent Mental Health Court Costs and Fees

Waive applicable charges to counties

Thank you!

Josie Castro Garcia

Dallas County Governmental Affairs

915-227-1025

New Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)-Glossary

The New DEWR is a 40-line item report which provides a snapshot of the Dallas County Jail Population by categorizing each individual into one “bucket” at a time, based on their highest-level unresolved charge (reportable offense), if one defendant has multiple charges, the report reflects highest unresolved offense, until all the individuals charges are disposed. Once all charges are resolved, the individual moves into the appropriate awaiting release or transfer bucket. The DEWR maps Pre-Disposition Felonies & Misdemeanors, as well as when an individual is awaiting release or transfers.

	Bucket Names	Descriptions
1	Felony Cases Not Received from LEA	An individual arrested for a felony offense; their case has not been sent to the District Attorney's Office (DAO) from the charging Law Enforcement Agency (LEA).
2	Felony Not Accepted	An individual arrested for a felony offense whose case has been sent by an LEA to the DAO; however, the case has not yet been accepted by the DAO.
3	Felony Rejected Cases	An individual whose felony case has been sent by an LEA to the DAO; however, the case has been rejected by the DAO.
4	Felony Pending Grand Jury (GJ)	An individual whose fFelony charge case was accepted by DAO; however, their case is pending awaiting a review by the GJ decision.
5	Felony EXCLUDING SJF Pending Dispo	An individual whose felony case, excluding State Jail Felonies (SJF), has been indicted by a GJ and is now pending court disposition.
6	SJF pending disposition	An individual whose SJF case, which has been indicted by a GJ, is now pending court disposition.
7	Probation Violation (PV) Felony	An individual, who previously received felony probation, is now accused of violating a term/condition of their probation.
8	Misdemeanor Cases Not Received From LEA	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has not been sent to the DAO from the LEA.
9	Misdemeanor Not Accepted	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has been sent to the DAO from the LEA , but their case has not yet been accepted by the DAO.
10	Misdemeanor Rejected Cases	An individual arrested for a misdemeanor offense; their case has been sent from the LEA to the DAO, but their case has been rejected by the DAO.
11	Misdemeanor Filed Pending Dispo	An individual whose misdemeanor case was officially filed in a court, and is pending court disposition.
12	Misdemeanor PV	An individual, who previously received misdemeanor probation, is now accused of violating a term/condition of their probation.
13	Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) over 10 years on appeal or TDC no appeal	An individual convicted of a felony offense and sentenced to more than 10 years in TDCJ; case may or may not be on appeal.
14	Bench Warrants	An Individual incarcerated, who is being held in Dallas County Jail as a witness in (another defendant's) case; will be transferred back to TDCJ once the case is resolved.
15	TDCJ 10 years or less on appeal	An individual sentenced to TDCJ, whose sentence is less than 10 years, and is appealing their sentence.
16	TDCJ 10 years or less NOT on appeal	An individual sentenced to TDCJ, whose sentence is less than 10 years, and is NOT appealing their sentence.
17	Parole/Proclamation	An individual, whose parole has been revoked, and is awaiting transfer to TDCJ.
18	Sentenced to SJF	An individual convicted of a State Jail Felony offense; sentenced to a State Jail Facility, awaiting transfer.
19	SJF on appeal	An individual convicted of a State Jail Felony offense, and has filed an appeal.
20	SJF serving in county jail	An individual indicted for a State Jail Felony offense, sentenced to serve time in the County Jail or ordered to serve days in the County Jail as a condition of probation.
21	Parole Violations	An induvial who is on parole and accused of violating a term/condition of their felony parole.
22	Incompetent	An individual accused of a felony or misdemeanor offense, who is being held in County Jail awaiting transfer to a State Mental Health Facility.
23	Competency Pending	An individual accused of a felony or misdemeanor offense, and a Competency Evaluation has been ordered or is pending completion.
24	SAFPF (Substance Abuse Felony Punishment Facility)	An individual on probation for a felony offense, who is ordered to a substance abuse treatment facility.
25	Special Programs	An individual being held for Wilmer Judicial Treatment Center, ELM, or other community treatment program.
26	Out of County Hold	An individual being held for another Texas county or Jurisdiction. Upon completion of their Dallas County Jail time, LEA with the hold will typically have 10 days to pick up the individual or they are released
27	Out of State Hold	An individual being held for another State or Jurisdiction.
28	Immigration Hold	An individual being detained/held for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
29	U.S. Marshals Holds	An individual being held for the US Marshals (via a contract with the County or a federal charge).
30	Serving County Time as a Condition of Probation	An individual held in the County Jail serving days as a condition of probation (sanction).
31	Serving County Time & Fines or Court Cost fines only	An individual sentenced to jail time and is serving their sentence, serving time for fines and court cost only.
32	Class C Misdemeanor Only	An individual with City/Justice of the Peace Tickets.
33	Contempt in Jail	An individual in jail for contempt of court (including family court - child support).
34	Contempt Furlough	An individual who is temporarily released from jail.
35	Peace Bond	An individual who has been court-ordered to post a cash bond - to keep the peace and protect a person or property from a threat.
36	Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD)	An individual awaiting transfer to TJJD for a juvenile offense.
37	Contract inmates	An individual being held for another county or jurisdiction (contractual basis/overflow).
38	U.S. Military hold	An individual temporarily held under U.S. Military authority.
39	Default	An individual who does not fit in one of the categories listed herein.
40	Release Review	An individual who is pending jail release; the system reflects a release reason but lacks confirmed release details.

New Detention Early Warning Report (DEWR)
August 2026

Data Disclaimer: The new DEWR moved to production on 02/01/2026 after being offline since 05/17/2023 following the implementation of Odyssey. Bucket data is now aligned with the current source systems (AIS, Odyssey, and Prosecutor); 8 buckets were added, 3 were renamed, and 2 combined / 2 separated.																																			
DEWR Buckets	8/1	8/2	8/3	8/4	8/5	8/6	8/7	8/8	8/9	8/10	8/11	8/12	8/13	8/14	8/15	8/16	8/17	8/18	8/19	8/20	8/21	8/22	8/23	8/24	8/25	8/26	8/27	8/28	8/29	8/30	8/31	Avg			
Felony - Cases Not Received from LEA	843	881	905	901	875	847	839	847	893	923	915	894	864	864	863	886	915	917	871	846	855	866	894	923	906	870	859	839	826	867	893	877			
Felony- Not Accepted	433	431	432	442	448	471	465	444	446	447	440	466	446	441	450	447	451	400	423	428	427	424	422	423	382	390	381	403	364	360	355	425			
Felony - Rejected Cases	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	3	3	3	4	1	0	0	3	3	3	1			
Felony Pending Grand Jury	537	535	529	513	503	473	461	449	447	446	460	404	441	459	453	458	458	498	499	505	520	490	489	490	494	485	485	485	512	513	515	484			
Felony EXCLUDING SJF Pending Dispo	2,047	2,046	2,046	2,052	2,075	2,089	2,113	2,118	2,118	2,118	2,123	2,157	2,144	2,128	2,111	2,111	2,110	2,115	2,138	2,140	2,133	2,142	2,143	2,143	2,164	2,176	2,180	2,171	2,156	2,158	2,153	2,123			
SJF Pending Dispo	414	414	416	429	430	444	441	455	452	453	441	440	440	437	439	437	441	442	439	428	419	427	430	430	455	452	439	434	435	436	436	436			
Probation Violators Felony	364	366	372	366	364	364	364	356	359	359	353	373	372	369	363	370	380	378	375	356	354	358	365	368	361	366	370	378	361	368	364	366			
Misdemeanor - Cases Not Received From LEA	69	102	112	103	82	74	88	88	114	129	129	104	86	77	82	135	156	142	119	88	89	74	98	129	116	103	95	89	80	116	135	103			
Misdemeanor - Not Accepted Misdemeanor - Rejected Cases	27	27	27	30	39	36	33	19	17	18	18	30	24	28	18	18	18	26	31	49	53	20	19	20	22	37	38	40	27	27	27	28			
Misdemeanor - Filed Pending Dispo	137	139	143	135	141	164	126	139	140	144	139	135	126	127	131	135	134	118	106	134	135	140	145	149	142	144	142	137	130	132	134	136			
Misdemeanor - Probation Violation (PV)	6	8	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	6	4	6	6	5	6	6	7	7	5	5	6	7	7	6	11	9	10	9	12	11	9	7			
TDCJ over 10 yr with or without appeal	75	75	71	70	71	73	74	75	75	76	72	71	64	64	67	67	60	62	62	64	61	63	63	57	48	47	47	49	51	51	44	64			
Bench Warrants	52	51	51	50	49	52	53	52	51	51	52	49	49	51	51	51	51	45	47	48	47	48	48	48	49	50	50	53	52	52	49	50			
TDCJ less 10 yr on appeal	6	6	5	7	7	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	6	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	8			
TDCJ less 10 yr NOT on appeal	554	555	539	524	528	533	549	558	558	558	522	497	463	471	488	488	464	438	430	439	418	430	430	399	383	392	400	412	426	426	405	473			
Parole/Proclamation	9	9	9	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	5	5	6	8	8	8	7	9		
Sentd to SJF	211	209	204	208	204	199	202	204	201	201	203	187	192	194	197	196	194	196	167	170	171	171	171	171	171	150	154	156	163	162	162	185			
SJF on appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
SJF serv in co jail	9	9	9	8	10	12	10	9	9	9	10	11	12	11	11	11	11	12	14	16	15	14	13	13	13	14	13	11	12	12	12	11			
Parole Violations	221	221	223	223	218	216	221	224	230	230	239	234	219	230	231	231	233	235	229	233	239	240	242	241	236	218	214	221	226	226	229	228			
Incompetent	312	312	312	307	307	310	318	322	322	322	319	317	318	326	331	331	331	323	326	328	325	329	329	329	317	322	315	322	328	328	327	321			
Competency Pending	25	25	24	26	27	21	24	22	22	22	21	18	20	19	17	17	17	23	24	21	21	22	22	21	21	22	24	24	23	23	23	22			
SAFPF	128	128	128	115	118	121	126	131	131	131	131	128	130	131	138	138	138	120	118	112	119	120	120	120	120	117	117	122	123	123	122	125			
Special Programs	159	163	154	153	155	158	161	162	163	161	166	161	180	184	192	192	188	174	178	184	189	197	199	195	187	186	188	187	189	191	190	177			
Out of County Hold	56	61	62	58	48	47	60	64	71	76	61	48	47	45	59	62	67	72	66	65	62	63	65	70	62	56	56	61	73	81	77	62			
Out of State Hold	25	24	24	26	26	25	20	19	21	22	26	22	20	18	20	20	20	19	20	18	19	21	22	21	24	24	24	21	21	23	24	22			
Immigration Hold	20	15	12	8	11	17	12	13	13	12	22	8	9	21	21	11	14	9	9	17	13	18	14	13	27	12	14	17	14	10	10	14			
U.S. Marshals Holds	42	43	43	42	41	37	37	39	39	38	38	42	43	41	44	44	43	42	43	43	42	44	44	43	42	38	39	41	42	42	38	41			
Serv in jail (Cond of Prob)	32	31	33	28	28	29	27	30	25	24	21	19	20	23	22	23	18	20	25	22	24	32	27	25	21	24	24	24	26	23	21	25			
Serving Co time/fines & Serv fines/CT Cost Fines Only	17	18	16	15	14	14	12	15	14	14	14	12	13	12	17	14	16	16	20	14	17	16	13	15	16	16	15	15	16	16	12	15			
Class C Misdemeanor Only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Contempt-in Jail	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	9	9	9	9	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	7			
Contempt-Furlough	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Peace Bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Contract inmates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
U.S. Military hold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Default	112	99	80	70	69	100	101	99	92	91	84	69	96	100	98	91	69	80	72	97	88	94	89	80	71	63	96	84	100	81	73	87			
Release Review	40	27	21	40	41	36	47	30	20	19	55	63	31	50	14	34	13	60	44	25	35	35	25	33	50	55	34	43	45	28	52	37	JAIL BED		
Jail Population (all buckets)	6,989	7,036	7,016	6,972	6,953	6,992	7,015	7,015	7,077	7,126	7,104	6,990	6,901	6,959	6,962	7,052	7,042	7,015	6,927	6,921	6,922	6,934	6,977	7,002	6,933	6,857	6,843	6,889	6,857	6,910	6,914	6,970	216,082		
H																																			
L																																			
BOOK-INS	172	110	159	160	208	198	166	175	139	194	159	192	215	214	177	129	167	165	169	193	184	147	127	145	180	164	220	171	157	126	172	169	5254		
RELEASES	95	136	189	197	172	169	181	99	94	181	284	258	203	196	103	120	154	276	196	213	155	99	128	179	271	207	171	154	127	133	217	173	5357		
VARIANCE	-77	26	30	37	-36	-29	15	-76	-45	-13	125	66	-12	-18	-74	-9	-13	111	27	20	-29	-48	1	34	91	43	-49	-17	-30	7	45	4	103		
38 DAYS AVG LENGTH OF STAY (RELEASES ONLY)	Total Bookins			5,254			Total Releases			5,357			Total Jail Bed Days			216,082			=			\$ 20,653,118													
**New Buckets 2.1.2026																																			
**Renamed/Combined																																			

2026

TOP 6 ARRESTING AGENCIES AND Only Offense Types

NULL = HOLDS; LEA = Law Enforcement Agencies

JAN BOOKINS - 4677

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2034	43	NULL	467
Dallas County Sheriff	719	15	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	348
Irving Police	305	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	315
Mesquite Police	211	5	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	244
Garland Police	191	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	213
DART Police	186	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	161

MAR BOOKINS - 5311

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2388	45	NULL	536
Dallas County Sheriff	749	14	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	462
Irving Police	342	6	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	332
Garland Police	237	4	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	287
DART Police	228	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	264
Mesquite Police	214	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	177

MAY BOOKINS - 5237

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2359	45	NULL	515
Dallas County Sheriff	736	14	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	453
Irving Police	385	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	320
DART Police	234	4	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	309
Garland Police	233	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	260
Mesquite Police	201	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	199

JULY BOOKINS - 5513

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2467	45	NULL	501
Dallas County Sheriff	824	15	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	468
Irving Police	435	8	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	353
DART Police	237	4	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	282
Garland Police	235	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	247
Mesquite Police	189	3	SOLICIT PROST/OTHER PAYOR	190

FEB BOOKINS - 4764

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	1992	42	NULL	493
Dallas County Sheriff	742	16	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	430
Irving Police	355	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	238
DART Police	219	5	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	230
Garland Police	202	4	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	229
Mesquite Police	192	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	145

APR BOOKINS - 5239

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2201	42	NULL	546
Dallas County Sheriff	791	15	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	438
Irving Police	363	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	305
Garland Police	265	5	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	268
Mesquite Police	193	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	242
DART Police	188	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	191

JUNE BOOKINS - 5523

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2464	45	NULL	535
Dallas County Sheriff	837	15	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	493
Irving Police	392	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	299
Garland Police	251	5	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	290
DART Police	211	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	221
Mesquite Police	189	3	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	175

AUG BOOKINS - 5254

LEA	Total Bookins	% of Total Bookins	Only Offense Types	Total Bookins
DALLAS POLICE	2362	45	NULL	542
Dallas County Sheriff	822	16	POSS CS PG 1/1-B <1G	491
Irving Police	368	7	ASSAULT CAUSES BODILY INJURY FAMILY VIOLENCE	333
DART Police	230	4	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	290
Garland Police	228	4	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	239
Mesquite Police	212	4	AGG ASSAULT W/DEADLY WEAPON	179

Dallas County Jail Population 3-year statistical summary for:
2024, 2025, & 2026

JAIL POPULATION MONTHLY AVERAGES							
2024	Jail Pop AVG	2024 AVG	2025	Jail Pop AVG	2025 AVG	2026	Jail Pop AVG
Jan-24	6138	6460	Jan-25	6649	6837	Jan-26	7025
Feb-24	6157	6460	Feb-25	6608	6837	Feb-26	7133
Mar-24	6240	6460	Mar-25	6581	6837	Mar-26	7202
Apr-24	6429	6460	Apr-25	6743	6837	Apr-26	7151
May-24	6440	6460	May-25	6877	6837	May-26	7144
Jun-24	6487	6460	Jun-25	6862	6837	Jun-26	7143
Jul-24	6558	6460	Jul-25	6917	6837	Jul-26	7099
Aug-24	6733	6460	Aug-25	6917	6837	Aug-26	6970
Sep-24	6732	6460	Sep-25	7048	6837	Sep-26	
Oct-24	6670	6460	Oct-25	7035	6837	Oct-26	
Nov-24	6503	6460	Nov-25	6960	6837	Nov-26	
Dec-24	6431	6460	Dec-25	6842	6837	Dec-26	
YR AVG	6460		YR AVG	6837		YR AVG	7108


****July 31, 2026: The Jail Population Monthly Averages were updated to reflect all 40 reporting buckets**

BOOKINS MONTHLY TOTALS							
2024	Total bookins	2024	2025	Total bookins	2025	2026	Total bookins
Jan-24	3943	4473	Jan-25	4264	4872	Jan-26	4677
Feb-24	4243	4473	Feb-25	4447	4872	Feb-26	4764
Mar-24	4461	4473	Mar-25	4942	4872	Mar-26	5311
Apr-24	4581	4473	Apr-25	4925	4872	Apr-26	5239
May-24	4691	4473	May-25	5053	4872	May-26	5236
Jun-24	4517	4473	Jun-25	4828	4872	Jun-26	5522
Jul-24	4758	4473	Jul-25	5307	4872	Jul-26	5513
Aug-24	5056	4473	Aug-25	5438	4872	Aug-26	5254
Sep-24	4468	4473	Sep-25	4985	4872	Sep-26	
Oct-24	4630	4473	Oct-25	5019	4872	Oct-26	
Nov-24	4274	4473	Nov-25	4676	4872	Nov-26	
Dec-24	4059	4473	Dec-25	4579	4872	Dec-26	
YR AVG	4473		YR AVG	4872		YR AVG	5190
TOTAL	53,681		TOTAL	58,463		TOTAL	41,516


****Jail Population over 6900 and Bookin Totals over 5,000**

August 2026 BOOK-IN SNAPSHOT


Aug. 2026 Book-in by Gender




Aug. 2026 Unsheltered Book-in




Aug. 2026 Unsheltered Book-in by Gender



Aug. 2026 Book-in Suspected Behavioral Health (BH) Flag



Aug. 2026 Book-in Suspected Behavioral Health flag by Gender



Release Glossary

RELEASE CATEGORY	RELEASE DEFINITIONS
BENCH WARRANT-READY TO RETURN	Subject was transferred from another facility/agency on a Bench Warrant (typically court related - stand trial, witness, etc.); requirement has been satisfied, and the subject is ready to return to facility/agency from whence they transported
BOND REINSTATED	Subject out on bond and the bond is revoked ("caught" another charge, bond amount deemed inadequate, etc.) later found acceptable or adequate and reinstated by a judge; charge is thereby disposed/released
Currently Not Holding Class Cs	A consequence of COVID; a subject will not be booked in or held on a Misdemeanor C; reactivate is sent to originating agency
Deceased	Subject died while incarcerated
DRY WRIT	Felony case not filed within 72 hours but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
DRY WRIT-CASE NOT FILED	Case not filed within 72 hours but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
ERROR-DUPLICATE CASE	Entry error; charge/hold added twice
ERROR- WRONG CASE #	Entry error; incorrect case/warrant number
Escaped	Subject escaped custody
Failed to Report	Subject's failure to report at a court mandated date/time
Failed to Return to Work Release	Subject released from confinement, with a condition of return, for the purpose of employment and subject failed to return
FELONY REDUCED TO MISDEMEANOR	Felony level of degree not supported by facts of the case; charge is reduced to the appropriate grade level supported by the facts
Finding of Facts Release	Similar to Dry Writ, case not filed but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
HOLD ADDED IN ERROR	Data entry error; charge does not belong to the subject
Judicial Treatment Center/Wilmer	Court has ordered subject to an inpatient treatment facility for substance abuse treatment and supportive services
MISDEMEANOR REDUCED TO CLASS C	Misdemeanor level of degree not supported by facts of the case; charge is reduced to the appropriate grade level supported by the facts
MOTION TO RELEASE	Order from the court to release a charge; basis for the motion varies based on the argument presented
No Billed	Court has concluded there is not enough evidence to support a criminal charge at this time
Other	Any circumstance for which there is no appropriate, specific selection; may only be used with prior managerial approval
Paid Fine	Charge is disposed by payment of funds (typically for a Class C ticket)
Parole in Absentia or Pre Parole Transfer	Subject unable to attend the parole hearing in person; request is reviewed, and parole granted (without subject in attendance); PPT (Pre- Parole Transfer) is transitional facility that provides pre-parolees counseling, on-site academic and vocational education and services, and other programming during the last 12 months of their incarceration
Parole Warrant Withdrawn	Commonly known as "Blue Warrant," a subject's parole has been revoked; upon review, warrant is no longer in effect and hold is released
Posted Cash Bond	Cash funds paid to the court to secure subject's release from facility
Posted Personal Recognizance Bond	Court allows subject to be released without paying bail if the subject agrees to appear at the court appointed day/time
POSTED PR BOND	Court allows subject to be released without paying bail if the subject agrees to appear at the court appointed day/time
Posted Pre-trial Bond	Subject allowed to pay funds to the court and be released with the written agreement they will return for trial
Posted PT Personal Recognizance Bond	Subject allowed released without paying bail with the written agreement they will return for trial
Posted Surety Bond	Subject pays funds to the court via a third party to secure release from incarceration
Posted Writ Bond	Bond by which an inmate may be released from jail without first having to go before a judge
PROBATION DISCHARGED	Subject has completed all the conditions of probation and the probationary period has ended
RELEASE PER SHOCK PROBATION	Sentencing or early-release program in the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure; Shock probation allows a judge to send a defendant to prison or jail for a short period of time, and after that stint is served, put the defendant on probation
Released By Order of the Court	Court document describing the conditions under which a subject will be released
Released on Probation	Release of a subject to supervision in the community after he has completed a part of his sentence in a facility
Released per Agency Pick Time Expired	Upon resolution of county Misdemeanor B or above, subject is held 24 hours on Class C tickets for in county agency; Subject is released after 24 hours if agency fails to take custody; no longer in practice due to COVID
RELEASED PER AGENCY PICK-UP TIME EXPIRED	Upon resolution of county Misdemeanor B or above, subject is held 24 hours on Class C tickets for in county agency; Subject is released after 24 hours if agency fails to take custody; no longer in practice due to COVID
Released per Art 14.06/Magistrate Order	Written notice of Citation to Appear including the time and place a subject must appear before a magistrate to address charges (JP warrants, Sunnyvale)
RELEASED PER CASE REJECTED BY DA	District Attorney declines to prosecute or rejects the case based on insufficient evidence; reserves the right to file at a later date after additional investigation or upon receipt of additional evidence
RELEASED PER DISCHARGE IN ABSENTIA	Subject has remained incarcerated length of time required by the judgment and the sentence is discharged; in essence, subject served out his state jail or state pen time at Dallas County and may be released
RELEASED PER DPD RELEASE CHARGE	Similar to Finding of Fact or Dry Writ; the filing agency (DPD) drops the case, for a multitude of reasons; and the charge may be disposed
Released per DPD/Class C Only	Subject attends city court to address class C holds and is granted time served, fine payment, etc; no longer in practice due to COVID
RELEASED PER FINDING OF FACTS	Misdemeanor case not filed but the court reserves the right to file at a later time (case does not currently meet the required criteria, evidence, etc.)
Released per Investigating Agency	Arresting agency is not pursuing the charge (warrant recall, etc.)
RELEASED PER INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY	Arresting agency is not pursuing the charge (warrant recall, etc.)
RELEASED PER MEDICAL REASONS	Subject has been released due to life threatening medical concerns; approval of sworn personnel required
RELEASED PER PIA MANDATORY	Subject unable to attend the parole hearing in person; request is reviewed, and parole granted (without subject in attendance); subject released to mandatory supervision
Released per Teletype	Documentation (teletype) releasing a charge or hold is received via the Texas Law Enforcement Telecommunications System
Released to Dallas County Agency	Custody transferred to another Dallas County agency (Lancaster PD, DeSoto PD, etc.)
Released to INS/Border Patrol	Custody transferred to federal custody (ICE/INS/Immigration)
Released to Investigating Agency	Custody transferred to original, arresting agency
Released to Mental Facility	As ordered by the court, custody transferred to mental health facility or program; typically, court ordered (incompetent)
Released to Military	Custody transferred to military agency
Released to Out of County Agency	Custody transferred to in state, but out of county, agency (Collin Co, Denton Co.)
Released to Out of State Agency	Custody transferred to out of state agency (FFI)
RELEASED TO PAROLE OFFICER	Subject is released prior to the court determined expiration date into the custody of their parole officer and in turn serves the remainder of their time in the community under the supervision of their parole officer
Released to Special Program	Subject transferred to treatment program designated by the court (ADAPT, Homeward Bound, etc.)
RELEASED TO TDC	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections
RELEASED TO TDC-ISF	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections – Intermediate Sanction Facility (an in-custody treatment alternative for medium to high risk felony offenders)
Released to TDCJ	Subject transferred to Texas Department of Corrections
RELEASED TO U.S. MARSHALL	Subject transferred to US Marshal (Federal) Custody
Released to US Marshal	Subject transferred to US Marshal (Federal) Custody
Removed from furlough	Subject was furloughed (allowed to leave the facility) for a specific amount of time due to special circumstances (attend funeral, etc.)
SENTENCED TO DEATH	Court order determining punishment to be execution
Served Time	Subject satisfied sentence requirement by confinement for time dictated by the judgement
Served Time and/or Paid Fine	Subject satisfied sentence requirement by a combination of confinement and payment of funds dictated by the judgement
TRANSFERRED TO INS/BORDER PATROL	Subject has satisfied all in state and out of state charges and is transferred to Immigration/Border Patrol custody
TRANSFERRED TO A MENTAL FACILITY	Court has found the subject incompetent and ordered confinement in a state hospital
TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL AGENCY	Custody transferred to federal agency (FBI, ICE, ATF, USMS, etc.)
TRANSFERRED TO A SPECIAL PROGRAM	As ordered by the court, subject is released to the custody of a treatment program (FUSE, ADAPT, Laura Edmonds, etc.)
WARRANT WITHDRAWN	Warrant has been recalled or is no longer in effect and has been recalled by originating agency

Release Destinations Published by Dallas County Sheriff's Department-Data Management Unit (DMU) 8.10.23

MONTHLY RELEASE TOTALS BY CATEGORY

RELEASE CATEGORY	Aug 24	2024 TOTAL	Aug 25	2025 TOTAL	Jan 26	Feb 26	Mar 26	Apr 26	May 26	June 26	July 26	Aug 26	2026 TOTAL
BENCH WARRANT-READY TO RETURN	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
BOND REINSTATED	31	282	15	219	22	16	20	17	20	14	15	17	141
Currently Not Holding Class Cs	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	2	9	1	12	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	5
DRY WRIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DRY WRIT-CASE NOT FILED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERROR-DUPLICATE CASE	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
ERROR- WRONG CASE #	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Escaped	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to Return to Work Release	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FELONY REDUCED TO MISDEMEANOR	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Finding of Facts Release	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	5
HOLD ADDED IN ERROR	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Judicial Treatment Center/Wilmer	12	381	44	250	25	50	67	65	40	40	67	40	394
MISDEMEANOR REDUCED TO CLASS C	0	114	11	85	10	6	13	6	10	15	17	10	87
MOTION TO RELEASE	0	1	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
No Billed	22	160	15	109	9	4	6	20	9	14	10	20	92
Other	36	338	32	357	48	37	44	56	38	50	40	40	353
Paid Fine	0	2	0	11	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Parole in Absentia or Pre Parole Transfer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parole Warrant Withdrawn	22	219	53	344	57	45	65	65	65	73	64	69	503
Posted Cash Bond	304	3950	333	3978	301	336	397	368	315	376	451	338	2882
Posted Personal Recognizance Bond	102	868	24	270	20	16	13	16	12	7	20	11	115
POSTED PR BOND	386	3845	325	3761	318	303	364	354	269	382	387	283	2660
Posted Pre-trial Bond	6	51	14	106	10	13	23	20	21	30	34	27	178
Posted PT Personal Recognizance Bond	36	362	35	332	23	40	30	36	27	41	56	46	299
Posted Surety Bond	1553	16820	1784	18947	1561	1506	1709	1704	1747	1719	1803	1635	13384
Posted Writ Bond	15	30	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
PROBATION DISCHARGED	0	127	5	93	9	8	12	10	7	21	20	15	102
RELEASE PER SHOCK PROBATION	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Released By Order of the Court	76	1138	106	1440	99	82	91	118	137	135	148	147	957
Released on Probation	287	2919	265	2881	202	299	233	285	246	267	272	249	2053
Released per Agency Pick Time Expired	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RELEASED PER AGENCY PICK-UP TIME EXPIRED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Released per Art 14.06/Magistrate Order	2	11	2	56	7	15	20	18	7	6	3	1	77
RELEASED PER CASE REJECTED BY DA	28	276	37	335	25	18	28	25	11	23	26	33	189
RELEASED PER DISCHARGE IN ABSENTIA	13	84	7	87	2	11	12	4	8	8	6	5	56
RELEASED PER DPD RELEASE CHARGE	28	241	32	285	43	24	39	25	27	33	40	21	252
Released per DPD/Class C Only	0	2	1	5	0	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	13
RELEASED PER FINDING OF FACTS	76	760	96	930	84	71	83	88	105	138	130	100	799
Released per Investigating Agency	5	72	5	68	10	6	10	6	8	14	4	2	60
RELEASED PER INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY	15	239	16	155	8	9	3	15	8	14	8	12	77
RELEASED PER MEDICAL REASONS	1	4	0	12	9	13	1	11	1	6	6	15	62
RELEASED PER PIA MANDATORY	0	4	3	9	2	0	0	3	1	1	1	4	12
Released per Teletype	15	177	3	95	7	2	8	7	9	6	10	7	56
Released to Dallas County Agency	4	45	1	28	3	1	5	2	3	3	2	1	20
Released to INS/Border Patrol	239	2001	384	3623	228	258	253	293	268	251	309	256	2116
Released to Investigating Agency	5	72	6	39	3	1	2	6	6	3	1	3	25
Released to Mental Facility	12	227	19	260	13	27	19	10	26	23	50	32	200
Released to Military	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Released to Out of County Agency	368	3568	376	3802	237	324	351	345	315	334	353	319	2578
Released to Out of State Agency	25	358	38	349	27	24	36	23	33	29	29	36	237
RELEASED TO PAROLE OFFICER	13	94	3	27	1	0	5	1	3	2	3	5	20
Released to Special Program	265	2767	244	3085	200	236	264	285	222	308	265	279	2059
RELEASED TO TDC	340	4328	390	5009	392	367	625	488	472	744	428	777	4293
RELEASED TO TDC-ISF	7	79	3	74	5	3	22	2	0	8	4	0	44
Released to TDCJ	0	517	31	436	40	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	49
RELEASED TO U.S. MARSHALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Released to US Marshal	21	199	17	209	8	15	18	9	9	1	15	5	80
Removed from furlough	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SENTENCED TO DEATH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Served Time	398	4562	408	5008	398	426	453	440	508	494	469	438	3626
Served Time and/or Paid Fine	1	5	2	7	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	4
TRANSFERRED TO INS/BORDER PATROL	8	125	11	34	1	6	7	0	2	22	8	17	63
TRANSFERRED TO A MENTAL FACILITY	6	61	5	52	1	4	6	13	7	7	3	1	42
TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL AGENCY	5	55	6	79	15	8	7	8	18	32	24	28	140
TRANSFERRED TO A SPECIAL PROGRAM	33	306	14	160	8	7	3	8	2	4	4	1	37
WARRANT WITHDRAWN	35	352	28	474	8	9	9	9	10	13	7	6	71
Total Releases	4,858	53,222	5,251	58,027	4,506	4,653	5,379	5,288	5,057	5,728	5,617	5,357	41,585

Bond Supervision Unit

(SJ+Gen) (SJ+Gen)

*Other to include supplemental eligibility disqualifiers found

Dallas County Pretrial Services
Electronic Monitoring Unit
AUGUST 2026 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month (ELM/ASP)	TOTAL NEW BOND ELM DEFENDANTS	TOTAL NEW ASP DEFENDANTS	SUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	UNSUCCESSFUL CLOSE OUTS	Total violations submitted - NEW OFFENSE	Total violations submitted - Technical Violations	After Hours Abscond Warrants	Total days of supervision x Jail Bed Cost	Potential Jail Bed Expenses Saved	Total Supervised Cases End Of Month (ELM/ASP)
Aug-25	519	76	2	62	28	9	93		15,451 x \$88.47	\$1,367,834.67	507
Sep-25	507	97	6	53	28	4	102		15,408 x \$88.47	\$1,363,145.76	529
Oct-25	529	110	1	68	16	4	92		16,277 x \$95.58	\$1,555,755.66	556
Nov-25	556	78	2	57	24	9	94		16,168 x \$95.58	\$1,545,337.44	555
Dec-25	555	91	2	58	34	10	100		16,663 x \$95.58	\$1,592,649.54	555
Jan-26	555	84	2	51	32	9	109	6	16,055 x \$95.58	\$1,534,536.90	558
Feb-26	558	88	3	63	22	6	93	4	15,631 X \$95.58	\$1,494,106.56	564
Mar-26	564	98	2	65	27	5	93	8	17,745 X \$95.58	\$1,696,067.10	572
Apr-26	572	112	6	80	34	6	106	9	17,302 X \$95.58	\$1,653,725.16	576
May-26	576	79	1	74	17	7	63	4	18,216 x \$95.58	\$1,741,085.28	565
Jun-26	565	112	2	62	27	6	93	4	17,770 x \$95.58	\$1,698,456.60	590
Jul-26	590	102	1	75	30	5	108	4	18,138 x \$95.58	\$1,733,630.04	588
Aug-26	588	105	0	61	21	7	89	4	17,219 x \$95.58	\$1,645,792.02	611

Dallas County Pretrial Services

Alcohol Monitoring Unit

August 2026 Statistical Summary Report

Month/Year	Total Supervised Cases Start Of Month	Pending Bond Orders (Assigned + Unassigned)	Total New Cases Activated	Number of Successful Closeouts	Number of Unsuccessful Closeouts	Count of Violations Submitted to Court	Count of Installation notices submitted	Count of Supervised Cases (End of Month)	Supervision Fees Collected
25-Aug	1411	223	149	100	17	187	140	1443	\$10,560.00
25-Sep	1443	209	161	131	16	197	187	1457	\$13,018.50
25-Oct	1457	206	164	109	10	162	161	1502	\$15,218.00
25-Nov	1502	172	145	92	19	180	131	1536	\$12,594.50
25-Dec	1536	194	154	97	28	152	148	1565	\$13,716.45
26-Jan	1565	193	118	89	31	169	110	1563	\$12,555.24
26-Feb	1563	162	174	135	19	219	160	1583	\$12,931.50
26-Mar	1583	167	155	120	34	256	120	1584	\$13,341.09
26-Apr	1584	137	150	127	23	248	150	1584	\$15,091.00
26-May	1584	183	116	151	23	228	139	1526	\$13,065.24
26-Jun	1526	204	172	146	13	252	156	1539	\$10,807.25
26-Jul	1539	188	173	157	19	237	147	1536	\$12,651.50
26-Aug	1536	176	159	125	26	310	159	1544	\$11,357.85



CTI MODEL FOR PRE-TRIAL INTERVENTION DEFENDANTS: ONE YEAR PROGRAM UPDATE

BUILDING STABILITY, RECOVERY, AND
COMMUNITY INTEGRATION

JENNIFER TORRES, DIRECTOR & DAMIAN JUAREZ,
CTI MANAGER

metrocare.
Find yourself here



CRITICAL TIME INTERVENTION (CTI)




Last year, a new CTI treatment team, consisting of case managers and peer providers, began partnering directly with the MH Division to jointly serve PTI participants with MI.

What is the CTI Model?

A Time-Limited, Evidence-Based Approach to Improving Outcomes During High-Risk Transitions.

metrocare.
Find yourself here

THE CTI PHASES



CTI follows **three structured phases**, each with a clear purpose and completion process:

Phase 1: Transition

Intensive support – 2+ visits/week, or more as needed

- Assess needs and risks
- Build trust
- Establish connections to services, housing, and formal & informal support
- Place in recovery housing, if needed.

Phase 2: Try-Out

Test whether supports are working

- Step back slightly while monitoring progress
- Address gaps or breakdowns
- Self-sufficiency planning
- Leading up to a successful completion of Pre-Trial Intervention

Phase 3: Aftercare (Months 12–18, or longer if clinically indicated)

- Gradual withdrawal of CTI support
- Finalize handoffs
- Ensure the individual can rely on long-term systems

PROGRAM MILESTONES & NEXT STEPS

BUILDING THE PROGRAM: YEAR 1 WINS

- Staff hired and trained
- Referral processes established
- Community partnerships developed & strengthened
- Policies and workflows created & refined, including processes for transitional housing and transportation assistance.
- Assessment process refined
- Data collection implemented
- Gained better understanding of the needs of participants
- 175+ referrals served .

WHAT WE LEARNED: YEAR 2 ENHANCEMENTS

- Referral timing and engagement considerations, particularly on day of release
- Importance of close collaboration: A new team approach recently launched
- Participant handbook launched, to effectively communicate program structure
- Importance of the right housing at the right time, including introduction of NARR-certified sober living homes, when needed.
- Data collection improvements
- Borrowing from ACT & All Rise Best Practices



QUALIFIED MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS





CERTIFIED PEER AND RECOVERY SUPPORT SPECIALISTS. WE WERE FORTUNATE TO FIND 4 DEDICATED AND CARING CERTIFIED PEER OR RECOVERY SUPPORT SPECIALISTS. EACH OF OUR PEERS SHARE THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCE IN HAVING SUCCESSFULLY NAVIGATED A MENTAL HEALTH AND/OR SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER THEMSELVES. SOME OF OUR PEERS HAVE ALSO PREVIOUSLY BEEN INCARCERATED AND ARE ABLE TO SHARE HOW THEY GOT OUT OF THAT CYCLE AND ARE NOW ABLE TO THRIVE

A Day in the Life of Peer Support -

This looks different every day. To share one heart-warming recent story –

One day last month, Rogina Kimmons, Certified MH Peer Specialist, was contacted by a client of hers that had run out of gas and become stranded in downtown Dallas. Rogina was not far away and was able to quickly come to the rescue. She picked up the client and took her to fill up a gas can at the nearest station, then back to the client's car, getting her back up and running in no time.



Recovery Housing

CTI links unhoused recipients to transitional housing.

Placements are made on the day of jail release.

Metrocare directly pays the rent based on the dates of stay.

While transitionally housed, CTI staff works to establish self-sufficiency.

Placements are licensed or certified homes:

1. City of Dallas Licensed Board & Care Homes

<https://dallascityhall.com/departments/codecompliance/Pages/BoardingHomes.aspx>

2. State of Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services Assisted Living Homes

[Long-term Care Provider Search | HHS](#)

3. TAC-designated Recovery Homes (effect. 1/20/26). Two types of recovery (sober living) housing providers per TAC Title 26, Part 1, Chap 571, Rule 571.3. Visit:

<https://www.oxfordvacancies.com/>

<https://trohn.org/find/>



HOUSING BY THE NUMBERS

91
Participants
have been
housed, for a
total of 7,548
days.

Mean
length of
stay = 83
days

Housing
cost:
\$204,258.67

(averaging
\$27.27/day)


In comparison to
the cost of 7,488
days in jail (at
\$128/day) –

A savings of
\$754,205.33

A CTI Success Story: Adam

Adam is a 64 year old male with Dementia and Major Depressive Disorder. He'd been arrested in 2023 for assault and spent a number of months in jail. Due to his dementia, Adam could not provide any details about his family or history. It seemed he'd been homeless for some time prior to his arrest. He was released on a PTI and linked to the CTI team in mid-2024. CTI got Adam into a boarding home through the rental assistance funding and began to work on accessing benefits. Within the year, Adam had completed his PTI, got his IDs replaced, and got approved for SSI, Medicaid, and Medicare. This allowed Adam to move into a memory care facility in early-2025. He is doing well there and enjoys socializing and participating in activities, such as Uno and sing-alongs.





FOR A PERSON WITH A MENTAL ILLNESS &/OR SUBSTANCE USE, NAVIGATING CRIMINAL JUSTICE INVOLVEMENT CAN SEEM LIKE A MAZE. HAVING A QMHP AND PEER BESIDE THEM, TO NAVIGATE, CAN MAKE A POSITIVE IMPACT AND LASTING DIFFERENCE.

Questions?